

# ournal de l'École polytechnique *Mathématiques*

Indira Chatterji & T. N. Venkataramana Discrete linear groups containing arithmetic groups Tome 12 (2025), p. 1605-1632.

https://doi.org/10.5802/jep.318

© Les auteurs, 2025.

Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence LICENCE INTERNATIONALE D'ATTRIBUTION CREATIVE COMMONS BY 4.0. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Publié avec le soutien du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique



Publication membre du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org
e-ISSN: 2270-518X

Tome 12, 2025, p. 1605-1632

DOI: 10.5802/jep.318

# DISCRETE LINEAR GROUPS CONTAINING ARITHMETIC GROUPS

#### BY INDIRA CHATTERJI & T. N. VENKATARAMANA

ABSTRACT. — If H is a simple real algebraic subgroup of real rank at least two in a simple real algebraic group G, we prove, in a substantial number of cases, that a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of G containing a lattice in H is a lattice in G. For example, we show that any Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$   $(n \geqslant 4)$  which contains  $\operatorname{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  (in the top left hand corner) is commensurable with a conjugate of  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . In contrast, when the groups G and H are of real rank one, there are lattices  $\Delta$  in a real rank one group H embedded in a larger real rank one group G and which extends to a Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G of infinite co-volume.

Résumé (Groupes linéaires discrets contenant des groupes arithmétiques)

Si H est un sous-groupe algébrique réel simple, de rang réel au moins 2, d'un groupe algébrique réel simple G, nous démontrons, dans un bon nombre de cas, qu'un sous-groupe discret Zariski dense de G intersectant H en un réseau, est déjà un réseau dans G. Par exemple, nous montrons que tout sous-groupe discret Zariski dense de  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$   $(n\geqslant 4)$  qui contient  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  (dans le coin supérieur gauche) est commensurable à un conjugué de  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . En revanche, lorsque les groupes G et H sont de rang réel 1, il existe des réseaux  $\Delta$  dans un groupe H de rang réel 1, plongés dans un plus grand groupe G de rang réel 1, qui s'étendent en un sous-groupe discret Zariski dense  $\Gamma$  de G de G

#### Contents

1. Introduction	1606
2. Preliminaries on measurable maps	1610
3. Proof of the super-rigidity result (Theorem 3)	1619
4. Applications (proof of Corollary 5)	
5. Proof of Theorem 1	
6. The rank one case	
References	

Mathematical subject classification (2020). — 22E40, 20G30. Keywords. — Lie groups, arithmetic groups, lattices in Lie groups, superrigidity.

 ${\rm I.C.}$  is partially supported by ANR GALS and ANR GOFR.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study special cases of the following problem raised by Madhav Nori:

Problem 1 (Nori, 1983). — If H is a real algebraic subgroup of a real semi-simple algebraic group G, find sufficient conditions on H and G such that any Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G which intersects H in a lattice in H, is itself a lattice in G.

Until recently, we did not know any example when the larger discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  was not a lattice, but where the smaller group H is a simple Lie group and has real rank strictly greater than one (then the larger group G is also of real rank at least two). The recent work of Danciger, Guéritaud and Kassel [DGK24] provides non-lattice discrete subgroups which contain smaller higher rank lattices. However, there do exist examples (as in the present paper) where the larger  $\Gamma$  is forced to be a lattice: the goal of the present paper (written in 2009) is to study the following question, related to Nori's problem.

Question 2. — If a Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of a simple non-compact Lie group G intersects a simple non-compact Lie subgroup H- of real rank at least two-in a lattice, is the larger discrete group  $\Gamma$  a lattice in the larger Lie group G?

Analogous questions have been considered before: (e.g. [FK65], Bass-Lubotzky [BL00], Hee Oh [Oh98], and [Ven95]).

Madhav Nori first raised the question (to the second named author of the present paper) whether these larger discrete groups containing higher rank lattices have to be lattices themselves (i.e., arithmetic groups, in view of Margulis' arithmeticity theorem). We will in fact show several examples of pairs of groups (H, G), such that  $\Gamma$  does turn out to be a lattice, giving examples where the answer to Question 2 is positive (see Theorem 1 and Corollary 5). As we already mentioned, however, the recent results of [DGK24] show that there are many examples where the answer is negative. It is not clear to us what a reasonable conjecture on the necessary and sufficient condition on the pair (G, H) should be, so that Question 2 has an affirmative answer.

We prove a general result on super-rigidity of certain discrete groups, from which we can extract examples of (G, H) with the answer "yes" to Question 2. Here are two consequences: consider the "top left hand corner" embedding

$$SL_k < SL_n, (k \geqslant 3).$$

The embedding is as follows: an  $\operatorname{SL}_k$  matrix M is thought of as an  $n \times n$  matrix M' such that the first  $k \times k$  entries of M' are the same as those of M, the last  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  entries of M' are those of the identity  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  matrix, and all other entries of M' are zero.

Theorem 1. — Suppose that  $\operatorname{SL}_3$  is embedded in  $\operatorname{SL}_n$  (in the "top left hand corner") as above. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  whose intersection with  $\operatorname{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  is a subgroup of  $\operatorname{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  of finite index. Then,  $\Gamma$  is commensurate to a conjugate of  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , and is hence a lattice in  $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Here we recall that two groups are called *commensurate* when their intersection has finite index in each of them. We may similarly embed  $\operatorname{Sp}_k \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}_g$  in the "top left hand corner", where  $\operatorname{Sp}_g$  is the symplectic group of  $2g \times 2g$ -matrices preserving the non-degenerate symplectic form  $J_g = \operatorname{diag}(J_2, \ldots, J_2)$  in 2g-variables, where  $J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Denote by  $\operatorname{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$  the integral symplectic group.

Theorem 2. — If  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_g(\mathbb{R})$  whose intersection with  $\operatorname{Sp}_2(\mathbb{R}) < \operatorname{Sp}_g(\mathbb{R})$  (in the "top left hand corner") is commensurate to  $\operatorname{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  then a conjugate of  $\Gamma$  is commensurate with  $\operatorname{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$  and hence  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $\operatorname{Sp}_g(\mathbb{R})$ .

It seems likely that  $\operatorname{Sp}_2 < \operatorname{Sp}_g$  may be replaced by  $\operatorname{SO}(2,3) < \operatorname{SO}(p,q)$  with  $p \geqslant 2$  and  $q \geqslant 3$ , but we have not verified the details.

In the above examples, the group H has very small dimension when compared to that of the ambient group G; nevertheless, these furnish examples where Question 2 has a positive answer. This is the reason we have singled them out.

The proof of Theorem 1 depends (as does the proof of Theorem 2) on a general super-rigidity theorem for discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  which contain a "large" enough higher rank lattice. More precisely, our main result is the following.

NOTATION 1. — Let P be a minimal real parabolic subgroup of the simple Lie group G and denote by N the unipotent in a maximal real split torus of P. Let A be the connected component of identity in S. Denote by  $P_0$  the subgroup AN of P (if G is split, then  $P_0$  is the identity component of P; in general we have replaced the minimal parabolic subgroup P by a subgroup  $P_0$  which has no compact factors such that  $P/P_0$  is compact). Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. We have the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KP_0 = KAN$ .

Theorem 3 (Main result). — Let H be a semi-simple subgroup of a semi-simple Lie group G with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(H) \geqslant 2$  such that the normal subgroup of G generated by H is all of G. Let  $\Gamma$  be a Zariski dense subgroup of G whose intersection with H is an irreducible lattice in H. Let G = KAN be the Iwasawa decomposition of G and  $P_0 = AN$ . If the isotropy of H acting on  $G/P_0$  is positive dimensional and noncompact at every point of  $G/P_0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a super-rigid subgroup of G.

An earlier version of Theorem 3 assumed G to be simple. It was pointed out to us by Yehuda Shalom that the proof works for G semi-simple as well.

Here we recall that a lattice  $\Delta$  in a semisimple Lie group H is *irreducible* if for every non-central normal subgroup  $N \lhd H$ ,  $\Delta$  is dense when projected onto H/N. The conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied if the dimension of H is sufficiently large (for example, if  $\dim(K) < \dim(H)$ ). We then get the following as a corollary.

Theorem 4. — Let  $\Gamma$  be a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of a simple Lie group G which intersects a semi-simple subgroup H of G (with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(H) \geq 2$ ) in an irreducible lattice. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and assume that  $\dim(H) > \dim(K)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a super-rigid subgroup of G.

Here, super-rigid is in the sense of Margulis [Mar91]. That is, all linear representations – satisfying some mild conditions – of the group  $\Gamma$  virtually extend to (i.e., coincide on a finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$  with) a linear representation of the ambient group G.

For instance, as a consequence of Theorem 4 we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 5. — If  $n \ge 4$  and  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  which intersects any  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  in a finite index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ , then a conjugate of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is commensurate to  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

In the above we may replace n-1 by any integer  $k > n/\sqrt{2}$  (and  $k \le n-1$ ).

Analogously, we prove:

Corollary 6. — If  $g \geqslant 3$ , every Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_q(\mathbb{R})$  which contains a finite index subgroup of  $\operatorname{Sp}_{q-1}(\mathbb{Z})$  is commensurable to a conjugate of  $\operatorname{Sp}_q(\mathbb{Z})$ .

The proof of Theorem 3 runs as follows. We adapt Margulis' proof of super-rigidity to our situation; the proof of Margulis uses crucially that a lattice  $\Gamma$  acts ergodically on G/S for any non-compact subgroup S of G, whereas we do not have the ergodicity available to us. We use instead the fact that the representation of the discrete group  $\Gamma$  is rational on the smaller group  $\Delta$ .

Given a representation  $\rho$  of the group  $\Gamma$  on a vector space over a local field k', we use a construction of Furstenberg to obtain a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant measurable map  $\phi$  from  $G/P_0$  into the space  $\mathcal P$  of probability measures on the projective space of the vector space. Using the fact that the isotropy subgroup of H at any point in  $G/P_0$  is non-compact, we deduce that on H-orbits, the map  $\phi$  is rational, and by pasting together the rationality of  $\phi$  on many such orbits, we deduce the rationality of the representation  $\rho$ .

Theorem 4 implies Corollary 5 as follows: the super-rigidity of  $\Gamma$  in Corollary 5 implies, as in [Mar91], that  $\Gamma$  is a *subgroup* of an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . It follows that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  associated to this arithmetic group has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank greater than n/2. The classification of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -forms of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  then implies that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form must be  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$  and that  $\Gamma$  is, up to conjugation, commensurate to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense and virtually contains  $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ , it follows from [Ven87] Corollary (3.8) that  $\Gamma$  is commensurate to  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We now give a (not exhaustive) list of pairs (H, G) which satisfy the condition  $(\dim(H) > \dim(K))$  of Theorem 4.

Corollary 7. — If (H, G) is one of the pairs (1)  $H = \operatorname{Sp}_q < \operatorname{SL}_{2g}$  with  $g \geqslant 2$ ,

- (2)  $H = \operatorname{SL}_p \times \operatorname{SL}_p < G = \operatorname{SL}_{2p} \text{ with } p \geqslant 3,$
- (3)  $H = \operatorname{Sp}_a \times \operatorname{Sp}_a < G = \operatorname{Sp}_{2a} \text{ with } 1 \leq a$ ,

then any Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  whose intersection with  $H(\mathbb{R})$  is an irreducible lattice, is super-rigid in  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are examples of pairs (H,G) satisfying the condition of Theorem 3 which are not covered by Corollary 4. In the cases of the following corollary, it is easy to check that  $\dim(H) = \dim(K)$  and that the isotropy of H at any generic point of G/P is a non-compact Cartan subgroup of H. When  $G = H(\mathbb{C})$ , we view G as the group of real points of a complex algebraic group, and Zariski density of a subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq G$  is taken to mean that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense in  $G(\mathbb{C}) = H(\mathbb{C}) \times H(\mathbb{C})$ .

COROLLARY 8. — Let H be a real simple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(H) \geqslant 2$  embedded in the complex group  $G = H(\mathbb{C})$ . If H has no compact Cartan subgroup, then every Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G which intersects H in a lattice, is super-rigid in G.

Notice that Theorem 4 and Theorem 3 allow us to deduce that if  $\Gamma$  is as in Theorem 4 or Theorem 3, then  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of an arithmetic group in G (see Theorem 14), reducing Nori's question to the following apparently simpler one:

QUESTION 3. — If a Zariski dense subgroup  $\Gamma$  of a lattice in a simple non-compact Lie group G contains a higher rank lattice of a smaller group, is  $\Gamma$  itself a lattice in G?

We now know, from the results of [DGK24], that the answer to Question 3 is also negative in general.

We now briefly describe the proof of Theorem 1. If Theorem 4 is to be applied directly, then the dimension of the maximal compact of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  must be less than the dimension of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , which can only happen if n=4; instead, what we will do, is to show that the group generated by  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  (in the top left hand corner of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  as in the statement of Theorem 1) and a conjugate of a unipotent root subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  by a generic element of  $\Gamma$ , (modulo its radical), is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ . By applying Corollary 5 for the pair  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ , we see that the Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  contains, virtually, a conjugate of  $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We can apply the same procedure to  $SL_4(\mathbb{R})$  instead of  $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$  and obtain  $SL_5(\mathbb{Z})$  as a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , etc, and finally obtain that  $\Gamma$  virtually contains a conjugate of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . This proves Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is similar: use Corollary 6 in place of Corollary 5.

When H has real rank one, the answer to the counterpart of Question 2 is in the negative:

For G of rank two or higher, work of D. Johnson and J. Millson in [JM87] produces a Zariski dense subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , isomorphic to a lattice in  $\mathrm{SO}(n-1,1)$  (hence of infinite co-volume in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ) and intersecting a subgroup H isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SO}(n-2,1)$  in a lattice  $\Delta$  (see [Ben00, Rem. 1.3] and [Ben04, Cor. 2.10]).

Even if G has rank one, given a proper subgroup H < G and a lattice  $\Delta < H$ , one can always produce a Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  in G which is not a lattice in G, and whose intersection with H is a subgroup of finite index in  $\Delta$  (Theorem 15). The method is essentially that of Fricke and Klein [FK65] who produce, starting from Fuchsian groups, Kleinian groups of infinite co-volume, by using a "ping-pong" argument.

At the suggestion of the referee, we make some remarks on extension of these methods to groups over non-archimedean fields. The lattices in such groups are known to be co-compact and therefore do not contain unipotent elements. Therefore, the algebraic methods of of the present paper, proving that certain subgroups are lattices, by exhibiting many unipotent elements, do not work. However, if the subgroup H operates on  $G/P_0$  with positive dimensional isotropy groups, then it can be shown by similar methods that a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of G which intersects H in an irreducible lattice, is a super-rigid group.

We end with some remarks on Zariski closures. If G is a connected algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then it is known that  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense in G; hence, if  $\Gamma < G(\mathbb{R})$  is a subgroup, then the Zariski closure of  $\Gamma$  in G has the property that the smallest real algebraic group containing  $\Gamma$  has finite index in the real points of the complex Zariski closure. For this reason, we abuse notation a little and refer to the set of real points of the Zariski closure of the group  $\Gamma$  as the real Zariski closure of  $\Gamma$ .

Note. — This paper was written in 2009, but didn't get published; The work of [DGK24] in 2024 renewed interest in the results contained in the present work. In view of the results in [DGK24] answering No to Question 2 in such generality, one could wonder what the right conjecture is.

Acknowledgements. — T.N. Venkataramana extends to Madhav Nori his hearty thanks for many very helpful discussions over the years; the present paper addresses a very special case of a general question raised by him about discrete subgroups containing higher rank lattices. He also thanks Marc Burger for explaining the structure of isotropy subgroups of measures on generalised Grassmannians. The excellent hospitality of the Forschunginstitut für Mathematik, ETH, Zurich, while this paper was written is gratefully acknowledged.

The paper was completed during Indira Chatterji's visit to the Tata Institute and she thanks Tata Institute for providing fantastic working conditions.

The authors thank Yves Benoist for the reference [JM87], Gregory Margulis for interesting remarks, Yehuda Shalom for pointing out to us that in Theorem 3, we may replace the hypothesis that G is simple, by the assumption that G is semi-simple. We also warmly thank anonymous referees for many useful comments.

# 2. Preliminaries on measurable maps

The aim of this section is to recall a few well known facts used in the proof of Theorem 4 and prove Proposition 10 (this is a key fact in the proof of the main theorem).

J.É.P. — M., 2025, tome 12

2.1. A MILD GENERALISATION OF THE HOWE-MOORE THEOREM. — An important ingredient in the proof is the following easy generalisation (Lemma 2 below) of the ergodicity theorem of Moore (see [Zim84, Th. (2.2.6)]). This version is only slightly different from [Zim84, Th. (2.2.6)]; this is to take care of additional compact factors.

We give the proof for the sake of completeness. We note that in the statement of Lemma 2 below, we may replace  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  by any irreducible lattice  $\Gamma$  in a real group H such that  $\Gamma$  maps densely onto the maximal compact quotient of H; the arithmetic structure is not really used in the proof.

The almost  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simplicity of  $\widetilde{H}$  implies that there exists a connected absolutely almost simple simply connected group  $H_0$  defined over a number field K, such that  $\widetilde{H} = R_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(H_0)$ , where  $R_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(H_0)$  is the Weil restriction of  $H_0$  from K to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Consequently,

$$\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) = H_0(K \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \infty} H_0(K_\alpha),$$

where  $\infty$  denote the set of equivalence classes of archimedean embeddings of K. Let  $A \subseteq \infty$  denote the archimedean embeddings  $\alpha$  such that  $H_0(K_\alpha)$  is non-compact. Then,  $\widetilde{H} = H^* \times H^u$  where  $H^u := \prod_{\alpha \in \infty \setminus A} H_0(K_\alpha)$  is a compact group, and  $H^* := \prod_{\alpha \in A} H_0(K_\alpha)$  is a semi-simple group without compact factors.

As in the case of the Moore ergodicity theorem of [Zim84], we first show the vanishing of matrix coefficients.

Lemma 1. — Let  $\widetilde{H}$  be an almost  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple, simply connected algebraic group with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(\widetilde{H}) \geqslant 1$  and  $\Delta < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  an arithmetic subgroup. Suppose that  $\pi$  is a unitary representation of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  on a Hilbert space, such that for any non-compact simple factor  $H_{\alpha}$  of  $H^*$ , the space  $\pi^{H_{\alpha}}$  of vectors of  $\pi$  invariant under the subgroup  $H_{\alpha}$  is zero. Then, the space  $\pi^S$  of vectors in  $\pi$  invariant under the non-compact subgroup  $S < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  is also zero.

*Proof.* — By the Howe-Moore theorem, (see [Zim84, Th. (2.2.20)]), for every pair of vectors  $v, w \in \pi$  the matrix coefficient  $\langle g^*v, w \rangle$  tends to zero as  $g^*$  tends to infinity in the group  $H^*$ .

Suppose  $g(n) = (g^*(n), g_u(n)) \in \widetilde{H}(R) = H^* \times H_u$  is a sequence which tends to infinity. Since  $H_u$  is compact, we may assume, by passing to a subsequence, that  $g_u(n)$  tends to an element  $k \in H_u$ . Hence  $g_u(n)v$  tends to some vector  $v' \in \pi$ . Then  $g^*(n)$  also tends to infinity in  $H^*$ . Moreover, (write  $g = g(n), g^* = g^*(n), g_u = g_u(n)$  for short):

$$\langle gv, w \rangle = \langle g^*v', w \rangle + \langle g^*(g_uv - v'), w \rangle.$$

The Cauchy Schwarz estimate and the unitarity of  $g^*$  show that

$$|\langle g^*(g_uv - v'), w \rangle| \leqslant |g_uv - v'| |w|$$

and hence tends to zero. By the Howe-Moore theorem,  $\langle g^*v', w \rangle$  tends to zero. Hence the above implies that  $\langle gv, w \rangle$  tends to zero as g = g(n) tends to infinity in  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ .

In particular, no non-compact subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{R})$  can fix a non-zero vector in  $\pi$ .  $\square$ 

Lemma 2. — Let  $\widetilde{H}$  be an almost  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple, simply connected algebraic group with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(\widetilde{H}) \geqslant 1$  and  $\Delta < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  an irreducible lattice so that all the projections to the compact factors are dense. For any closed non-compact subgroup  $S < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ , the group  $\Delta$  acts ergodically on the quotient  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})/S$ .

Proof. — Let  $V_0 = L^2(\Delta \backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}))$  be the space of square integrable functions on  $\Delta \backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ ; the latter space has finite volume ([BHC61, Th. 1]), and hence contains the space of constant functions. For any simple factor  $H_\alpha$  of  $H^* < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ , the space  $V_0^{H_\alpha}$  is just the space of constants, by strong approximation (see [Mar91, Chap. II, Th. (6.7)]; in the notation of [Mar91], we may take  $B = \{\alpha\}$  to be a singleton). Consequently, if  $\pi$  denotes the space of functions in  $V_0$  orthogonal to the constant functions, then  $\pi$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1. Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $\pi^S = 0$ , and hence the only functions on  $\Delta \backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  invariant under the non-compact group S are constants. This proves Lemma 2.

We now record a statement which will be used in the proof of Proposition 10. We thank the referee for pointing out the simple proof (and the correct formulation) of the following lemma.

Lemma 3. — Let  $\widetilde{H}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple, simply connected algebraic group with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(\widetilde{H})\geqslant 1$  and  $\Delta < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  an arithmetic subgroup. Suppose that  $s \in \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  generates an infinite discrete subgroup and let  $\tau : s^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be an isomorphism. Then, there is no  $s^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -equivariant Borel measurable map

$$\phi^*: \Delta \backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Proof.* — The image (push-out) of the Haar measure on  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})/\Delta$  under an  $s^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -equivariant Borel measurable map  $\phi^*$  gives a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -invariant measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which cannot exist.

2.2. The Margulis super-rigidity theorem, except that the Zariski closure of the image  $\rho(\Delta)$  is not assumed to be an absolutely simple group and that  $\rho(\Delta)$  is not assumed to have non-compact closure in G'(k') if k' is archimedean.

Theorem 9 (Margulis). — Let  $\widetilde{H}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple simply connected algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank  $(\widetilde{H}) \geqslant 2$ , let  $\Delta < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  be a subgroup of finite index and  $\rho: \Delta \to G'(k')$  a homomorphism into a linear algebraic group G' over a local field k' of characteristic zero.

- (1) If k' is archimedean, then the map  $\rho$  coincides, on a subgroup of finite index, with a representation  $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \to G'(k')$ .
- (2) If the local field k' is non-archimedean, then  $\rho(\Delta)$  is contained in a compact subgroup of G'(k').

*Proof.* — The usual statement of Margulis' super-rigidity says that if  $\rho$  is a homomorphism of an irreducible lattice  $\Gamma$  in a real semi-simple linear Lie group H without compact factors, and if G' is an absolutely simple group defined over an archimedean local

field k', then a representation from  $\Gamma$  into G'(k') with Zariski dense image, extends to a smooth representation of H into G'(k') (see [Mar91, Chap. VIII, Th. (C)]). However, if  $\widetilde{H}$  is the group of real points of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple simply connected algebraic group, then  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  may have compact factors and hence  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  (or a finite index subgroup of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ ) may have representations whose Zariski closures have compact factors.

Even so, representations of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  do extend to  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  under the hypotheses of Theorem 9. First of all, the Zariski closure  $\mathcal{H}$  of any representation of a higher rank lattice is semi-simple [Mar91, Chap. VIII, Th. (3.10)]. By passing to a finite index subgroup of  $\rho$  we may assume that this Zariski closure  $\mathcal{H}$  is connected and semi-simple. We may write  $\mathcal{H} = H_1 \cdots H_r$  as an almost direct product with each  $H_i$  absolutely almost simple over an archimedean local field  $k_i$  (which is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Hence the representation  $\rho$  is of the form  $\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r$ , with each  $\rho_i : \Delta \to H_i$  with Zariski dense image.

The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple group  $\widetilde{H}$  is obtained as the Weil restriction of scalars from K to  $\mathbb{Q}$  of an absolutely almost simple simply connected group  $H_0$  defined over a number field K. Namely,  $\widetilde{H} = R_{K/\mathbb{Q}}H_0$  (for the Weil restriction of scalar we refer to [Zim84, Chap. 6, p. 115]). Then,  $H(\mathbb{R}) = \prod_{\sigma \in K_{\infty}} H_0(K_{\sigma})$  where  $K_{\infty}$  is the set of inequivalent archimedean completions of the number field K.

Then, by [Mar91, Chap. VIII, Th. (3.6) (ii) (a)], the representation  $\rho_i$  coincides (up to a homomorphism of  $\Delta$  into the centre) with an algebraic representation of  $H_0$  into  $H_i$  defined over the field  $k_i$  and a homomorphism  $\sigma_i: K \to k_i$ . But any such archimedean embedding  $\sigma_i$  is simply the inclusion of K into  $K_s$  (followed by a continuous embedding of fields  $K_s \to k_i$ ) and hence the map  $\rho_i: \Delta \to H_i(k_i)$  is the composite of the inclusion of  $H_0(O_K)$  into  $H_0(K_s)$  followed by an algebraic homomorphism  $H_0 \to H_i$  defined over  $k_i$ . In other words,  $\rho_i$  is up to centre, an algebraic homomorphism of  $H_0(K_s)$  into  $H_i(k_i)$ . Since this centre is finite, we may pass to a further subgroup of finite index in  $\Delta$  to ensure that this homomorphism  $\rho$  restricted to the finite index subgroup, coincides with an algebraic representation of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$ 

We can now prove the main result (Proposition 10) of this section. In the proposition, when we talk of an algebraic subgroup J of G'(k') where k' is an archimedean local field, we mean that J is an algebraic  $\mathbb{R}$ -subgroup of the real group  $R_{k'/\mathbb{R}}(G')$  obtained from G' by the Weil restriction of scalars.

Proposition 10. — Let  $\widetilde{H}$  be an almost  $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple, simply connected algebraic group with  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank $(\widetilde{H}) \geqslant 2$  and  $\Delta < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{Z})$  an arithmetic subgroup. Let  $\rho : \Delta \to G'(k')$  be a representation, where G' is a linear algebraic group over a local field k' of characteristic zero. Suppose that  $S < \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  a closed non-compact subgroup and let J < G'(k') be an algebraic subgroup. Then any Borel measurable and  $\Delta$ -equivariant map  $\phi : \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})/S \to G'(k')/J$  coincides with a rational map almost everywhere on  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ . More precisely: If k' is an archimedean local field, there exist a homomorphism  $\widetilde{\rho} : \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \to G'(k')$  of real algebraic groups defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a point  $p \in G'(k')/J$ , such that for almost all  $h \in \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ , the map  $\phi(h)$  and the map  $h \mapsto \widetilde{\rho}(h)(p)$  coincide

(that is to say,  $\phi(h) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)p$  for almost all  $h \in \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  and coincides almost everywhere with an  $\mathbb{R}$ -rational map of real varieties on  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ ).

If k' is a non-archimedean local field, then the map  $\phi$  is constant a.e. on  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* — Suppose first that k' is archimedean. Let  $\Delta' < \Delta$  be a subgroup of finite index such that there exists (according to Theorem 9 quoted above) a representation  $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \to G'(k')$  which coincides with  $\rho$  on  $\Delta'$ . Consider the map  $\phi^*(h) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)^{-1}(\phi(h))$  from  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  into the quotient G'(k')/J. Then, for all  $\delta \in \Delta'$ , almost all  $h \in \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $s \in S$ , we have  $\phi^*(\delta h) = \phi^*(h)$  and  $\phi^*(hs) = \widetilde{\rho}(s)^{-1}(\phi^*(h))$ . That is, the map  $\phi^*$  is  $\Delta'$  invariant and S-equivariant for the action of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  on G'(k')/J via the representation  $\widetilde{\rho}$ .

The representation  $\widetilde{\rho}$  is algebraic; moreover, since k' is archimedean, by assumption the group J is a real algebraic subgroup of G'(k'), and hence the action of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  on G'(k')/J is smooth. Let  $S_1$  denote the Zariski closure of the image  $\widetilde{\rho}(S)$ . The  $S_1$ -action on G'(k')/J is smooth, hence the quotient  $S_1\backslash G'(k')/J$  is countably separated. On the other hand, by Lemma 2, the action of S on  $\Delta'\backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$  is ergodic. Hence, by [Zim84, Prop. (2.1.11)], the image of  $\phi^*$  is essentially contained in an  $S_1$ -orbit i.e., there exists a Borel set E of measure zero in  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})/S$ , such that the image under  $\phi$  of the complement of E is contained in an  $S_1$ -orbit.

Since S is a non-compact Lie group, S contains an element s of infinite order which generates a discrete non-compact subgroup. We may replace S by the Zariski closure of the group generated by the element s and  $S_1$  by the Zariski closure of the image of  $s^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Hence the  $S_1$ -orbit of the preceding paragraph is of the form  $S_1/S_2$  with  $S_2$  an algebraic subgroup of the abelian group  $S_1$ .

Case 1. — Suppose that the inverse image  $S' = S \cap \widetilde{\rho}^{-1}(S_2)$  is a non-compact subgroup. By Lemma 2, the group S' acts ergodically on  $\Delta \setminus \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ ; therefore, the map  $\phi^*$  — being S' invariant — is essentially constant:  $\phi^*(\Delta \setminus \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})) = \{p\}$  for some point  $p \in G'(k')/J$ . That is  $\phi(h) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)(p)$  a.e. on  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ , and coincides with a rational map a.e.

Case 2. — Suppose that S' is compact. Since  $s^{\mathbb{Z}}$  generates a discrete non-compact subgroup and S' is compact, the image  $s_1^{\mathbb{Z}} := \widetilde{\rho}(s^{\mathbb{Z}})$  also generates a discrete non-compact subgroup in  $S_1/S_2$  ( $\widetilde{\rho}$  being an algebraic, hence continuous, map). Hence  $s_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -orbits in  $S_1/S_2$  are closed so that the space  $s_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash S_1/S_2$  is countably separated. By Lemma 2,  $s^{\mathbb{Z}}$  acts ergodically on  $\Delta\backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ . By applying [Zim84, Prop. (2.1.11)] to the  $s_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -invariant map  $\overline{\phi}^*: \Delta\backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \to s_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash S_1/S_2$ , we deduce that the image of  $\phi^*$  is essentially contained in an orbit of  $s_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$  in the quotient group  $S_1/S_2$ . Then, by Lemma 3, it follows that  $\phi^*$  cannot exist and we are in Case 1.

If k' is non-archimedean, then by Theorem 9, the image  $\rho(\Delta)$  is contained in a compact group K which acts smoothly on G'(k')/J so that  $K\backslash G'(k')/J$  is countably separated. The group  $\Delta$  acts ergodically on  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})/S$ . Hence, by [Zim84, Prop. (2.1.11)],

the S-invariant map  $\overline{\phi}: \Delta \backslash \widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \to K \backslash G'(k')/J$  is essentially constant, and therefore the image of  $\phi$  is essentially contained in an orbit of K.

Since K is a compact subgroup of the p-adic group G'(k'), it has a decreasing sequence of open subgroups  $(K_n)_{(n\geqslant 1)}$  (of finite index in K) such that the intersection  $\bigcap_{n\geqslant 1}K_n=\{1\}$  is trivial. Then  $\Delta_n=\Delta\cap\rho^{-1}(K_n)$  is of finite index in  $\Delta$ . By Theorem 9 applied to  $\Delta_n$ , it follows that the image of  $\phi$  is contained in an orbit of  $K_n$  for each  $n\geqslant 1$ . But since the subgroups  $K_n$ 's converge to the identity subgroup, it follows that the image of  $\phi$  is a singleton. That is,  $\phi$  is constant on a co-null subset of  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{R})/S$ .

2.3. Some measure theoretic constructions. — We now mention a consequence of Fubini's theorem we will need in the proof of Theorem 4.

Notation 2. — Suppose that H is a locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological group with a Haar measure  $\mu$  and assume that  $(H,\mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Suppose that  $(X,\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space on which H acts such that the action  $H\times X\to X$  — denoted by  $(h,x)\mapsto hx$  — is measurable and so that for each  $h\in H$ , the map  $x\mapsto hx$  on X preserves the measure class of  $\nu$ . Let Z be a measure space and let  $f:X\to Z$  be a measurable map.

If  $\Sigma = \Sigma(X, Z)$  is the set of measurable maps from X to Z, then  $f \in \Sigma$  and H acts on  $\Sigma$  by left translations on X. We regard two maps  $\phi, \psi \in \Sigma$  to be equal if they coincide almost everywhere on X.

Lemma 4. — Under the above notation, suppose that there exists a co-null subset  $X_1 \subseteq X$  such that for each  $x \in X_1$ , the map  $h \mapsto f(hx)$  is constant on a co-null subset  $H_x$  of H.

Then, given  $h \in H$ , there exists a measurable subset  $X_h$  which is co-null in  $X_1$  and such that for all  $x \in X_h$ 

$$f(hx) = f(x)$$
.

This equation says that H lies in the isotropy of  $f \in \Sigma(X,Z)$ : for every  $h \in H$ , hf = f in  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* — First, let  $F = \{(x, a, b) \in X_1 \times H \times H : f(ax) = f(bx)\}$ . Being a pullback of the diagonal in  $Z \times Z$  under a measurable map, the set F is measurable. Further, for each x in the co-null set  $X_1$ , the slice  $\{x\} \times H_x \times H_x$  lies in F, and is co-null in  $\{x\} \times H \times H$ , so the set F is co-null.

By Fubini (see [Hal74, Th. A, p. 147]) there exists  $h_0 \in H$  such that the intersection  $E \times \{h_0\} := F \cap (X \times H \times \{h_0\})$  is co-null in  $X \times H \times \{h_0\}$ , so that E is co-null in  $X \times H$ 

Applying Fubini to E, there exists a co-null subset  $H_1 \subseteq H$  such that for each  $h \in H_1$  there is  $X_h \subseteq X$  co-null such that  $f(hx) = f(h_0x)$  for all  $x \in X_h$ . Thus, the two Z-valued functions  $x \mapsto f(hx)$  and  $x \mapsto f(h_0x)$  are equal a.e. on X and so lie in the same equivalence class of  $\Sigma$ .

On the set  $\Sigma = \Sigma(X, Z)$  of measurable maps (modulo the equivalence that equality a.e. implies equivalent), the group H operates by left translations on X. By the preceding paragraph,  $hf = h'f = h_0 f$  for all  $h, h' \in H_1$ , so that  $h^{-1}h'f = f$  lies in the isotropy of f.

Given  $g \in H$ , the set  $H_1g$  is again co-null and so intersects  $H_1$ ; that is, there exist  $h, h' \in H_1$  such that h' = hg and hence every  $g \in H$  is of the form  $h^{-1}h'$  for some  $h, h' \in H_1$ . Hence, by the preceding paragraph, gf = f for all  $g \in H$ , proving the lemma.

We recall a well known result of Furstenberg we will need, commonly known as Furstenberg's lemma but due to Zimmer in the form given below.

Lemma 5 (Furstenberg, [Zim84, Cor. (4.3.7) & Prop. (4.3.9)]). — Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a closed subgroup of a locally compact topological group G and that  $P_0$  is a closed amenable subgroup of G. Let X be a compact metric  $\Gamma$ -space. Then there exists a Borel measurable  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map  $\phi$  from a co-null subset of  $G/P_0$  to  $\mathfrak{P}(X)$ , the space of probability measures on X.

2.4. Some Lie theoretic results. — We recall that  $G = G(\mathbb{R})$  is a connected simple Lie group and  $\Gamma < G$  a Zariski dense discrete subgroup and H < G a semi-simple subgroup (we denote by H the group of real points  $H(\mathbb{R})$ ). In this section, we suppose that H is a semi-simple Lie subgroup of a *simple* Lie group G and refer to Notation 1 of the introduction. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie algebras of G and H respectively and  $X = G/P_0$ . Denote by f(H) the conjugate f(H).

Lemma 6. — Let G be a connected Lie group, H a semisimple subgroup and  $\Gamma < G$  a discrete Zariski dense subgroup. There exist finitely many elements  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma$  such that G is generated by the  $H_i = {}^{\gamma_i}(H)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Moreover, for every  $x \in G/P_0$ , the map  $H_k \times \cdots \times H_1 \to G/P_0$  given by  $(h_k, \ldots, h_1) \mapsto h_k \cdots h_1 x$ , is surjective.

*Proof.* — Let  $U \subseteq G$  be a small symmetric (i.e.,  $U = U^{-1}$ ) open neighbourhood of the identity. Then the countable union  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U^m$  is an open connected subgroup, so that  $G = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U^m$ .

Consider the sum  $W = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} {}^{\gamma}(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  of the subspaces  ${}^{\gamma}(\mathfrak{h})$ . This is stable under the action of  $\Gamma$  under the adjoint representation of G and by the Zariski density of  $\Gamma$ , it is stable under the adjoint action of G; the simplicity of G implies that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is irreducible for the action of G and hence  $W = \mathfrak{g}$ . Hence there exist finitely many elements  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell ({}^{\gamma_i}(\mathfrak{h})).$$

By the implicit function theorem, there exists a small symmetric open neighbourhood U of identity in G such that U is contained in the product set

$$\Pi = {}^{\gamma_{\ell}}(H) \cdots {}^{\gamma_{1}}(H).$$

Hence the group generated by the product  $\Pi$  contains  $U^m$  for all m and it follows that it is G.

Now,  $G/P_0 = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$  with  $X_m = U^m(P_0)$  an increasing sequence of open sets. The compactness of  $G/P_0$  implies the existence of some m such that  $G/P_0 = U^m(P_0) = X_m$ . Thus, given  $x \in G/P_0$ ,  $x \in U^m(P_0)$ ; equivalently,  $P_0 = U^m(x)$ . Hence  $G/P_0 = U^{2m}(x)$  for all  $x \in G/P_0$ .

By taking U small enough, we can assume that U is contained in the  $\ell$ -fold product set  $\Pi = {}^{\gamma_1}(H) \cdots {}^{\gamma_\ell}(H)$ . It follows that  $G/P_0 \subseteq ({}^{\gamma_1}(H) \cdots {}^{\gamma_\ell}(H))^{2m}(x)$  for every  $x \in G/P_0$ :

$$G/P_0 = U^{2m}(x) \subseteq \Pi^{2m}(x) \subseteq G/P_0.$$

We may take  $k = 2m\ell$  and take the  $(\gamma_j)_{1 \leq j \leq k}$  to lie among the elements  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell$  with possible repetitions. This proves the lemma.

2.5. Invariance properties of a map associated to  $\phi$ . — In addition to the assumptions preceding Lemma 6 assume that the subgroup H operates with non-compact isotropies on  $G/P_0$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of G whose intersection with H is an irreducible lattice. Thus we are under the assumptions of Theorem 3.

Lemma 7. — Let  $H, G, \Gamma$  as in Theorem 3. Suppose Z is a countably separated topological space. Then, any  $p: G/P_0 \to Z$  a measurable map which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, is constant on a co-null subset of  $G/P_0$ .

*Proof.* — Consider the space  $\Sigma$  of measurable maps from  $G/P_0$  into Z. On  $\Sigma$  the group G operates on the left by translations on  $G/P_0$ . Thus, for an element  $g \in G$ , gp = p means that  $p(g^{-1}x) = p(x)$  almost everywhere on  $G/P_0$ . The isotropy at the point p, of the action of G on the set  $\Sigma$ , is a subgroup of G. The invariance of the map p under  $\Gamma$  implies that the isotropy at p contains  $\Gamma$ .

Suppose  $X \subseteq G/P_0$  is the co-null subset on which p is defined everywhere. Write H for  $H(\mathbb{R})$  as in Proposition 10. For each  $x \in X \subseteq G/P_0$  we have the map  $p_x : h \mapsto p(hx)$  from H into Z. This map is defined almost everywhere on H. The invariance of p under  $\Gamma$  implies that the map  $p_x$  is invariant under  $\Delta$ . The isotropy  $H_x$  of H at x is non-compact. Since Z is countably separated, the ergodicity of the action of  $\Delta$  on  $H/H_x$ , then implies that the map  $p_x$  is constant almost everywhere (by [Zim84, Prop. (2.1.11)]). Then by Lemma 4, the isotropy of the map p contains H. Hence it contains the groups  $\gamma H \gamma^{-1}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By Lemma 6, the isotropy is then all of G.

We then have, for each  $g \in G$ , p(gx) = p(x) a.e. on X. Recall that as a topological space  $G = K \times P_0$ . In particular, for each  $k \in K$ , we have p(kx) = p(x) a.e. on X. Then by Lemma 4, there exists a co-null subset  $Y \subseteq X$  such that for each  $x \in Y$ , the equality p(kx) = p(x) holds on a co-null subset  $B \subseteq K$ . The image of B under the diffeomorphism  $k \mapsto kx$  from K onto  $G/P_0$  is therefore co-null and p is constant on this image.

Suppose we are under the hypotheses of Theorem 3. Thus we have a Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of the group G, and G contains the semi-simple subgroup H whose intersection with  $\Gamma$  is an irreducible lattice  $\Delta$  in H. We have a homomorphism  $\rho: \Gamma \to G'(k')$  with Zariski dense image where G' is a centreless absolutely simple group defined over a local field k' and P' < G' a minimal parabolic k'-subgroup. Consider the action of G'(k') on the space  $\mathcal{P}$  of probability measures on the compact Hausdorff space G'(k')/P'(k'). Then the quotient  $Z = \mathcal{P}/G'(k')$  is a topological space (which is  $T_0$ ) but is not necessarily Hausdorff). Then T, which maps to  $T_0$ 0 acts on  $T_0$ 1.

Proposition 11. — Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3, there exists a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant measurable map  $\phi: G/P_0 \to G'(k')/J$  for some closed subgroup J < G'(k').

*Proof.* — By the Furstenberg lemma (Lemma 5), we have a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant measurable map  $\phi: G/P_0 \to \mathcal{P}$ . Composing this with the quotient map  $\mathcal{P} \to Z = \mathcal{P}/G'(k')$ , we have a  $\Gamma$ -invariant measurable map  $p: G/P_0 \to Z$ . By [Zim84, Cor. (3.2.17)] (see also [Zim84, (2.1.9)]), the space Z is countably separated. By Lemma 7 above, the map p is a constant map, and hence the image of  $\phi$  lies in an orbit of G'(k') of a point  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

This orbit is isomorphic to the quotient G'(k')/J for some closed subgroup J of G'(k') since orbits in  $\mathcal{P}$  are locally closed [Zim84, Prop. (2.1.10) & Cor. (3.2.17)].  $\square$ 

2.6. An equivariance property of a map associated with  $\phi$ . — We assume that G' is an absolutely simple algebraic group defined over *archimedean* local field k' and J < G'(k') as in Proposition 11, so that we have a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant measurable map  $G/P \to G'(k')/J$ . Then  $\rho: H \to G'(k')$  (the subgroup H is such that it operates with non-compact isotropy at each point of  $G/P_0$ ). By Proposition 10, for each  $x \in G/P_0$  the map  $h \mapsto \widetilde{\rho}(h)^{-1}\phi(hx)$  is constant a.e. on H.

Thus, the function  $F: H \times X \to G'/J$  given by  $F(h,x) = \widetilde{\rho}^{-1}(h)\phi(hx)$  is such that for each  $x \in G/P_0$ , this map is constant a.e. on the slice  $H \times x$ . By Fubini, there exists a measurable function  $\theta: X \to G'/J$  such that on a co-null set in  $H \times X$  we have  $F(h,x) = \theta(x)$ . Consequently, for each  $x \in X_1$ , a co-null subset in X, there exists a co-null set  $H(x) \in H$  such that  $\phi(hx) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)\theta(x)$  for every  $h \in H(x)$ . Fix  $h_1 \in H(x)$ , and assume  $hh_1 \in H(x)$ ; the set of such h is also co-null. Then  $\widetilde{\rho}(hh_1)^{-1}\phi(hh_1x) = \theta(x)$  and hence  $\phi(hh_1x) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)\widetilde{\rho}(h_1)\theta(x)$ . On the other hand since  $h_1 \in H(x)$ , we have  $\widetilde{\rho}(h_1)\theta(x) = \phi(h_1x)$ . Therefore,  $\phi(hh_1x) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)\phi(h_1x)$ . By Fubini, the set of elements  $y = h_1x \in X_1$  with  $h_1 \in H(x)$  and  $x \in X_1$  is co-null and hence  $\phi(hy) = \widetilde{\rho}(h)\phi(y)$  holds on a co-null set  $Y \subseteq X$ .

Using the  $\Gamma$ -equivariance of  $\phi$  we get  $\phi(h_i x) = \widetilde{\rho}_i(h_i)\phi(x)$  for almost all  $h_i \in H_i = \gamma_i H \gamma_i^{-1}$  and almost all  $x \in X$  where  $\widetilde{\rho}_i(h_i) = \rho(\gamma_i)\widetilde{\rho}(h)\widetilde{\rho}(\gamma_i)^{-1}$ , with  $h_i = \gamma_i h \gamma_i^{-1}$ . Then it follows from a repeated application of Fubini's theorem that if  $H_i = \gamma_i H \gamma_i^{-1}$ , and  $k \geqslant 1$ , then

Lemma 8. — For each k-tuple  $h = (h_k, ..., h_1)$  in a co-null set  $W \subseteq H_k \times H_{k-1} \times ... \times H_1$ , there exists a co-null set  $X'(h) \subseteq X$ , such that for  $h \in W_k$  and  $x \in X(h)$ , we have

$$\phi(h_k h_{k-1} \cdots h_1 x) = \rho_k(h_k) \rho_{k-1}(h_{k-1}) \cdots \rho_1(h_1) \phi(x).$$

### 3. Proof of the super-rigidity result (Theorem 3)

We will now proceed to the proof of Theorem 3. We suppose that H is a semi-simple Lie subgroup of a simple Lie group G and Notation 1 from the Introduction. We will treat the archimedean and non-archimedean cases separately.

#### 3.1. The non-archimedean case

Theorem 12. — Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of a simple Lie group G which intersects a semi-simple Lie subgroup H (of real rank at least two) of G in an irreducible lattice  $\Delta$ . Suppose that H acts with non-compact isotropy at any point of  $G/P_0$  (or that  $\dim(H) > \dim(K)$  for a maximal compact subgroup K of G). Then, the group  $\Gamma$  is non-archimedean super-rigid (that is, if G' is an absolutely almost simple group defined over a non-archimedean local field k' of characteristic zero, then every representation  $\rho: \Gamma \to G'(k')$  has compact image).

*Proof.* — Suppose that  $\rho: \Gamma \to G'$  is a representation of  $\Gamma$  into an absolutely simple (centreless) algebraic group G' defined over a *non-archimedean* local field k' of characteristic zero with Zariski dense image.

By Proposition 11, there exists a closed subgroup J < G'(k') and  $\Gamma$ -equivariant measurable map  $\phi : G/P_0 \to G'(k')/J$ . By Proposition 10, for any  $x \in G/P_0$ , the map  $\phi_x : h \mapsto \phi(hx)$  is constant a.e. in H (we are therefore using the non-archimedean version of Margulis' super-rigidity as in Theorem 9 to conclude that the image of the lattice in H is contained in a compact subgroup in G'(k')).

Let  $\Sigma$  now denote the set of measurable maps from  $G/P_0$  into Z=G'(k')/J. Then  $\phi$  lies in  $\Sigma$ . The constancy of the map  $\phi_x$  for almost all  $x \in G/P_0$  then implies as in the proof of Lemma 7, that  $h\phi = \phi$  for all  $h \in H$ . Thus H leaves  $\phi$  invariant. The equivariance of  $\phi$  under  $\Gamma$  and invariance under H then implies that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the conjugate group  $\gamma(H)$  also leaves  $\phi$  invariant and hence the isotropy of  $\phi$  contains the group generated by these conjugates. By Lemma 6, this group is precisely G. Hence G leaves  $\phi$  fixed. By Lemma 7, the map  $\phi$  is then constant. Hence  $\rho(\Gamma)$  fixes a point  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

But the isotropy subgroup J in G'(k') of a probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$  is (by [Zim84, Cor. (3.2.19)]) either compact, whence  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is contained in a compact group, or else the isotropy is contained in an algebraic group L < G' of strictly smaller dimension. The latter is impossible because we have assumed that  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is Zariski dense in G'. Therefore,  $\rho(\Gamma)$  lies in a compact subgroup of G'(k').

This means that  $\Gamma$  is non-archimedean super-rigid in G, and proves Theorem 12.

#### 3.2. The archimedean case

3.2.1. Preliminaries on the G'-action on G'/J. — Recall that  $\rho: \Gamma \to G'(k')$ , where k' is an archimedean local field and G' is an absolutely simple group of adjoint type over k' such that G'(k') is not compact and  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is Zariski dense in the real algebraic group G'. By Furstenberg's lemma we have a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map  $\phi: G/P_0 \to \mathcal{P}$ . By Proposition 11,  $\phi$  lies in a G' orbit of a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ . The isotropy of G' at  $\mu$  is then a closed subgroup J < G'(k') with  $\phi: G/P_0 \to G'(k')/J$ . In the archimedean case, by [Zim84, Cor. (3.2.18)], the group J is an algebraic subgroup of the real algebraic group G'. The Zariski density of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  implies, by [Zim84, Cor. (3.2.19)], that the isotropy J is compact. Since G'(k') is non-compact, we finally get that J is a proper algebraic group of G'. The Zariski density of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  in G' then implies that  $\phi$  is not a constant map.

Consider the action of G' by left translations on G'/J. The set N of elements of G' which acts trivially on G'/J is a normal subgroup and is the intersection  $\bigcap_{g \in G'} gJg^{-1}$  which is a proper algebraic group since N < J and the latter, by the previous paragraph, is a proper algebraic subgroup. The simplicity of G' then implies that N is finite and central. Since G' is assumed to be centreless, it follows that N is trivial and hence that G' acts faithfully on G'/J.

3.2.2. A lemma on real varieties. — To proceed further in the proof in the archimedean case, we need a preliminary result and to state it, we set up some notation. Suppose X,Y,Z are smooth quasi-projective varieties defined over  $\mathbb R$  and  $\pi:Y\to X$  a surjective morphism defined over  $\mathbb R$ , such that the map  $\pi:Y(\mathbb R)\to X(\mathbb R)$  (again denoted by  $\pi$ ) is a surjective map of manifolds. Assume that  $X(\mathbb R)$  and  $Y(\mathbb R)$  are Zariski dense in X and Y respectively. We fix  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $X(\mathbb R)$  and  $Y(\mathbb R)$  respectively, absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on each co-ordinate chart of  $X(\mathbb R)$  (resp.  $Y(\mathbb R)$ ). Suppose  $\phi:X(\mathbb R)\to Z(\mathbb R)$  is a  $\mu$ -measurable map defined almost everywhere on  $(X(\mathbb R),\mu)$  such that the composite map  $\phi\circ\pi:Y(\mathbb R)\to Z(\mathbb R)$  coincides – almost everywhere with respect to  $\nu$  – with a rational map  $\psi:Y\to Z$  defined over  $\mathbb R$ . That is,  $\phi\circ\pi=\psi$  a.e. on  $(Y(\mathbb R),\nu)$ .

Lemma 9. — With the foregoing assumptions, the map  $\psi: Y(\mathbb{R}) \to Z(\mathbb{R})$  descends to an analytic map defined on a Zariski open set U of  $X(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\psi: U(\mathbb{R}) \to Z(\mathbb{R})$  and the map  $\phi: X(\mathbb{R}) \to Z(\mathbb{R})$  coincide almost everywhere on  $(X(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$  (we abuse notation slightly and continue to denote the descended map on U also by  $\psi$ ).

# Proof

- (1) The zero set of a polynomial in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has zero Lebesgue measure. This implies that if  $U \subseteq X$  is a Zariski open set defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $X(\mathbb{R}) \setminus U(\mathbb{R})$  has zero Lebesgue measure and therefore, we may replace X by U in the lemma.
- (2) Suppose  $Y \to X$  is a finite map. By passing to a Zariski open subset  $U \subseteq X$  defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we map assume that  $Y \to X$  is a finite cover and hence  $Y(\mathbb{R}) \to X(\mathbb{R})$

is also a finite cover (with possibly fewer sheets). Under finite covers, it is immediate (by passing to evenly covered open sets) that a subset  $E \subseteq (Y(\mathbb{R}), \nu)$  is measurable and has zero measure if and only if its image  $\pi(E) \subseteq (X(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$  is measurable and has zero measure.

Let  $V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$  be an evenly covered co-ordinate open set in  $X(\mathbb{R})$  for the finite cover  $Y(\mathbb{R}) \to X(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  is a finite disjoint union of copies of  $V: \pi^{-1}(V) = \coprod_{i \in I} V_i$ , with each  $V_i$  open in  $Y(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\pi: V_i \to V$  an analytic isomorphism. Let  $\sigma_i$  be the inverse map  $\sigma_i: V \to V_i$ . This is also analytic. If  $i, j \in I$ , then the rational function  $\psi: Y(\mathbb{R}) \to Z(\mathbb{R})$  is such that  $\psi(\sigma_i(x)) = \phi(x) = \psi(\sigma_j(x))$  for a co-null set  $E \subseteq V$ . The analyticity of  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_j$  imply that  $\psi(\sigma_i(x)) = \psi(\sigma_j(x))$  for all  $x \in V$ . Hence  $\psi$  descends to an analytic function  $\psi$  on  $X(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi = \psi$  a.e. on  $(X(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$ : for  $x \in V$ , define  $\psi(x) = \psi(\sigma_i(x))$ ; then  $\psi(x) = \phi(x)$  a.e. on V.

(3) Suppose  $Y = X \times W$  is a product of  $\mathbb{R}$ -varieties and  $\pi : Y = X \times W \to X$  the projection map. Then by assumption of the lemma, there exists a co-null subset  $E \subseteq Y(\mathbb{R}) = X(\mathbb{R}) \times W(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\phi(\pi(x, w)) = \psi(x, w)$  for all  $(x, w) \in E$ . Since  $\phi \circ \pi(x, w) = \phi(x)$  is constant a.e. on  $W(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows, for all x in some co-null subset  $E \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ , that the map  $w \mapsto \psi(x, w)$  is constant a.e. in  $W(\mathbb{R})$ . By the rationality of  $\psi$  this means that  $w \mapsto \psi(x, w)$  is constant everywhere on  $W(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus the map  $(x, w) \mapsto \psi(x, w) = \psi(x)$  is rational in x on  $X(\mathbb{R})$ .

The image of  $E \subseteq Y(\mathbb{R}) = X(\mathbb{R}) \times W(\mathbb{R})$  in  $X(\mathbb{R})$  may not be- a priori- measurable. However, the set  $F = \{x \in X(\mathbb{R}) : \phi(x) = \psi(x)\}$  is measurable and its inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(F)$  contains the set E. Since E is co-null, it follows that  $\pi^{-1}(F)$  is co-null and hence F is co-null. That is,  $\phi = \psi$  a.e. on  $(X(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$ .

(4) In general, the map  $Y \to X$  (after possibly replacing X by a Zariski open set  $U \subseteq X$ ) is a composite of two maps  $p: Y \to Y_1 = X \times W$  and the projection  $pr: Y_1 \to X$ , where p is a finite cover. Since we have proved the lemma for  $(Y_1, X)$  by (3) and for  $(Y, Y_1)$  (by (2)), the lemma is proved in general.

Remark 1. — In an earlier version, we had wrongly asserted that the map  $\psi$  extends to an algebraic map; this true for complex points, but for real points, we can only assert analyticity (as an example, we consider  $X = Y = Z = \mathbb{R}^*$ , the map  $Y \to Z$  is identity and the map  $\pi: Y \to X$  is the map  $x \mapsto x^3$ ; the map  $\pi$  is 3-sheeted over complex points but one sheeted over real points. Then the map  $X \to Z$  i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^* \to \mathbb{R}^*$  is given by  $x \mapsto x^{1/3}$ ; this is analytic but not algebraic). The analyticity is sufficient for our purposes.

We had also assumed that the maps  $Y \to Z$  and  $X \to Z$  were everywhere defined. But we actually have these maps defined only almost everywhere; therefore, we need to prove the lemma in the more general situation.

We recall that  $G = G(\mathbb{R})$  is a connected simple Lie group,  $\Gamma < G$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup and H < G a semi-simple subgroup (we are denoting by H the group of real points  $H(\mathbb{R})$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie algebras of G and H respectively.

Let  $P_0$  be as before and  $X = G/P_0$ . Denote by  $^{\gamma}(H)$  the conjugate  $\gamma H \gamma^{-1}$ . We prove some preliminary results in preparation of the proof in the archimedean case.

By Proposition 11, there exists a measurable  $\Gamma$ -equivariant map  $\phi: G/P_0 \to G'(k')/J$  where J < G'(k') is a real algebraic subgroup. Moreover, if  $\rho_i(h_i) := \rho(\gamma_i)\widetilde{\rho}(h)\rho(\gamma_i)^{-1}$  with  $H_i = {}^{\gamma_i}(H)$ , and if k is as in Lemma 6, then, by Lemma 8, we have

$$\phi(h_k h_{k-1} \cdots h_1 x) = \rho_k(h_k) \cdots \rho_1(h_1) \phi(x)$$
 a.e. on  $G/P_0$ .

Lemma 10. — Under these assumptions,  $\phi: G/P_0 \to Z$  coincides with an analytic function  $\psi$  on  $G/P_0$  almost everywhere on  $G/P_0$ . Moreover, the analytic function  $\psi$  is defined everywhere on  $G/P_0$ .

*Proof.* — We have the equation

(3.1) 
$$\phi(h_k \cdots h_1 x) = \rho_k(h_k) \cdots \rho_1(h_1)\phi(x)$$

for all elements  $(h_k, \ldots, h_1, x)$  in a co-null set  $E \subseteq H_k \times \cdots \times H_1 \times X$ . By Fubini, there exists a co-null set  $X' \subseteq X$  such that for each  $x \in X'$ , the equation (3.1) holds on a co-null subset  $E(x) \subseteq H_k \times \cdots \times H_1$ . Write  $Y = H_k \times \cdots \times H_1$ . The map  $\psi : Y \to Z$  given by  $(h_k, \ldots, h_1) \mapsto \rho_k(h_k) \cdots \rho_1(h_1)\phi(x)$  is rational for every  $x \in X'$ , and is a composite map of the form  $\phi \circ \pi$  where  $\pi : Y \to X$  is the map  $(h_k, \ldots, h_1) \mapsto h_k \cdots h_1 x$ . By Lemma 6, the map  $\pi$  is surjective on real points. Consequently, by Lemma 9, the map  $\phi$  coincides with an analytic function  $\psi$  a.e. on  $X = G/P_0$ , proving the first part of the claim. Moreover, by Lemma 9, the map  $\psi$  is defined on a Zariski open set U in  $G/P_0$ .

There is a natural partial order on the set of pairs  $(\psi, U)$  where  $\psi : G/P_0 \to Z$  is an analytic map defined over a Zariski open set U and coinciding with the map  $\phi$  almost everywhere on  $G/P_0$ : we say that  $(\psi_1, U_1) \leq (\psi_2, U_2)$  if  $\psi_2$  coincides with  $\psi_1$  in  $U_1$  and  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ . Since any increasing sequence of Zariski open sets terminates (by the Noetherian property for the Zariski topology), we may assume that our map  $\psi$  is defined on a maximal Zariski open set U (note that any Zariski open set is co-null in  $G/P_0$ ).

Given  $h \in H_i$  for any i,  $\rho_i(h)^{-1}\phi(h_ix) = \phi(x)$  a.e. on  $X = G/P_0$ . Then  $\psi' = \rho_i(h)^{-1}\psi(hx)$  equals  $\psi(x)$  a.e.. But the analytic functions  $\psi'$  and  $\psi$  are both defined on the intersection  $V = U \cap h^{-1}(U)$  and are equal a.e. on V. Therefore, they coincide everywhere on V. Hence  $\psi$  can be extended to an analytic function  $\psi''$  on the open set  $U \cup h^{-1}(U)$ . The maximality of  $(\psi, U)$  then implies that  $h^{-1}(U) = U$  for all  $h \in H$ .

The same is true for the  $H_i$  for any  $i \leq k$ . Since the  $H_i$  generate G, it follows that g(U) = U for all  $g \in G$ ; therefore,  $U = G/P_0$  and  $\psi$  is defined everywhere on  $G/P_0$ . By replacing  $\phi$  with  $\psi$  (which equals  $\phi$  a.e. on  $G/P_0$ ) we may assume that the map  $\phi: G/P_0 \to G'/J$  is an everywhere defined analytic map, which is equivariant for the action of H and of the discrete group  $\Gamma$ .

Theorem 13. — Let H be a semi-simple Lie subgroup (of real rank at least two) of a simple Lie group G which acts with non-compact isotropies on  $G/P_0$  (or, which

satisfies the stronger condition  $\dim(H) > \dim(K)$  for a maximal compact subgroup K of G). Let  $\Gamma < G$  be a Zariski dense discrete subgroup which intersects H in an irreducible lattice. Let  $\rho : \Gamma \to G'(k')$  be a homomorphism, with k' an archimedean local field, and G' an absolutely simple algebraic group over k'. If  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is not relatively compact in G'(k') and is Zariski dense in G', then  $\rho$  extends to an algebraic homomorphism of G into  $R_{k'/\mathbb{R}}G'$  defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* — Here G'(k') is viewed as the group of real points of a real algebraic group  $R_{k'/\mathbb{R}}(G')$  where R is the Weil restriction of scalars. We view the semi-simple linear group G also as the group of real points of a real algebraic group.

If  $\rho$  is an archimedean representation of  $\Gamma$ , then, by Lemma 10 above, there exists a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant everywhere defined analytic map

$$\phi: G/P_0 \longrightarrow G'/J.$$

The countable set  $\Gamma$  may be written  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m, \dots\}$ . Write  $H_i$  for the conjugate  $\gamma_i H \gamma_i^{-1}$ . For a fixed m, denote by  $Y_m$  the product  $H_1 \times \dots \times H_m$ . Then the set of elements in  $Y_{m+1}$  whose last co-ordinate is the identity element is identified to  $Y_m$  and denote by Y the countable increasing union  $Y = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_m$ . If  $h \in Y$ , then  $h \in Y_m$  for some m; write  $h = (h_1, h_{m-1}, \dots, h_m)$ , and set  $\Pi(h)$  to be the product (in G)  $\Pi(h) = h_m h_{m-1} \cdots h_1$ . We thus get a map  $\Pi : Y \to G$ . Since G is the group generated by the subgroups  $H_m$ , it follows that the map  $\Pi$  is surjective.

For  $h \in Y_m$  with  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$  as above, define the element R(h) as the product  $R(h) = \rho_m(h_m) \cdots \rho_1(h_1)$  in the group G'. Then  $R: Y \to G'$  is a set theoretic map. The equivariance of the map  $\phi$  then says that  $\phi(h_m \cdots h_1 x) = \rho_m(h_m) \cdots \rho_1(h_1)\phi(x)$  for all  $x \in G/P_0$  and for all  $h_i \in H_i$ . Hence for all  $h \in Y$  and all  $x \in G/P_0$  we have  $\phi(\Pi(h)x) = R(h)\phi(x)$ . Suppose  $g = \Pi(h) = \Pi(h')$  for two elements  $h, h' \in Y$  (we may assume that both  $h, h' \in Y_m$  for some m).

We then get

$$\phi(gx) = R(h)\phi(x) = R(h')\phi(x) \quad \forall x \in G/P_0.$$

For each  $x \in G/P_0 = X$ , consider the conjugate  $\phi(x)J\phi(x)^{-1}$  (the conjugate  $yJy^{-1}$  depends only on the equivalence class yJ), and consider the intersection

$$N = \bigcap_{x \in X} \phi(x) J \phi(x)^{-1}.$$

The  $\Gamma$ -equivariance of the map  $\phi$  shows that the algebraic group N is normalised by  $\rho(\Gamma)$  and hence by the Zariski closure G'. The simplicity of G' then implies that N lies in the centre of G' which by assumption, is trivial. The equation of the preceding paragraph then says that the element  $R(h)^{-1}R(h')$  lies in N and is hence trivial. Therefore, the map  $R:Y\to G'$  is the same for two elements  $h,h'\in Y$  with  $\Pi(h)=\Pi(h')$ . In other words R descends to a map (which we still denote by R), with  $R:G\to G'$  such that for all  $g\in G$  and all  $x\in X$ ,  $\phi(gx)=R(g)\phi(x)$ .

Given  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , we then get  $R(g_1g_2)\phi(x) = \phi(g_1g_2x) = R(g_1)\phi(g_2x) = R(g_1)R(g_2)\phi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . The triviality of the group N of the preceding paragraph then says that  $R(g_1g_2) = R(g_1)R(g_2)$ , and hence the set theoretic map

 $R:G\to G'$  is an abstract group homomorphism, with  $\phi(gx)=R(g)\phi(x)$  for all  $g\in G$  and  $x\in X$ .

The intersection  $1 = N = \bigcap_{x \in X} \phi(x) J \phi(x)^{-1}$  of closed varieties is actually a finite intersection since the Zariski topology on G' is Noetherian. Therefore, there exist points  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in X$  such that the intersection is  $1 = N = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \phi(x_i) J \phi(x_i)^{-1}$ . Consider the m-tuple i.e., the point  $p = (\phi(x_1), \ldots, \phi(x_m)) \in G'/J \times \cdots \times G'/J$ , the latter product is the m-fold product of G'/J with itself. The isotropy of G' (under the diagonal action of G' on  $(G/J)^m$ ) at p is the intersection of the groups  $\phi(x_i) J \phi(x_i)^{-1}$  and is hence trivial. Thus the map  $g' \mapsto g'(p)$  is an isomorphism from G' onto its orbit G'p.

Since the map  $\phi$  is analytic, the equality  $R(g)p = (\phi(gx_1), \ldots, \phi(gx_m))$  for all  $g \in G$  shows that the map  $g \mapsto R(g)p$  is an analytic map from G into the orbit G'p. Since the orbit is isomorphic to G', we finally get that the abstract homomorphism  $R: G \to G'$  is an analytic homomorphism. But any analytic homomorphism of the algebraic group G into the centreless group G' (i.e., G' is an algebraic group such that  $G'(\mathbb{C})$  has no centre), is algebraic. Hence the map R is an algebraic homomorphism. The  $\Gamma$ -equivariance of  $\phi$  shows that  $\rho(\gamma) = R(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and hence R extends  $\rho$ . This proves the archimedean super-rigidity.

Theorem 3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 12 and Theorem 13. To prove Theorem 4 we first observe:

Lemma 11. — Let H be a semi-simple subgroup of simple group G and K a maximal compact subgroup of G. Assume that  $\dim(H) > \dim(K)$ . Let G = KAN be an Iwasawa decomposition of G and  $P_0 = AN$ . Then the isotropy subgroup of H at any point in  $G/P_0$  is a non-compact subgroup of H.

*Proof.* — Since  $G/P_0 = K$ , we have  $\dim(G/P_0) = \dim(K)$ , and since  $\dim(H) > \dim(K)$ , at any point  $p \in G/P_0$ , the isotropy of H is a positive dimensional subgroup, which is conjugate to a subgroup of  $P_0$ ; the latter has no compact subgroups, hence the isotropy of H at p is a non-compact subgroup.

Theorem 4 is a particular case of Theorem 3, in view of Lemma 11.

## 4. Applications (proof of Corollary 5)

Assume that  $H = \mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R})$  embedded in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  in the top left hand corner. Under the assumptions of Corollary 5, we have  $k > n/\sqrt{2}$  and  $\dim(H) = k^2 - 1 > \dim(G/P_0) = n(n-1)/2$ . Therefore, if  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of G which intersects H in a lattice, then by Theorem 4,  $\Gamma$  is super-rigid. We now prove Corollary 5.

We now recall a result, which is a generalisation of Margulis' observation that super-rigidity implies arithmeticity. However, Margulis needed the discrete subgroup to be a lattice. We have not assumed that  $\Gamma$  is a lattice (indeed, this is what is to be proved), and we also do not assume that  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated.

Theorem 14 ([Ven93]). — Let G be an absolutely simple real algebraic group and let  $\Gamma$  be a super-rigid discrete subgroup. Then there exists an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of G containing  $\Gamma$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_0 < G$  is arithmetic. This means that there exists a number field F and a semi-simple linear algebraic F-group G such that the group  $G(\mathbb{R} \otimes F)$  is isomorphic to a product  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times U$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  with a compact group U. Under this isomorphism, the projection of  $G(O_F)$  of the integral points of G into G is commensurable with  $\Gamma_0$ . The simplicity of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  implies that G may be assumed to be absolutely simple over F. The group G is said to be an F-form of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ . Moreover, if  $\Gamma_0$  contains unipotent elements, then G cannot be anisotropic over F. Hence the F-rank of G is greater than zero. In that case,  $G(F \otimes \mathbb{R})$  cannot contain compact factors (since compact groups cannot contain unipotent elements). This means that  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .

We now recall the classification of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -forms of  $SL_n$ .

- (1) Let d be a divisor of n and D a central division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree d. Write n = md. Then, the algebraic group  $G = \mathrm{SL}_m(D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ . The rank of G is m 1 = n/d 1. If  $d \ge 2$ , then m 1 < n/2.
- (2) Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a quadratic extension and D a central division algebra over E with an involution of the second kind with respect to E/Q. Let d be the degree of D over E, suppose d divides n and let md = n. Let  $h: D^m \times D^m \to E$  be a Hermitian form with respect to this involution, and let  $G = \mathrm{SU}(h)$ . Then, G is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ; its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank is not more than  $m/2 = n/2d \leqslant n/2$ .

The classification of simple algebraic groups (see [Tit66]), implies the following.

Lemma 12. — The only  $\mathbb{Q}$ -forms G of  $\operatorname{SL}_n$  are as above. In particular, if G is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank strictly greater than n/2, then G is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphic to  $\operatorname{SL}_n$ .

Proof of Corollary 5. — By Theorem 4, the group  $\Gamma$  is super-rigid in G. By Theorem 14,  $\Gamma$  is contained in an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of G. Since  $\Gamma_0 > \Gamma$  contains a finite index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  by assumption, it follows that  $\Gamma_0$  contains unipotent elements. Therefore, the number field F associated to  $\Gamma_0$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$  and there is G a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  such that  $\Gamma_0$  is commensurate with  $G(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\Gamma < \Gamma_0$ , a finite index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is a subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{Q})$  and hence its Zariski closure  $\mathrm{SL}_k$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroup of G. Hence the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank of G is not less than the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank of  $\mathrm{SL}_k$  which is k-1>n/2 by assumption.

By Lemma 12, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form G is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ . Hence  $\Gamma_0$  is commensurable with  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -inclusion of  $H = \mathrm{SL}_k$  in  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$  is the standard one described before the statement of Theorem 1.

Now,  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense and contains a finite index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Consider the change of basis which interchanges  $e_k$  and  $e_n$  and all other  $e_i$ 's are left unchanged. After this change of basis, (which leaves the diagonal torus stable), the group  $\Gamma$  (or rather, a conjugate of it by the matrix effecting this change of basis) contains the highest root group and the

second highest root group (in the usual notation for  $SL_n$  the positive roots occur in the Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices). By [Ven87, Th. (3.5) or Cor. 3.6)],  $\Gamma$  must be of finite index in  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . This proves Corollary 5.

Corollary 6 is proved in an analogous way.

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 1

NOTATION 3. — Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \geq k+2$  be integers. The standard n dimensional real vector space is denoted  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and its standard basis is denoted  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ . Write  $W = \sum_{i \leq k} \mathbb{R} e_i$  and  $W' = \sum_{i \geq k} \mathbb{R} e_i$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the direct sum  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W'$ . The group  $\mathrm{SL}(W)$  is viewed as the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  which acts via the standard representation on W and acts trivially on W'. Then the set  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^W$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(W)$ -invariant vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is precisely W', and  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SL}(W) < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is the "top left hand corner".

We also write  $(\mathbb{R}e_1)' = \sum_{i>1} \mathbb{R}e_i$  and  $(\mathbb{R}e_k)' = \sum_{i\neq k} \mathbb{R}e_i$ . Then  $W' \subseteq (\mathbb{R}e_1)'$  and  $W' \subseteq (\mathbb{R}e_k)'$  and we have the decomposition

(5.1) 
$$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus (\mathbb{R}e_1)' = \mathbb{R}e_k \oplus (\mathbb{R}e_k)' = W \oplus W'.$$

Given  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $g(e_1) = (w(g), w'(g))$  according to the decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W'$ .

Fix an integer  $m \ge 1$ . If  $i, j \le n$  denote by  $E_{ij}$  the  $n \times n$  matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  whose ij-th entry is 1 and all other entries are zero. Let  $u_0 = 1 + E_{1k}$ ; then  $u_0^m = 1 + mE_{1k}$  and  $u_0 \in SL(W) \cap SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, the kernel of  $(u_0^m - 1)$  is  $\sum_{i \ne k} \mathbb{R}e_i = (\mathbb{R}e_k)'$ , and the image of  $(u_0^m - 1)$  is  $\mathbb{R}e_1$ . Given  $g \in SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , write  $u(g) = gu_0^m g^{-1}$ .

Lemma 13. — With the preceding notation let

$$U = \{ g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : g(e_1) \notin W \cup W', \ g^{-1}(W) \not\subseteq (\mathbb{R}e_k)', \ g^{-1}(W') \not\subseteq (\mathbb{R}e_k)'$$
 and  $(u(g) - 1)w'(g) \neq 0 \}.$ 

Then U is a nonempty Zariski open set in  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* — Suppose 
$$X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$
 are two *proper non-zero* subspaces. The set  $\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : g(X) \not\subseteq Y\}$ 

is Zariski open and nonempty: indeed, if  $g(X) \subseteq Y$  for all  $g \in SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then the proper subspace Y contains the span  $\sum_{g \in G} g(X)$  which is a non-zero  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace, contradicting the irreducibility of the action of  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since a finite intersection of non-empty Zariski open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is also non-empty and Zariski open, it follows that the set

$$V = \left\{ g \in \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : g(\mathbb{R}e_i) \not\subseteq W, \ g(\mathbb{R}e_1) \not\subseteq W', \ g^{-1}(W') \not\subseteq (\mathbb{R}e_k)', \ g^{-1}(W') \not\subseteq (\mathbb{R}e_k)' \right\}$$

is non-empty and Zariski open.

Suppose  $u(g) = gu_0^m g^{-1}$  and  $g(e_1) = (w(g), w'(g))$  as before such that (u(g)-1)w'(g) is identically zero on the Zariski open set V; since this function extends to all of G, this is identically zero on G as well. Fix x in the open set V. Then (u(x)-1)w'(x) = 0.

That is,  $(u_0^m - 1)x^{-1}w'(x) = 0$ . The kernel of  $u_0^m - 1$  is  $(\mathbb{R}e_k)'$ , and hence  $x^{-1}w'(x) \in (\mathbb{R}e_k)'$  for all  $x \in V$ . Moreover, since  $x(e_1) \notin W \cup W'$ , it follows that under the decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W'$ ,  $x(e_1) = (w(x), w'(x))$  and  $w(x) \neq 0$ ,  $w'(x) \neq 0$ .

We have the decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus (\mathbb{R}e_1)'$ ; accordingly, we may write  $x^{-1}w'(x) = \lambda e_1 + \xi$ ,  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}e_1)'$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\xi = 0$ , then  $w'(x) = \lambda x(e_1) = \lambda(w(x), w'(x))$  which shows that w(x) = 0; this is impossible since  $x \in V$ . Hence  $\xi \neq 0$ .

We now observe that the function  $g \mapsto g(e_1)$  descends to a function on the quotient G/M, where  $M = \{a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})\}$  is the subgroup  $\mathrm{SL}((\mathbb{R}e_1)')$ . Since M acts transitively on  $(\mathbb{R}e_1)' \setminus \{0\}$ , it follows that there exists  $a \in M$  with  $a^{-1}(\xi) = e_k$ . Put g = xa. Then w(g) = w(x), w'(g) = w'(x), and

$$g^{-1}w'(g) = a^{-1}x^{-1}w'(x) = a^{-1}(\lambda e_1 + \xi) = \lambda e_1 + a^{-1}\xi = \lambda e_1 + e_k.$$

We get  $(u_0^m-1)g^{-1}w'(g)=(u_0^m-1)(e_k)=me_1\neq 0$  since  $u_0$  fixes the vector  $e_1$ . Hence, multiplying by g on the left, we get  $(u(g)-1)w'(g)=mg(e_1)\neq 0$ . Hence the set  $\{g\in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}): (u(g)-1)w'(g)\neq 0\}$  is non-empty and Zariski open. The intersection of this set with V is the set U of the lemma and U is therefore non-empty and open.

Lemma 14. — Suppose  $m \ge 1$  and  $W, u_0, g \in U$  are as in Lemma 13 and  $u(g) = gu_0^m g^{-1}$ . Let  $\mathfrak G$  be the Zariski closure in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb R)$  of the group generated by  $\mathrm{SL}(W)$  and u(g). Then there is an element of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb R)$  which conjugates  $\mathfrak G$  isomorphically onto the top left hand corner  $\mathrm{SL}_{k+1}(\mathbb R)$ , and which is identity on  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb R)$ .

Proof. — The element  $u_0$  is such that  $u_0^m - 1$  maps all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}e_1$ ; hence u(g) - 1 maps all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}g(e_1)$ . A subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which contains  $g(e_1)$  is therefore stable under u(g) - 1 and hence under u(g). Similarly, every  $h \in \mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R})$  is such that h - 1 maps all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $W = \mathbb{R}^k$ ; therefore, a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which contains W is invariant under h - 1 and hence under all  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ . This implies that  $F = F(g) = W + \mathbb{R}g(e_1)$  is stable both under  $\mathrm{SL}(W) = \mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{R})$  and under u(g); thus F is  $\mathcal{G}$ -stable.

(1) We first show that  $\mathcal{G}$  acts irreducibly on F. Suppose  $E\subseteq F$  is a non-zero  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant subspace.

Case 1: The intersection  $E \cap W$  is non-zero. — Since both E and W are SL(W)-stable, and SL(W) acts irreducibly on W, it follows that  $E \cap W = W$  and hence  $E \supseteq W$ .

Since  $g \in U$ ,  $g^{-1}(W)$  is not contained in  $(\mathbb{R}e_k)' = \ker(u_0^m - 1)$ , and there exists a vector  $w_1 \in W \subseteq E$ , such that  $(u_0^m - 1)g^{-1}(w_1) = \lambda e_1$  for some non-zero scalar  $\lambda$ . Therefore,  $\lambda g(e_1) = (u(g) - 1)w_1$  lies in E since E is stable under u(g). Hence  $E \supseteq \mathbb{R}g(e_1)$  as well and so E = F.

Case 2: The intersection  $E \cap W = 0$  (we show that it is not possible). — Then E maps injectively into the one dimensional quotient  $F/W = \mathbb{R}g(e_1)$ . Hence  $E = \mathbb{R}e$  is one dimensional, and is SL(W) stable; hence SL(W) acts trivially on E and  $e \in W'$ . Since U is unipotent and E is u(g)-stable, it follows that e is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1 for the action of u(g), meaning that (u(g) - 1)e = 0.

After replacing e by a scalar if necessary, we may assume that e maps to  $g(e_1)$  in F/W; that is,  $g(e_1) = e + w$  for some  $w \in W$ . In other words,  $g(e_1) = (w, e)$ . But  $g(e_1) = (w(g), w'(g))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W'$ . Hence e = w'(g), and (u(g) - 1)w'(g) = 0 by the preceding paragraph. This contradicts the assumption that g lies in the open set U. Hence the case  $W \cap E = 0$  cannot arise.

(2) Since SL(W) is generated by unipotent elements and u(g) is unipotent, it follows that the Zariski closure  $\mathfrak{G}$  is connected. Therefore, its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  also acts irreducibly on  $F = W + \mathbb{R}g(e_1) = W \oplus \mathbb{R}w'(g) = \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ , so is contained in  $\mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$  and contains  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$ . We now show that any such Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  must be all of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$ , assuming  $k \geq 3$ .

To see this, suppose  $k \geq 3$  and decompose  $\mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$  as a module over  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$  (sitting in the top left hand corner). Write a matrix  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$  in block form  $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & d \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_k$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^k$  (viewed as column vectors of size k),  $C \in (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  (viewed as row vectors of size k) and d a scalar such that  $d + \operatorname{trace}(A) = 0$ . The maps  $X \mapsto B$  and  $X \mapsto C$  take  $\mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  respectively and are module maps of  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$ . (Similarly A). We therefore get a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$  as a module over  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$  (triv is the trivial one dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$ ):

$$\mathfrak{sl}_{k+1} = \mathfrak{sl}_k \oplus \mathbb{R}^k \oplus (\mathbb{R}^k)^* \oplus \text{triv.}$$

Since  $k \geq 3$ , the irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$ -modules  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  are not isomorphic, and hence in the above decomposition, each irreducible module occurs only once. Consequently, if  $M \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$  is a submodule for  $\mathfrak{sl}_k$  and maps non-trivially into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  or  $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$ , then it contains  $\mathbb{R}^k$  or  $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$ . We apply this observation to the submodule  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If the map  $B: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is identically zero, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in the subalgebra  $\{\binom{A \ 0}{C \ d}: X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}\}$ , which shows that the line  $\mathbb{R}e_{k+1}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable. This contradicts the irreducibility of the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ , and hence B is not identically zero on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; that is,  $\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Similarly, if the map  $C: \mathfrak{g} \to (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  is identically zero, then

$$\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{k+1} : X = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)\}$$

leaves  $\mathbb{R}^k$  stable, contradicting the irreducibility of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{g} \supseteq (\mathbb{R}^k)^*$ . The bracket of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$  maps onto the trivial representation and hence  $\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \text{triv}$  as well.

By assumption,  $\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathfrak{sl}_k$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{k+1}$ . Therefore, the image of the group  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(W + \mathbb{R}g(e_1))$  is  $\operatorname{SL}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  after a conjugation.

(3) We know from the preceding that  $(u(g)-1)w'(g) \neq 0$ . The kernel of u(g)-1 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $g(\mathbb{R}e_k)'$  and has co-dimension one in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; hence its intersection with W' has codimension one in W' (since, by assumption  $g \in U$  – cf. Lemma 13 – W' is not contained in  $g(\mathbb{R}e_k)'$ ). Therefore,  $W'' = W' \cap \ker(u(g)-1)$  has co-dimension one in W' and and  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus \mathbb{R}w'(g) \oplus W''$ ; The action of  $\mathrm{SL}(W)$  on W'' is trivial since  $W'' \subseteq W'$ ; the action of u on u is trivial since u is trivial since u is a decomposition of u on u is trivial since u is a decomposition of u on u is trivial since u is a decomposition of u on u is trivial since u in u in

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. — We have  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$  is virtually contained (in the top left corner) in the Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . We will prove by induction, that for every  $k \geq 3$  with  $k \leq n$ , a conjugate of  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is virtually a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Applying this to k = n gives us Theorem 1.

Suppose that for some  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\operatorname{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is virtually contained in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and let  $\Delta = \Delta(\gamma)$  denote the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by a finite index subgroup of  $\operatorname{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  and a conjugate of the unipotent element  $\gamma(1 + me_{1k})\gamma^{-1}$ . Assume further, that the element  $\gamma$  is in the open set U of Lemma 13. By Lemma 14, the Zariski closure of the group  $\Delta$  maps isomorphically, under a conjugation, onto  $\operatorname{SL}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

But  $\Delta$  is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$  which intersects the top left hand corner  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  in a subgroup of finite index. By Corollary 5 it follows that after a conjugation,  $\Delta$  intersects  $\mathrm{SL}_{k+1}(\mathbb{Z})$  in a subgroup of finite index. We have thus proved that if a subgroup of finite index in  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ , then, after replacing  $\Gamma$  by a conjugation if necessary, a subgroup of finite index in  $\mathrm{SL}_{k+1}(\mathbb{Z})$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ , provided  $k+1 \leq n$ . Thus the induction is completed and therefore Theorem 1 is established.

#### 6. The rank one case

In this last section, we will see that the situation for Nori's question is completely different in real rank one. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 15. — Let G be a real simple Lie group of real rank one and  $H \subseteq G$  a non-compact semi-simple subgroup. Suppose that  $\Delta$  is a lattice in H. Then, there exists a Zariski dense discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  in G of infinite co-volume whose intersection with H is a subgroup of finite index in the lattice  $\Delta$ .

Suppose that H is a simple non-compact subgroup of a simple group G of real rank one. Let P be a minimal parabolic subgroup of G which intersects H in a minimal parabolic subgroup Q. The group G acts on G/P and H leaves the open set  $\mathfrak{U} = (G/P) \setminus (H/Q)$  stable. Let  $\Delta$  be a discrete subgroup of H.

Lemma 15. — Given compact subsets  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  in the open set  $\mathcal{U}$ , the set  $\mathcal{U}_H = \{h \in H : h\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2\}$  is a compact subset of H. Further, the group  $\Delta$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Proof. — Choose, as one may, a maximal compact subgroup K of G such that  $K \cap H$  is maximal compact in H. The set  $\mathfrak U$  is invariant under H and hence under  $K \cap H$ . We may assume that the compact sets  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are invariant under  $K \cap H$  of H. The Cartan decomposition of H says that we may write  $H = (K \cap H)A^+(K \cap H)$  with A a maximal real split torus (of dimension one) and  $A^+ = \{a \in A : 0 < \alpha(h) \leq 1\}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive root of A. Let W denote the normaliser modulo the centraliser of A in G. This is the relative Weil group. Since  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank(G) = 1, it follows that  $W = \{1, \kappa\}$  has only two elements. Since  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank(H) = 1, it follows that  $K \in H$ .

We have the Bruhat decomposition  $G = P \cup U \kappa P$ , where where  $\kappa$  is the non-trivial element of the Weil group of A in G and U is the unipotent radical of the minimal parabolic subgroup P.

If possible, let  $h_m$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{U}_H$  which tends to infinity. It follows from the previous paragraph that  $h_m = k_m a_m k'_m$  with  $k_m, k'_m \in K \cap H$  and  $a_m \in A^+$ , and  $\alpha(a_m) \to 0$  as  $m \to +\infty$ . Let p be an element of  $\Omega_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . By the Bruhat decomposition in G (G has real rank one), it follows that  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq U \kappa P$ . We may write p = ukP for some  $u \in U$ . Since  $h_m p \in \Omega_2$  and the latter is compact, we may replace  $h_m$  by a sub-sequence and assume that  $h_m p$  converges, say to  $q \in \Omega_2$ . Since  $\Omega_2$  is stable under  $K \cap H$ , we may assume that  $k_m = 1$ , by replacing q by a sub-sequential limit of  $k_m^{-1}q$ .

We compute  $h_m p = a_m k'_m u \kappa P \in \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . The convergence of  $k'_m$  says that  $k'_m u \kappa P = u_m \kappa P$  with  $u_m$  convergent (possibly after passing to a sub-sequence). We write  $a(u) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} aua^{-1}$ . Then,  $h_m p = a_m (u_m) \kappa P$  since  $\kappa$  conjugates A into P (in fact into A). Since  $\alpha(a_m) \to 0$ , and  $u_m$  are bounded, conjugation by  $a_m$  contracts  $u_m$  into the identity and  $u_m$  therefore tends to  $u_m$ . But the latter is in  $u_m$  since  $u_m$  already lies in  $u_m$ . Hence the limit does not lie in  $u_m$  contradicting the fact that  $u_m$  is compact. Therefore  $u_m$  is compact.

The second part of the lemma immediately follows since the intersection of  $\Delta$  with  $\mathcal{U}_H$  is finite.

Lemma 16. — Let  $\Gamma \subseteq G$  be a Zariski dense discrete subgroup. There exists an element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that the  $\gamma$  translate of HP/P does not intersect HP/P:

$$\gamma(HP/P) \cap HP/P = \varnothing$$
.

*Proof.* — First, suppose that V is a compact set contained in  $G/P \setminus \{P, \kappa P\}$  (with  $\kappa$  as in the proof of Lemma 15). By Bruhat decomposition,  $V \subseteq U\kappa P/P$  and its U part lies in a compact set. After a conjugation, we assume as we may, that  $\Gamma$  contains a semisimple element t in A such that the positive powers of Ad(t) contract elements of U into identity. Moreover, this contraction is uniform on a compact subset of U. Hence there exists a positive power  $t^m$  of t such that  $t^m(V)$  lies in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of  $\kappa P \in G/P$ .

The group  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense in G and HP/P is a Zariski closed subset of G/P. Therefore, there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g^{\pm 1}P \notin HP/P$  and  $g^{\pm 1}\kappa P \notin HP/P$ . Now,  $HP/P \subseteq G/P \setminus \{gP, g\kappa P\}$  is a compact set. Hence  $V = g^{-1}(HP/P)$  is a compact set in  $G/P \setminus \{P, \kappa P\}$ . Applying a large positive power of  $t \in \Gamma \cap A$  as in the preceding paragraph, we see that for some large integer m,  $t^m V$  lies in a small neighbourhood of  $\{P, \kappa P\}$ . Therefore,  $gt^m g^{-1}(HP/P)$  lies in a small neighbourhood of  $\{gP, g\kappa P\}$ .

By the choice of the element  $g \in \Gamma$ , the latter set does not intersect HP/P. Choose a small neighbourhood of  $\{gP, g\kappa P\}$  which does not intersect HP/P; if m is large, then the set  $gt^mg^{-1}(HP/P)$  lies in this neighbourhood, and hence does not intersect HP/P. We take  $\gamma = gt^mg^{-1} \in \Gamma$ . This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 15. — Given a lattice  $\Delta$  in H, and given a compact subset  $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , Lemma 15 shows that there exists a finite index subgroup  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta$  such that non-trivial elements of  $\Delta'$  drag  $\Omega$  into an arbitrarily small compact neighbourhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of H/Q = HP/P.

By Lemma 16 there exists  $g \in G - HP$  such that  $g(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Replacing H/Q by g(H/Q) and  $\Delta$  by  $g\Delta g^{-1}$ , we see that all points of H/Q are dragged, by non-trivial elements of  $g\Delta g^{-1}$ , into a small neighbourhood of H/Q. The ping-pong lemma then guarantees that there exists a finite index subgroup subgroup  $\Delta''$  such that the group  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Delta''$  and  $g\Delta''g^{-1}$  is the free product of  $\Delta''$  and  $g\Delta''g^{-1}$ .

We may replace g by a finite set  $g_1, \ldots, g_k$  such that for each pair i, j, the intersections  $g_i(H/Q) \cap g_j(H/Q)$  and  $g_i(H/Q) \cap H/Q$  are all empty. By arguments similar to the preceding paragraph, we can find a finite index subgroup  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that the group  $\Gamma$  generated by  $g_i \Delta_0 g_i^{-1}$  is the free product of the groups  $g_i \Delta_0 g_i^{-1}$ , and by choosing the  $g_i$  suitably, we ensure that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense in G. This proves Theorem 15, since  $\Gamma$  is discrete and since it operates properly discontinuously on some open set in G/P,  $\Gamma$  cannot be a lattice.

#### References

- [BL00] H. Bass & A. Lubotzky "Nonarithmetic superrigid groups: counterexamples to Platonov's conjecture", Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000), no. 3, p. 1151–1173.
- [Ben00] Y. Benoist "Automorphismes des cônes convexes", Invent. Math. 141 (2000), no. 1, p. 149–193.
- [Ben04] \_\_\_\_\_\_, "Convexes divisibles. I", in *Algebraic groups and arithmetic*, Tata Institue of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, 2004, p. 339–374.
- [BHC61] A. Borel & Harish-Chandra "Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), p. 579–583.
- [DGK24] J. DANCIGER, F. GUÉRITAUD & F. KASSEL "Combination theorems in convex projective geometry", 2024, arXiv:2407.09439.
- [FK65] R. FRICKE & F. Klein Vorlesungen über die Theorie der automorphen Funktionen. Band 1: Die gruppentheoretischen Grundlagen. Band II: Die funktionentheoretischen Ausführungen und die Andwendungen, Bibliotheca Mathematica Teubneriana, vol. 3, 4, Johnson Reprint Corp., New York; B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart, 1965.
- [Hal74] P. R. Halmos Measure theory, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 18, Springer, Cham, 1974.
- [JM87] D. Johnson & J. J. Millson "Deformation spaces associated to compact hyperbolic manifolds", in *Discrete groups in geometry and analysis (New Haven, Conn., 1984)*, Progress in Math., vol. 67, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1987, p. 48–106.
- [Mar91] G. A. Margulis Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [Oh98] H. Oh "Discrete subgroups generated by lattices in opposite horospherical subgroups", J. Algebra 203 (1998), no. 2, p. 621–676.
- [Tit66] J. Tirs "Classification of algebraic semisimple groups", in Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1966, p. 33–62.
- [Ven87] T. N. Venkataramana "Zariski dense subgroups of arithmetic groups", J. Algebra 108 (1987), no. 2, p. 325–339.
- [Ven93] \_\_\_\_\_, "On the arithmeticity of certain rigid subgroups", C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 316 (1993), no. 4, p. 321–326.

[Ven95] \_\_\_\_\_, "On some rigid subgroups of semisimple Lie groups", Israel J. Math. 89 (1995), no. 1-3, p. 227–236.

Manuscript received 25th October 2024 accepted 19th September 2025

Indira Chatterii, Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS UMR 7351, Parc Valrose 06108 Nice Cedex 2, France E-mail: indira.chatterji@math.cnrs.fr

 $\rm T.\,N.\,Venkataramana,$  Department of Mathematics, International Centre for Theoretical Sciences, Bangalore 560 089, India

 $E ext{-}mail: {\tt tn.venkataramana@icts.res.in}$