



Hamid Al-Saqban & Giovanni Forni A central limit theorem for the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle Tome 12 (2025), p. 547-584. https://doi.org/10.5802/jep.297

© Les auteurs, 2025.

Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence LICENCE INTERNATIONALE D'ATTRIBUTION CREATIVE COMMONS BY 4.0. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

> Publié avec le soutien du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique



Publication membre du Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte www.centre-mersenne.org e-ISSN : 2270-518X Tome 12, 2025, p. 547–584

Journal de l'École polytechnique *Mathématiques* D0I: 10.5802/jep.297

A CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR THE KONTSEVICH-ZORICH COCYCLE

BY HAMID AL-SAQBAN & GIOVANNI FORNI

ABSTRACT. — We show that a central limit theorem holds for exterior powers of the Kontsevich-Zorich (KZ) cocycle. In particular, we show that, under the hypothesis that the top Lyapunov exponent on the exterior power is simple, a central limit theorem holds for the lift of the (leafwise) hyperbolic Brownian motion to any strongly irreducible, symplectic, $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant subbundle, that is moreover symplectic-orthogonal to the so-called tautological subbundle. We then show that this implies that a central limit theorem holds for the lift of the Teichmüller geodesic flow to the same bundle.

For the random cocycle over the hyperbolic Brownian motion, we prove under the same hypotheses that the variance of the top exponent is strictly positive. For the deterministic cocycle over the Teichmüller geodesic flow we prove that the variance is strictly positive only for the top exponent of the first exterior power (the KZ cocycle itself) under the hypothesis that its Lyapunov spectrum is simple.

Résumé (Un théorème central limite pour le cocycle de Kontsevich–Zorich)

Nous montrons qu'un théorème central limite s'applique aux puissances extérieures du cocycle de Kontsevich–Zorich (KZ). En particulier, nous montrons que, sous l'hypothèse que l'exposant de Lyapounov supérieur d'une puissance extérieure est simple, un théorème central limite s'applique au relèvement du mouvement brownien hyperbolique (le long des feuilles) à tout sous-fibré fortement irréductible, symplectique, invariant sous $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, qui est en outre symplectiquement orthogonal au sous-fibré dit tautologique. Nous montrons ensuite que cela implique qu'un théorème central limite s'applique également au relèvement du flot géodésique de Teichmüller au même fibré.

Pour le cocycle aléatoire au-dessus du mouvement brownien hyperbolique, nous prouvons, sous les mêmes hypothèses, que la variance de l'exposant supérieur est strictement positive. Pour le cocycle déterministe au-dessus du flot géodésique de Teichmüller, nous prouvons que la variance est strictement positive uniquement pour l'exposant supérieur de la première puissance extérieure (c'est-à-dire le cocycle KZ lui-même), sous l'hypothèse que son spectre de Lyapounov est simple.

Mathematical subject classification (2020). - 32G15, 37A30, 37A50, 37D25, 60F05.

Kerwords. — Teichmüller dynamics, Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle, Hodge norm, Lyapunov exponents, central limit theorem.

The first-named author acknowledges support from the NSF grants DMS 1600687, DMS 1956049, DMS 2101464, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Grant No. 422642921 (Emmy Noether group "Microlocal Methods for Hyperbolic Dynamics"). The second-named author acknowledges support from the NSF grants DMS 1600687 and DMS 2154208.

Contents

1. Introduction	
2. Notation and key definitions	
3. Statement of results	
4. Preliminaries	555
5. Proofs of the main theorems	
6. Positivity of the variance	
7. A central limit theorem for generic sections	
Appendix A. Solving Poisson's equation	
Appendix B. A martingale central limit theorem	
References	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. MOTIVATION. — A classical source of flat surface dynamics arises from billiards in polygons. Consider a particle moving at unit speed in a polygonal table, reflecting off the edges according to the law of reflection in geometric optics. For rational polygons—that is, polygons whose interior angles are rational multiples of π —one can apply the unfolding construction of A. Katok and A. Zemlyakov [ZK75] to reduce billiard trajectories to straight-line trajectories on a flat surface with conical singularities and well-defined directional vector fields in the complement of the finite set of cone points. These special flat surfaces, called *translation surfaces*, play a central role in Teichmüller dynamics.

Understanding the long-term behavior of generic translation flows on translation surfaces leads to a renormalization procedure via the *Teichmüller geodesic flow*, introduced by H. Masur in [Mas82] in his proof of unique ergodicity of typical interval exchange transformations and measured foliations on surfaces (see also [Vee82] for a different, although analogous, proof, and [KMS86], [Vee86] for major early developments on unique ergodicity of translation flows and on the dynamics of the Teichmüller flow).

A key tool in this study is a linear cocycle over the Teichmüller flow, called the *Kontsevich–Zorich (KZ) cocycle* [KZ97], [Kon97]. Its Lyapunov exponents measure the exponential growth rate of first homology (or cohomology) classes on a generic translation surface under the Teichmüller flow and determine the asymptotic behavior of the deviation of ergodic averages from the mean for translation flows.

In fact, a motivation for introducing the KZ cocycle was to study the quantitative aspects of unique ergodicity for translation flows [Zor96, Zor97, KZ97, Kon97, For02, AF08]. The KZ cocycle has since played a major role in addressing other related questions of physical interest, including, for example, the computation of diffusion rates on wind-tree models [DHL14] and the (effective) weak mixing properties of translation flows, their first return maps (interval exchange transformations) [AF07, BS21, For22, AFS23], as well as billiards in polygons [CF20, AHCF24]. We refer the reader to the surveys [Zor06, FM14, Wri15, For24] for an introduction to this rich area of research.

It is now well-established that Hodge theory, together with classical potential theory, can be brought to bear on the KZ cocycle and its associated Lyapunov exponents, thanks to the pioneering works of M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich [KZ97, Kon97], later developed in [For02]. In these works, the Hodge norm was introduced in Teichmüller dynamics, and in [For02] it was proved that the logarithm of the Hodge norm is a subharmonic function on all exterior powers of the cocycle, hence the KZ cocycle has positive Lyapunov exponents on strata (see also [For06], [For11], [FMZ11], [FMZ12]).

The Hodge norm has since played a crucial role in the developments in Teichmüller dynamics, in particular in the study of the hyperbolicity properties of the KZ cocycle and of the Teichmüller flow. Besides its many applications to the study of translation flows, a very partial list of other landmark applications of the Hodge norm includes [ABEM12, EKZ14, EMM15, EM18, Fil16a, Fil16b, Fil17].

1.2. Summary of results and approach. - The purpose of this paper is to show that probabilistic potential theory (and thus stochastic calculus), can be applied to study the oscillations of the Hodge norm of the KZ cocycle. In fact, we prove a (non-commutative) central limit theorem (CLT) for exterior powers of both the random and the deterministic KZ cocycles, and we moreover prove the non-degeneracy of the CLT for the random cocycles and for the first exterior power of the deterministic KZ cocycle (the KZ cocycle itself) under the natural dynamical assumptions of simplicity of the Lyapunov spectrum. Motivated by computer experiments and the results in [Zor96, Zor97], the simplicity of the KZ spectrum for all strata of the moduli space of Abelian differentials was conjectured by M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich in [KZ97, Kon97]. This conjecture was then established by A. Avila and M. Viana in [AV07] (earlier in genus 2 by the second-named author [For02]). In genus 2, M. Bainbridge established the simplicity of the KZ spectrum for all $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant orbifolds and computed in [Bai07] the numerical values of the Lyapunov exponents in all cases. M. Bainbridge's result was generalized in the work of A. Eskin, M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich [EKZ14] who proved a formula for the sum of all nonnegative Lyapunov exponents in terms of stratum data and the so-called Siegel–Veech constants (under a regularity condition later proved by A. Avila, Carlos Matheus and J.-C. Yoccoz [AMY13]).

The problem of finding oscillations of the KZ cocycle has been the subject of recent interest: in [AS21], a mechanism to produce oscillations of the KZ cocycle was presented, where the basepoint is a fixed surface, and a more refined mechanism was developed by J. Chaika, O. Khalil and J. Smillie in their work on the ergodic measures of the Teichmüller horocycle flow [CKS25]. We expect that the deterministic central limit theorem presented here can be brought to bear on the scope of these results.

The probabilistic ideas that inspired our approach, and their application to geodesic flows, go back to the work of Y. Le Jan [LJ94] (see also [FLJ12]). We refer the reader to [RY05] for a comprehensive introduction to stochastic calculus, including fundamental results exploited in this paper.

The approach we follow to prove the CLT for the random cocycle also relies crucially on the analysis of the Brownian semigroup to solve a (leaf-wise) Poisson equation,

by a method reminiscent of [Led95]. In fact we prove exponential mixing for the lift of the Brownian motion to the Hodge bundle, leveraging the exponential mixing of the Teichmüller geodesic flow, due to A. Avila, S. Gouëzel and J.-C. Yoccoz for strata [AGY06] and to A. Avila and S. Gouëzel for all $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant orbifolds [AG13].

The CLT for the deterministic cocycle is then derived from the corresponding result for the random cocycle by a stopping time argument based in part on an asymptotic estimate due to A. Ancona [Anc90].

1.3. Other related results and context. - We point out that in the setting of products of independent and identically distributed random matrices, the central limit theorem was established, in varying levels of generality, by R. Bellman in [Bel54], H. Furstenberg and H. Kesten in [FK60], V. N. Tutubalin in [Tut77], E. Le Page in [LP82], Y. Guivarc'h and A. Raugi in [GR85], I. Ya. Golsheid and G. A. Margulis in [GM89], H. Hennion in [Hen97], C. Jan in [Jan00], and more recently, and under an optimal finite second moment condition, by Y. Benoist and J.-F. Quint in [BQ16]. The central limit theorem was also established for solutions of linear stochastic differential equations with Markovian coefficients by P. Bougerol in [Bou88]. On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge, there are no comparable works in the setting of deterministic cocycles over (non-uniformly) hyperbolic flows, such as the one we treat here. We point to [DKP22], [FK21], [PP22] for some results on the central limit theorem in this direction. However, the cited results are established for cocycles over shifts of finite type (SFT's), while it is well known that the Teichmüller flow has a symbolic representation as a suspension flow over a Markov shift on a countable alphabet. While not the original aim of this paper, we note that our work addresses, if ever so incrementally, this dearth of central limit theorem results for deterministic cocycles over non-uniformly hyperbolic flows (a related result for the KZ cocycle, based on the study of a transfer operator via anisotropic Banach spaces, has been recently announced by O.Khalil). We finally remark that the positivity of the variance for the particular case of the (deterministic) KZ cocycle, that we prove in this paper under certain hypotheses, would remain a non-trivial question, even if a general theorem were available.

In another direction, the paper of J. Daniel and B. Deroin [DD19] adapted the Teichmüller dynamics methodology to more general compact Kähler manifolds, and one in which the methods in this paper are applicable, provided that we can prove existence of a solution to Poisson's equation for the corresponding Laplacian.

In [DFV17], D. Dolgopyat, B. Fayad and I. Vinogradov proved a central limit theorem for the Siegel transform of sufficiently regular observables for the diagonal action on the space of lattices. Their methods are in fact much more general and imply in particular a central limit theorem for pushforwards of (unstable) unipotent arcs with respect to the uniform distribution on almost every unipotent orbit [DFV17, Th. 7.1, Cor. 7.2]. It would be interesting to prove exponential mixing for the action of the Teichmüller flow on the projectivized Hodge bundle (see also Question A.4), with the aim of applying a multiplicative generalization of their results to the KZ cocycle. After the appearance of a first draft of our paper, F. Arana-Herrera and the secondnamed author in [AHF24] proved a central limit theorem for sections of the Hodge bundle and a mixing central limit theorem for the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle, with the results of this paper as a crucial input in a more general approach to mixing limit distributions results.

Acknowledgements. — This paper is an outgrowth of the PhD dissertation of the first-named author, written under the direction of the second-named author, at the University of Maryland, College Park. The authors thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the paper, and for many helpful comments and suggestions that led to a substantial improvement in the exposition. The first-named author is grateful to Jon Chaika, Dima Dolgopyat, and Bassam Fayad for their interest in this project and for insightful and enlightening conversations.

2. Notation and key definitions

Before stating our main results in Section 3, we introduce the necessary notation and recall several key definitions.

2.1. TRANSLATION SURFACES. — A translation surface is a pair (S, ω) , where S is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \ge 2$ and ω is a non-zero holomorphic 1-form on S. The form ω induces a flat metric with conical singularities and trivial holonomy; its zeros determine the singularities. The area of (S, ω) is given by $\int_S \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$, and we often identify the surface with its 1-form.

2.2. TEICHMÜLLER AND MODULI SPACES. — Let \mathfrak{TH}_g be the Teichmüller space of unitarea translation surfaces of genus $g \ge 2$, and let $\mathfrak{H}_g = \mathfrak{TH}_g/\operatorname{Mod}_g$ be the corresponding moduli space, where Mod_g denotes the mapping class group.

The space \mathcal{H}_g is partitioned into strata \mathcal{H}_{κ} , which consist of all holomorphic 1-forms whose zeros have order $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_s$, as $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ varies over multi-indices with $\sum \kappa_i = 2g - 2$. Each stratum admits local *period coordinates* via the map $\omega \mapsto [\omega] \in H^1(S, \Sigma_{\omega}, \mathbb{C})$, where $H^1(S, \Sigma_{\omega}, \mathbb{C})$ is the first cohomology group of the surface S relative to the set Σ_{ω} of zeros of the 1-form ω , with complex coefficients; this endows \mathcal{H}_{κ} with an affine structure.

2.3. The SL(2, \mathbb{R})-ACTION. — The group SL(2, \mathbb{R}) acts on the space of all translation surfaces by post-composing charts, preserving the flat structure and area. This action descends to Teichmüller and moduli spaces and preserves each stratum \mathcal{H}_{κ} . By results of A. Eskin, M. Mirzakhani and A. Mohammadi [EMM15], [EM18], the closure $X = \overline{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \cdot \omega}$ of every SL(2, \mathbb{R})-orbit is an affine invariant suborbifold carrying a canonical SL(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant ergodic probability measure ν in the Lebesgue class.

2.4. The KZ cocycle. — The Kontsevich–Zorich (KZ) cocycle arises from parallel transport in cohomology via the Gauss–Manin connection: over \mathcal{TH}_{κ} , the trivial

bundle $\widehat{H}_{\kappa} = \mathfrak{TH}_{\kappa} \times H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$, with fiber the first (absolute) cohomology group $H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ of the surface S with real coefficients, carries the trivial cocycle map

$$\widehat{g}(\omega, c) = (g\omega, c), \quad \text{for } g \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

Passing to moduli space yields the absolute Hodge bundle $\boldsymbol{H}_{\kappa} := \widehat{\boldsymbol{H}}_{\kappa}/\mathrm{Mod}_{g}$, and the KZ cocycle is the induced cocycle over the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -action on \mathcal{H}_{κ} . The *Gauss-Manin connection* is therefore the projection to the Hodge bundle \boldsymbol{H}_{κ} of the trivial connection on $\widehat{\boldsymbol{H}}_{\kappa}$.

We note that the KZ cocycle acts symplectically since it preserves the intersection form on $H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$, and, moreover, since any element $g \in SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts isometrically on $\mathbf{H}_{\kappa}(S, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the Hodge norm (see below), the dynamically relevant part of the cocycle is its restriction to the diagonal subgroup $\{g_t\} < SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, that is, to the Teichmüller flow.

2.5. A EUCLIDEAN NORM. — The Hodge norm on $H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by identifying cohomology classes with harmonic representatives and using the natural L^2 inner product induced by the flat metric on (S, ω) . It gives a Euclidean norm on the trivial bundle \widehat{H}_{κ} , which is equivariant with respect to the action of the mapping class group Mod_q, hence it induces a Euclidean norm on the quotient bundle H_{κ} .

2.6. SUBBUNDLES. — A subbundle $\boldsymbol{H} \subset \boldsymbol{H}_{\kappa}$ is said to be *symplectic* if the restriction of the intersection pairing on $H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ to each fiber \boldsymbol{H}_{ω} is non-degenerate. A subbundle is said to be $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant if it is preserved by the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle; that is, parallel transport via the Gauss–Manin connection along $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ orbits maps each fiber \boldsymbol{H}_{ω} to $\boldsymbol{H}_{g\cdot\omega}$ for all $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. We say that \boldsymbol{H} is *strongly irreducible* (with respect to ν) if it admits no nontrivial ν -measurable almost invariant splitting (see also [CE15, Def. 1.3]). Finally, we denote by $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H})$ the *projectivization* of \boldsymbol{H} , defined fiberwise as the space of lines in \boldsymbol{H}_{ω} through the origin.

3. Statement of results

Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}) \to X$ be the projectivization of a strongly irreducible $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant symplectic subbundle \mathbf{H} of the absolute (real) Hodge bundle over an $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ orbit closure X. For our purposes, we will be concerned with k-th exterior powers $\mathbf{H}^{(k)}$ of strongly irreducible invariant symplectic components \mathbf{H} of the Hodge bundle, which are symplectic orthogonal to the tautological subbundle (spanned for every $\omega \in X$ by $[\operatorname{Re} \omega]$ and $[\operatorname{Im} \omega]$).

It follows by a result of C. Bonatti, A. Eskin and A. Wilkinson [BEW20] that any P-invariant ($P < SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the maximal parabolic subgroup generated by the diagonal subgroup and by the forward unstable unipotent subgroup) probability measure $\hat{\nu}$ on this bundle which projects to ν on X is such that, for ν -a.a. $\omega \in X$, the conditional measure on $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)})$ is the Dirac mass supported on the unstable Oseledets subspace $E_k^+(\omega) \subset \boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)}$.

Let $g_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$ denote the diagonal subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, whose action on the orbit closure X yields the Teichmüller flow. Let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_h$ denote the non-negative Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle on a symplectic strongly irreducible subbundle H of dimension $2h \in \{2, \ldots, 2g\}$. Since the cocycle is symplectic on H, the top h exponents determine the entire Lyapunov spectrum.

For $\omega \in X$, let \boldsymbol{v}_{ω} in $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)})$ be any k-dimensional exterior vector (of dimension $k \leq h$) in \boldsymbol{H}_{ω} . Let ν^* denote the probability measure on $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ which projects to the SL(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant measure ν on X with conditional measures equal to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)})$ for ν -almost all $\omega \in X$.

We note that the family $(g_t)_*\nu^*$ of push-forward measures of ν^* converges to $\hat{\nu}$, in the weak^{*} topology under the action of g_t , as $t \to \infty$.

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\pi(\cdot)}^{(k)}$ denote the SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant Hodge norm on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ (see Section 4.1 for details) and define $\sigma_k : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\sigma_k(g, \boldsymbol{v}) = \log \frac{\|g\boldsymbol{v}\|_{g\pi(\boldsymbol{v})}^{(k)}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\pi(\boldsymbol{v})}^{(k)}}.$$

For ν^* -a.e. $\boldsymbol{v} = (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega})$, it is a consequence of the multiplicative ergodic theorem that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v})}{T} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i.$$

Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 3.1. — Let **H** be a strongly irreducible, symplectic, $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant subbundle, which is symplectic orthogonal to the tautological subbundle. If $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$, then there exists a real number $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \nu^* \left(\left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}) : a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - T(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) \right) \leqslant b \right\} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{g_\infty}^{(k)}}} \int_a^b \exp(-x^2 / V_{g_\infty}^{(k)}) dx.$$

Moreover, if the Lyapunov spectrum is simple, then $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(1)} > 0$.

REMARK 3.2. — The statement also holds in the event that $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = 0$, and in that case the resulting distribution would be a delta distribution. The positivity of the variance holds for 2-dimensional subbundles with strictly positive top Lyapunov exponent (for instance on the symplectic orthogonal of the tautological subbundle in genus 2 for any $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure), as in this case the simplicity condition on the top exponent is trivially satisfied.

REMARK 3.3. — We recall that the simplicity of the Lyapunov spectrum was established for the canonical Masur-Veech measures on strata by A. Avila and M. Viana in [AV07] (for strata in genus 2 already in [For02]). The exact values of the exponents (and therefore simplicity) was established for all ergodic $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measures in genus 2 by M. Bainbridge in [Bai07].

REMARK 3.4. — The assumption that H is symplectic orthogonal to the tautological subbundle precludes the equality 2h = 2g, which in turn precludes the equality k = g. It also follows by the spectral gap property of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle that for any g_t -invariant and ergodic probability measure, $\lambda_1 < 1$ [For02] (see also [FMZ12, Cor. 2.2]).

Since in Theorem 3.1 we randomize *both* the Abelian differential ω and vector $\boldsymbol{v}_{\omega} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)})$ with respect to the measure ν^* , it is natural to ask if our results also hold for future-Oseledets-generic sections of $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ (see Definition 7.1). In particular, for the deterministic cocycle, we derive in Section 7:

COROLLARY 3.5. — Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, there exists a real number $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} \ge 0$ such that for any future-Oseledets-generic section $\boldsymbol{v} = (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega})$ of $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, we have

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \nu \left(\left\{ \omega \in X : a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}_\omega) - T(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) \right) \leqslant b \right\} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{g_\infty}^{(k)}}} \int_a^b \exp(-x^2 / V_{g_\infty}^{(k)}) dx.$$

Moreover, if the Lyapunov spectrum is simple, then $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(1)} > 0$.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we will first work with the hyperbolic Brownian motion, which is the diffusion process generated by the foliated hyperbolic Laplacian. Let ρ be a (foliated) hyperbolic Brownian motion trajectory starting at a (generic) basepoint $\omega \in X$, defined almost everywhere with respect to a probability measure \mathbb{P}_{ω} on the space of such trajectories W_{ω} . This process is in fact defined on $X_* = \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}) \setminus X$. The space X_* gives rise to a fibered space X^W_* whose fiber over each point ω in X_* is W_{ω} , and which also supports a measure $\nu_{\mathbb{P}} := \nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$, whose projection on X_* is ν and whose conditional measure over a point ω is \mathbb{P}_{ω} . We can thus similarly define the product W-Hodge bundle $\mathbb{P}^W(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$, whose fiber over each point (ω, ρ) in X^W_* is $\mathbf{H}^{(k)}_{\omega}$. A pair $(\rho, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{P}^W(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ is thus defined to be the lift of the path ρ (starting at ω) to $\mathbb{P}^W(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$, obtained by parallel transport with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection. This in turn would also give rise to a measure $\nu^*_{\mathbb{P}} := \nu^* \otimes \mathbb{P}$, whose projection on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ is ν^* and whose conditional measure over a point \mathbf{v} is \mathbb{P}_{ω} .

THEOREM 3.6. — Let H be a strongly irreducible, symplectic, $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant subbundle, which is symplectic orthogonal to the tautological sub-bundle. If $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$, then there exists a real number $V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \nu_{\mathbb{P}}^* \left(\left\{ (\rho, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{P}^W(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}) : a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\sigma_k(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - T(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) \right) \leqslant b \right\} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)}}} \int_a^b \exp(-x^2/V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)}) dx.$$

REMARK 3.7. — Observe that for g = 2, the symplectic orthogonal bundle to the tautological bundle has dimension 2, hence it is strongly irreducible. Our two results reduce to ones that concern the second Lyapunov exponent of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle on the full Hodge bundle.

REMARK 3.8. — By a result of J. Chaika and A. Eskin [CE15] for any translation surface (including for those coming from unfolding of rational billiards) Lebesgue almost all directions are Oseledets regular for the KZ cocycle. However, our results do not apply to fixed translation surfaces with the exception of those with closed $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -orbit (Veech surfaces). As a consequence, our results do not apply to rational billiards, with the exception of those (like regular polygons with at least 5 edges or certain *L*-shaped polygons) which unfold to non-arithmetic Veech surfaces. Indeed for non-arithmetic Veech surfaces the strongly irreducible components of the Hodge bundle are 2-dimensional with simple spectrum [Möl06].

In addition to the Hodge theoretic techniques that we employ, some ingredients of our proof include

– Exponential mixing of the Teichmüller geodesic flow (due to Avila–Gouëzel– Yoccoz [AGY06] for strata and Avila–Gouëzel [AG13] for all $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant orbifolds) to derive the existence of a unique zero-average solution of a Poisson equation (see Appendix A);

- elementary stochastic calculus to extract and control the necessary oscillations;

- and an asymptotic estimate due to Ancona [Anc90] to relate the geodesic flow with the Brownian motion.

4. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce technical preliminaries that will be used throughout the remainder of the paper.

4.1. Hodge INNER PRODUCT AND THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM. — Given two holomorphic 1-forms ω_1, ω_2 in $\Omega(S)$, where $\Omega(S)$ is the vector space of holomorphic 1-forms on S, the Hodge inner product is given by the formula

$$\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle := \frac{i}{2} \int_S \omega_1 \wedge \overline{\omega_2}.$$

Moreover, the Hodge representation theorem implies that for any given cohomology class $c \in H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$, there is a unique holomorphic 1-form $h(c) \in \Omega(S)$, such that $c = [\operatorname{Re} h(c)]$ (cf. [FMZ12]). The Hodge inner product for two real cohomology classes $c_1, c_2 \in H^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ is defined as

$$A_{\omega}(c_1, c_2) := \langle h(c_1), h(c_2) \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \int_S h(c_1) \wedge \overline{h(c_2)}.$$

The second fundamental form B_{ω} (of the Gauss-Manin connection with respect to the Chern connection for the holomorphic structure of the Hodge filtration) is defined

as

556

$$B_{\omega}(c_1, c_2) := \frac{i}{2} \int_S \frac{h(c_1)h(c_2)}{\omega^2} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega}.$$

Let H_{ω} denote the curvature operator of the second fundamental form.

REMARK 4.1. — It is known that B_{ω} vanishes identically in the symplectic orthogonal of the tautological subbundle on only two orbit closures, namely the *Eierlegende Wollmilchsau* and *Ornithorynque*, and this follows from the works [Aul16, EKZ14, Möl11, AN20]. By a result of S. Filip [Fil17], the rank of the second fundamental form *B* is a lower bound for the number of strictly positive Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle.

In the following \boldsymbol{H} will denote a strongly irreducible, symplectic, $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant subbundle, which is symplectic orthogonal to the tautological bundle. For any isotropic k-dimensional exterior vector \boldsymbol{c}_{ω} in $\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)}$, it also follows by [For02] (see also [FMZ12, Cor. 2.2]) that

(4.1.1)
$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_k(g_t, \boldsymbol{c}_\omega) \right| < k.$$

For $h \in \{1, \ldots, g-1\}$ and H of dimension 2h, let $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_h\}$ be a Hodgeorthonormal basis of $H^{1,0} \subset H^1(S, \mathbb{C})$, and let $A_{\omega}^{(h)}$ (resp. $B_{\omega}^{(h)}$) be the corresponding representation matrix of the Hodge inner product A_{ω} (resp. of the second fundamental form B_{ω}). Let $H_{\omega}^{(h)} = B_{\omega}^{(h)} \overline{B}_{\omega}^{(h)}$ be the matrix of the curvature operator, which is Hermitian non-negative, since $B_{\omega}^{(h)}$ is symmetric. The eigenvalues of $B_{\omega}^{(h)}$ are denoted by $\Lambda_i(\omega)$, where $|\Lambda_1| > |\Lambda_2| \ge \cdots \ge |\Lambda_h| \ge 0$. Moreover, the norm squared of these eigenvalues, $|\Lambda_i(\omega)|^2$, are the eigenvalues of the curvature matrix $H_{\omega}^{(h)}$, which are continuous, bounded functions on \mathcal{H}_g (cf. [FMZ12], Lemma 2.3).

For any k-dimensional exterior vector $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, let

$$\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k, c_{k+1}, \ldots, c_h\} \subset \boldsymbol{H}$$

be an ordered orthonormal basis such that $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$ is a basis of \boldsymbol{v} . We let $A_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})$ (resp. $B_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})$) be the corresponding representation matrix of the Hodge inner product A_{ω} (resp. of the second fundamental form B_{ω}) restricted to \boldsymbol{v} with respect to the basis $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$. We let $H_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})$ be the representation matrix of the restriction of the curvature operator H_{ω} to \boldsymbol{v} with respect to the basis $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$.

4.2. FOLIATED HYPERBOLIC LAPLACIAN. — The space \mathcal{H}_g , is foliated by the orbits of the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -action, whose leaves are isometric to the unit cotangent bundle of the Poincaré disk \mathbb{D} or of a finite volume hyperbolic surface. Unless X is a closed $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -orbit (the orbit of a Veech surface), almost all leaves are isometric to the unit cotangent bundle of the Poincaré disk.

For almost every $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_g$, the Teichmüller disk $L_\omega := \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}) \cdot \omega$ is isometric to \mathbb{D} (or a finite area hyperbolic surface $\mathbb{D}/V(\omega)$ defined by a lattice $V(\omega) < \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ called the Veech group), and so is endowed with the (foliated) hyperbolic gradient ∇_{L_ω} and hyperbolic Laplacian Δ_{L_ω} . Let $r_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) & -\sin(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2) & \cos(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix}$.

REMARK 4.2. — Observe that for $\omega \in X$, the Teichmüller disk L_{ω} is identified with \mathbb{D} (or $\mathbb{D}/V(\omega)$) via the map $(t, \theta) \mapsto \mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R}) \cdot g_t r_{\theta} \omega$.

Now suppose that $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is an SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant C^{∞} -function in the direction of the leaf. For $\omega \in X$ and for L_{ω} the Teichmüller disk passing through ω , we define $\Delta f(\omega) := \Delta_{L_{\omega}} f|_{L_{\omega}}(\omega)$, where $f|_{L_{\omega}}$ is the restriction of f to L_{ω} . We also define the leaf-wise gradient similarly.

Observe that the Hodge inner product $A_{\omega}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is invariant under the action of $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$, and so defines a real-analytic function on the Teichmüller disk. In the sequel, we will only work in a given Teichmüller disk, so the norm will read $(\cdot, \cdot)_z$ for a complex parameter $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For any k-dimensional exterior vector $\boldsymbol{v} = (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega})$ in the symplectic orthogonal of the tautological subbundle (with the origin z = 0 corresponding to ω as in Remark 4.2), define

$$\sigma_k(z, \boldsymbol{v}) := \log |\det A_z^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})|^{1/2},$$

where $A_z^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v}) = A_z(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j)$ and $\{\boldsymbol{v}_i\}$ is an ordered basis of \boldsymbol{v} .

REMARK 4.3. — In fact, this is an abuse of notation since we originally lifted elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ to the Hodge bundle. This is not an issue since the Hodge norm is $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant.

We recall the following fundamental fact.

THEOREM 4.4 ([For02, FMZ12]). — For every $1 \le k \le h$ there exist smooth functions $\Phi_k : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}) \to [0,k]$ and $\Psi_k : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}) \to D(0,k) \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the following holds. For any k-dimensional exterior vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$, we have the following identities: (4.2.1) $\Delta_{L_{\omega}}\sigma_k(z, \mathbf{v}) = 2\Phi_k(z, \mathbf{v})$ and $\nabla_{L_{\omega}}\sigma_k(z, \mathbf{v}) = \Psi_k(z, \mathbf{v})$.

In the particular case that k = h, there exist functions $\Lambda_i : X \to D(0,1)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ such that

$$\Delta_{L_{\omega}}\sigma_{h}(z,\boldsymbol{v}) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{h} |\Lambda_{i}(z)|^{2} \quad and \quad \nabla_{L_{\omega}}\sigma_{h}(z,\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \Lambda_{i}(z).$$

In this case the Laplacian and the gradient are independent of the choice of a maximal isotropic (Lagrangian) subspace $v \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(h)})$.

Moreover, for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$, for any $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure ν on \mathcal{H}_{κ} , under the condition that $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$, which implies that the unstable Oseledets isotropic k-dimensional distribution E_k^+ is well-defined ν -almost everywhere, and for $\hat{\nu}$ almost all $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(h)})$, we have that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Delta_{L_\omega} \sigma_k(g_t, \boldsymbol{v}) dt = \int_X 2\Phi_k(\omega, E_k^+(\omega)) d\nu = 2\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i.$$

REMARK 4.5. — The functions Φ_k and Ψ_k can be written as follows. Let $B_z^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})$ and $H_z^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})$ denote the restrictions of the second fundamental form and of the curvature to the k-dimensional exterior vector $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$. By definition $B^{(k)}$ and $H^{(k)}$ are

functions on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ with values in the subspace of complex symmetric $k \times k$ matrices and non-negative Hermitian $k \times k$ matrices. The following formulas hold, for all $(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$:

(4.2.2)
$$\Phi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = 2 \operatorname{tr}(H_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})) - \operatorname{tr}\left(B_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})\overline{B}_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})\right);$$
$$\Psi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{tr}(B_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v})).$$

An important property of the function Ψ_k , which is relevant in the proof of positivity of the variance (for k = 1) in Section 6.2, is that for all $\omega \in X$ the set of its critical point is a subset of the level set

$$\{oldsymbol{v}\in\mathbb{P}_{\omega}(oldsymbol{H}^{(k)}):\Psi_k(\omega,oldsymbol{v})=0\}.$$

In fact, the following linear algebra result holds:

LEMMA 4.6. – For every $\omega \in X$, the set of critical points of the function $\Psi_k(\omega, \cdot)$ equals the set

$$\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)}) : B_{\omega}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{v}) = 0\} \subset \{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)}) : \Psi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = 0\}.$$

In addition, for all $\omega \in X$ and $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, we have

$$||D_{\boldsymbol{v}}\Psi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v})|| \ge 2|\Psi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v})|/k.$$

Proof. – By its definition, the function Ψ_k is computed as follows.

Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ denote any Hodge orthonormal basis of the k-dimensional isotropic subspace $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, then

$$\Psi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^k B_\omega(v_i, v_i)$$

It can be seen that the above expression depends only on the isotropic subspace v and not on its orthonormal basis. By fixing a orthonormal basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_h\}$ of a maximal isotropic (Lagrangian) subspace, the space of all orthonormal bases is in bijective correspondence with the group of complex unitary matrices.

Let then $U = (U_{ij})$ denote a complex unitary matrix (such that $UU^* = I$) and for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ let

$$w_i = \sum_{a=1}^h U_{ia} v_a.$$

We then have

$$\Psi_k(\omega, w) = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{\omega}(w_i, w_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{a,b=1}^h U_{ia} U_{ib} B(v_a, v_b).$$

The tangent space at the identity of the unitary group is the vector space of anti-Hermitian matrices, that is, the matrices T such that $T^* = -T$. By differentiating the above formula with respect to U along T we have

(4.2.3)
$$(D_U \Psi_k)(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}, T) = 2 \sum_{a,b=1}^k T_{ab} B(v_a, v_b).$$

It follows that, if \boldsymbol{v} is a critical point, for T such that $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$ we have

$$(T_{ab} + T_{ba})B(v_a, v_b) = 0,$$

which, if $T_{ab} + T_{ba} = T_{ab} - \overline{T_{ab}} \neq 0$, implies $B(v_a, v_b) = 0$. Finally, the stated lower bound follows from formula (4.2.3) since

$$\|D_{\boldsymbol{v}}\Psi_k(\omega,\boldsymbol{v})\| \ge 2(\max_{i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}}|B_{\omega}(v_i,v_i)|) \ge 2|\Psi_k(\omega,\boldsymbol{v})|/k.$$

The proof is therefore complete.

4.3. HARMONIC MEASURES. — A probability measure ν on $X_* := \mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus X$ is called harmonic if, for all functions $f : X_* \to \mathbb{R}$ in the domain of the foliated Laplacian Δ on X_* , we have

$$\int_{X_*} \Delta f \, d\nu = \int_{X_*} \Delta_{L_\omega} f|_{L_\omega}(\omega) \, d\nu(\omega) = 0.$$

Such a measure is also ergodic if X_* cannot be partitioned into two union of leaves, each of which having positive ν measure. We refer the reader to the interesting paper of Lucy Garnett [Gar83] for details and for an ergodic theorem for such measures. It is also a fact, due to Y. Bakhtin and M. Martinez [BM08], that harmonic measures on SO(2, \mathbb{R})X are in one-to-one correspondence with *P*-invariant measures on *X*. This is closely related to a classical fact due to H. Furstenberg [Fur63a, Fur63b] that *P*-invariant measures are in one-to-one correspondence with (admissible) stationary measures, and that harmonic measures are stationary. In the case of SL(2, \mathbb{R}), these three notions are therefore closely related.

It follows from [BEW20] that there is a unique harmonic measure $\hat{\nu}_*$ on $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\setminus\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, which projects onto the quotient measure of the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure ν on $X_* = \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\setminus X$. The harmonic measure $\hat{\nu}_*$ is the projection of the P-invariant measure $\hat{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ to $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\setminus\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$. Let then W the space of trajectories of the foliated Brownian motion on X_* , endowed with the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} , and let $\hat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}} := \hat{\nu}_* \otimes \mathbb{P}$ denote the probability measure on the vector bundle $\mathbb{P}^W(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ over the fiber bundle X^W_* , whose projection on $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ is the harmonic measure $\hat{\nu}_*$ and whose conditional measure over a point \boldsymbol{v} is \mathbb{P}_{ω} .

4.4. HYPERBOLIC BROWNIAN MOTION. — Following the normalization used in [For02] (which is a standard normalization, see also [Hel00]), for $z = re^{i\theta}$ with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, write

(4.4.1)
$$t := \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}.$$

Since the Hodge norm is SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant, it suffices to study the diffusion process generated by $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{L_{\omega}}$, where the leaf-wise hyperbolic Laplacian in geodesic polar coordinates is given by

(4.4.2)
$$\Delta_{L_{\omega}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 2\coth(2t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{4}{\sinh^2(2t)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}$$

J.É.P. – M., 2025, tome 12

Moreover, let $(W_{\omega}^{(i)}, \mathbb{P}_{\omega}^{(i)})$, i = 1, 2, be two copies of the space of Brownian trajectories $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ starting at the origin (with the origin corresponding to a random point ω), together with the standard Wiener measure, and such that $W_{\omega}^{(1)}$ and $W_{\omega}^{(2)}$ are independent. Set $W_{\omega} = W_{\omega}^{(1)} \times W_{\omega}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\omega} = \mathbb{P}_{\omega}^{(1)} \times \mathbb{P}_{\omega}^{(2)}$. The hyperbolic Brownian motion is the diffusion process $\rho_s = (t(s), \theta(s))$ generated by the (leaf-wise) hyperbolic Laplacian. It follows by Ito's formula [RY05, Th. IV.3.3] that the generator determines the trajectories of the diffusion process ρ_s which are solutions of the following stochastic differential equations

(4.4.3)
$$dt(s) = dW_s^{(1)} + \coth(2t(s))ds,$$
$$d\theta(s) = \frac{2}{\sinh(2t(s))} dW_s^{(2)},$$

with t(0) = 0 and $\theta(0)$ being uniformly distributed on S^1 .

In addition, for an SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant function $f : \mathbb{P}(H) \to \mathbb{R}$, where f is of class C^2 along SL(2, \mathbb{R}) orbits, Ito's formula gives

$$\begin{split} f(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - f(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v}) &= \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}), \frac{2}{\sinh(2t(s))} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v})\right) \cdot \left(dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}\right) \\ &+ \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{1}{2} 2\coth(2t(s)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{\sinh^2(2t(s))} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v})\right) ds \\ &= \int_0^T \nabla_{L_\omega} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot \left(dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \Delta_{L_\omega} f(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) ds. \end{split}$$

Finally, we note that the foliated heat semigroup D_t is given as follows:

$$D_s f(x, \boldsymbol{v}) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(z, \boldsymbol{v}) p_{\omega}(t, s) \sinh(t) dt \, d\theta,$$

where $p_{\omega}(t,s)$ is the (foliated) hyperbolic heat kernel at time s; in other words, for $x, y \in L_{\omega}$, this is the (rotationally invariant) transition probability kernel $p_{\omega}(x, y; s)$, with $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) = t$.

5. Proofs of the main theorems

5.1. PROOF OF THE DISTRIBUTIONAL CONVERGENCE IN THEOREM 3.6. — Recall that ρ_s is the diffusion process generated by the foliated hyperbolic Laplacian. We are interested in studying the term

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \big(\sigma_k(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - T \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \big).$$

Set $\lambda_{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i$. By applying Ito's formula, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\sigma_k(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)}) = \frac{\sigma_k(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v})}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \nabla_{L_\omega} \sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot (dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T (\Delta_{L_\omega} \sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - 2\lambda_{(k)}) ds.$$

Let $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \nu)$ denote the (foliated) Sobolev space of functions which belong to $L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \nu)$ together with all their derivatives up to second order, in all

J.É.P. – M., 2025, tome 12

directions tangent to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ orbits: for all $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$,

$$f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}), \nu) \iff f, \, \mathcal{V}f, \, \mathcal{V}\mathcal{W}f \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}), \nu).$$

It follows by Lemma A.5 that the equation

(5.1.1)
$$\Delta_{L_{\omega}} u(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = \Delta_{L_{\omega}} \sigma_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) - 2\lambda_{(k)}, \quad \text{for } (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}) \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}),$$

has an SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant solution $u^{(k)} \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \nu)$, the space of functions with all $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -derivatives up to second order in $L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \nu)$.

In fact, since the functions $\Delta_{L_{\omega}}\sigma = \Phi_k$ are smooth and bounded on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ (see Theorem 4.4) and the foliated Laplacian $\Delta_{L_{\omega}}$ is elliptic along the leaves, it follows that the functions $u^{(k)}$ are also smooth along the leaves.

For all s > 0, let $\rho_s = (t(s), \theta(s))$ in geodesic polar coordinates. By Ito's formula we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(u(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v})) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \nabla_{L_\omega} u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot (dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \Delta_{L_\omega} u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) ds.$$

So, by substituting $\Delta_{L_{\omega}}\sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - 2\lambda_{(k)}$ for $\Delta_{L_{\omega}}u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v})$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{T}} \left(\int_0^T (\Delta_{L_\omega} \sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - 2\lambda_{(k)}) ds \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (u(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v})) \\ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \nabla_{L_\omega} u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot (dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}).$$

Define

(5.1.2)
$$M_T = \int_0^T \nabla_{L_{\omega}} (\sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v})) \cdot (dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}).$$

We then have

(5.1.3)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \Big(\sigma_k(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - T \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (u(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v}) + \sigma_k(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v})) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} M_T.$$

Next, we study the quadratic variation $\langle M_T, M_T \rangle_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}$.

REMARK 5.1. — It is a fact that the covariance of two Ito integrals with respect to independent Brownian motions is zero, and this is a consequence of Ito isometry [RY05, Th. IV.2.2]. Indeed, for any $F, G \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \hat{\nu})$, consider the following expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t}F(\rho_{s})dW_{s}^{(1)}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t}G(\rho_{s})dW_{s}^{(2)}\right)\right].$$

Applying Itô's isometry, the expectation simplifies to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left[\int_{0}^{t} F(\rho_{s}) G(\rho_{s}) d\langle W^{(1)}, W^{(2)} \rangle_{s}\right].$$

Now, since $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$ are independent Brownian motions, their quadratic covariation satisfies (1) (2)

$$d\langle W^{(1)}, W^{(2)} \rangle_s = 0$$

for all s. This directly implies

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left[\int_{0}^{t}F(s)G(s)d\langle W_{s}^{(1)},W_{s}^{(2)}\rangle_{s}\right]=0,$$

which proves that the stochastic integrals

$$I_1 = \int_0^t F(\rho_s) dW_s^{(1)}, \quad I_2 = \int_0^t G(\rho_s) dW_s^{(2)}$$

are uncorrelated; i.e., $\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}[I_1I_2] = 0$, as desired.

We are now ready to analyze $\langle M_T, M_T \rangle_{\hat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}$. Applying the previous remark, we derive:

$$\begin{split} \langle M_T, M_T \rangle_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} &= \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \bigg[\left(\int_0^T \left(\nabla_{L_{\omega}} \sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \right) \cdot (dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)}) \right)^2 \bigg] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \bigg[\left(\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \right) dW_s^{(1)} \right)^2 \bigg] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \bigg[\left(\int_0^T \frac{2}{\sinh(2t(s))} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \theta}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \right) dW_s^{(2)} \right)^2 \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Applying Ito's isometry on the expectation of the square of the Ito integrals on the right-hand side yields

$$\begin{split} \langle M_T, M_T \rangle_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} &= \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \right)^2 ds \right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{2}{\sinh(2t(s))} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \theta}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \right) \right)^2 ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_0^T \left| \nabla_{L_\omega} \sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - \nabla_{L_\omega} u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) \right|^2 ds \right]. \end{split}$$

Observe that $|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \hat{\nu})$ by Lemma A.5. Therefore, by Oseledets' theorem, Fubini's theorem, and the dominated convergence theorem, we have the convergence with respect to the measure $\hat{\nu}$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$:

(5.1.4)
$$\begin{aligned} V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} &:= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}} \bigg[\int_{0}^{T} \big| \nabla_{L_{\omega}} \sigma_{k}(\rho_{s}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(\rho_{s}, \boldsymbol{v}) \big|^{2} ds \bigg] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \big| \Psi_{k}(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) \big|^{2} d\widehat{\nu} \\ &= \int_{X} \big| \Psi_{k}(\omega, E_{k}^{+}(\omega)) - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(\omega, E_{k}^{+}(\omega)) \big|^{2} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

See also [For02, Cor. 5.5]. The above formula, together with Theorem B.1, implies that the random variables M_T/\sqrt{T} , hence the random variables $(\sigma(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)}T)/\sqrt{T}$, converge in distribution to a centered Gaussian distribution of variance $V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)}$. In fact, the convergence in distribution of $(\sigma(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)}T)/\sqrt{T}$ can be deduced from that of M_T/\sqrt{T} as follows. Since the function $u \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}), \nu)$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\frac{|\sigma_k(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)}T - M_T|^2}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}(|u(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v}) + \sigma_k(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v})|^2)$$

converges to 0. Thus the random variable $(\sigma(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)}T - M_T)/\sqrt{T}$ converges to 0 in square mean, hence in distribution.

5.2. PROOF OF THE DISTRIBUTIONAL CONVERGENCE IN THEOREM 3.1. — Observe that $t(s) = d_{\mathbb{D}}(0, \rho_s)$, and that it is rotationally invariant. We will need the following useful lemma:

LEMMA 5.2 ([FLJ12, Lem. VII.7.2.1]). — For all $\omega \in X$, there exists an \mathbb{P}_{ω} -almost everywhere converging process η_s such that $t(s) = W_s^{(1)} + s + \eta_s$.

Proof. — It is a classical fact that $t(s) \to \infty \mathbb{P}_{\omega}$ -almost everywhere. This implies that $\lim_{s\to\infty} \coth(2t(s)) = 1$ almost everywhere. Setting $\eta_s := t(s) - W_s^{(1)} - s$, so that, together with (4.4.3), we get

$$\eta_s = \int_0^s (\coth(2t(\sigma)) - 1) d\sigma = \int_0^s \frac{2d\sigma}{e^{4t(\sigma)} - 1}$$

which converges almost everywhere, as desired.

Next, it will be crucial to stop the radial process before it exits the region bounded by a circle of geodesic radius T, and so for each T, we define the stopping time τ_T as follows

$$\tau_T := \inf\{s > 0 : T = d_{\mathbb{D}}(0, \rho_s)\}$$

= $\inf\{s > 0 : T = W_s^{(1)} + s + \eta_s\},\$

where the second equality follows by Lemma 5.2. Next, we will need the following lemma:

LEMMA 5.3. — For all $\omega \in X$, we have $\lim_{T\to\infty} \tau_T/T = 1 \mathbb{P}_{\omega}$ -almost everywhere. Moreover, we have that as $T \to \infty$, $\tau_T \to \infty \mathbb{P}_{\omega}$ -almost everywhere.

Proof. — Observe that we have $\tau_T = T - W_{\tau_T}^{(1)} - \eta_{\tau_T}$. The lemma then follows immediately from the definition of the stopping time and the law of the iterated logarithm (see [RY05, Cor. II.1.12]).

See also [EFLJ01, Lem. 4.2] for related and interesting results on this stopping time.

Recall that \mathbb{P}_{ω} is the Wiener measure on the space of all Brownian trajectories W_{ω} starting at the origin (corresponding to the random point ω). Let $\mathbb{P}^{\theta}_{\omega}$ be the Wiener measure on the space W^{θ}_{ω} corresponding to all paths starting at the origin and conditioned to exit at the point $e^{i\theta}$ in $\partial \mathbb{D}^2$. To relate the conditioned process ρ^{θ}_s to the unconditioned process ρ_s , we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4.
$$\mathbb{P}_{\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathbb{P}_{\omega}^{\theta} d\theta$$

Proof. — Recall that W_{ω} is the space of all hyperbolic Brownian motion trajectories starting at the origin, with \mathbb{P}_{ω} the corresponding Wiener measure. There exists a map $\Theta: W_{\omega} \to \partial \mathbb{D}^2$, defined \mathbb{P}_{ω} -almost everywhere, such that $\Theta(\rho) = \rho_{\infty}$, where ρ_{∞} is the limit point of ρ on $\partial \mathbb{D}^2$. It is a classical fact that the push-forward measure $\Theta_*(\mathbb{P}_{\omega})$ equals Leb, where Leb is the normalized Lebesgue measure on $[0, 2\pi]$. We also recall

that the foliated Brownian motion and the associated harmonic measure $\hat{\nu}_*$ are in fact defined on the space $X_* = \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}) \setminus X$, which is almost everywhere foliated by hyperbolic disks, and so our disintegration claim follows.

REMARK 5.5. — See also [Fra05, Lem. 8] for a short potential theoretic proof (using Doob's *h*-process) of this fact. We also remark that the approach to proving a central limit theorem in [Fra05], in a different context but which is modeled after an argument by Le Jan in [LJ94], leverages the same stopping time that we employ in this paper.

REMARK 5.6. — It is worth repeating and adapting what is written in the introduction in view of the application of the conditioned process in the sequel. The conditioned process is in fact defined on $X_* = \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}) \setminus X$. The space X_* gives rise to a fibered space $X_*^{W^{\theta}}$ over X_* whose fiber over each point ω in X_* is W^{θ}_{ω} , and which also supports a measure $\nu_{\mathbb{P}^{\theta}} := \nu \otimes \mathbb{P}^{\theta}$, whose conditional measure over a point ω is $\mathbb{P}^{\theta}_{\omega}$.

We can thus similarly define the fibered W^{θ} -Hodge bundle $\mathbb{P}^{W^{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, whose fiber over each point (ω, ρ^{θ}) in $X_*^{W^{\theta}}$ is $\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)}$. A pair $(\rho^{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{P}^{W^{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ is thus defined to be the lift of the path ρ^{θ} (starting at ω) to $\mathbb{P}^{W^{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, obtained by parallel transport with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection. This in turn would also give rise to a measure $\hat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}^{\theta}} := \hat{\nu} \otimes \mathbb{P}^{\theta}$ whose conditional measure over a point \boldsymbol{v} is $\mathbb{P}_{\omega}^{\theta}$.

We recall the following fundamental result due to A. Ancona [Anc90] (see also [Gru98, Lem. 4.1]):

THEOREM 5.7 ([Anc90, Th. 7.3]). — For all $\omega \in X$, and \mathbb{P}_{ω} -almost all paths ρ starting at ω , we have that $d_{\mathbb{D}}(\rho_0\rho_{\infty}, \rho_T) = O(\log T)$ as $T \to \infty$, where $\rho_0\rho_{\infty}$ is the geodesic ray with $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\rho_{\infty} \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.

Now observe that our aim is to study

$$\Sigma^{g}(T, [a, b]) := \widehat{\nu} \left(\left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}) : a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (\sigma_{k}(g_{T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)}) \leqslant b \right\} \right)$$

as $T \to \infty$. Let

(5.2.1)
$$\Sigma^{\rho}(T,[a,b]) := \widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\left\{(\rho, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{P}^{W}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}) : a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\sigma_{k}(\rho_{\tau_{T}}, \boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)}) \leqslant b\right\}\right).$$

LEMMA 5.8. — The quantity $|\Sigma^{g}(T, [a, b]) - \Sigma^{\rho}(T, [a, b])|$ converges to 0 as $T \to \infty$, \mathbb{P}_{ω} -almost everywhere and for all $\omega \in X$.

Proof. — Let Leb denote the normalized Lebesgue (probability) measure on $[0, 2\pi]$. By applying the disintegration in Lemma 5.4, (5.2.1) is also equal to

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^{\rho}(T,[a,b]) &= \operatorname{Leb} \otimes \widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}^{\theta}} \Big(\Big\{ (\theta,\rho^{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}) \in [0,2\pi] \otimes \mathbb{P}^{W^{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}) : \\ & a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (\sigma_{k}(\rho_{\tau_{T}}^{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)}) \leqslant b \Big\} \Big) \\ &= \operatorname{Leb} \otimes \widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}^{\theta}} \Big(\Big\{ (\theta,\rho^{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}) \in [0,2\pi] \otimes \mathbb{P}^{W^{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}) : \\ & a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\sigma_{k}(g_{T}r_{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)} + \sigma_{k}(\rho_{\tau_{T}}^{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}) - \sigma_{k}(g_{T}r_{\theta},\boldsymbol{v}) \right) \leqslant b \Big\} \Big). \end{split}$$

Theorem 5.7 applied to τ_T gives that, for all $\omega \in X$, $d_{\mathbb{D}}(g_T r_{\theta} \cdot 0, \rho_{\tau_T}^{\theta}) = O(\log \tau_T)$ $\mathbb{P}^{\theta}_{\omega}$ -almost everywhere as $T \to \infty$. Together with Lemma 5.3, the lemma now follows by the Lipschitz property of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle (by the derivative bound in (4.1.1)).

Therefore, it suffices to study the limiting distribution of the quantity

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\sigma_k(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)}).$$

Observe that we have that for all $\omega \in X$, and \mathbb{P}_{ω} -almost everywhere, $\tau_T \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$. By applying the stopping time identity $T = \tau_T + W_{\tau_T}^{(1)} + \eta_{\tau_T}$, a straightforward calculation shows the following equality:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\sigma_k(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - T\lambda_{(k)}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\eta_{\tau_T}\lambda_{(k)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}W^{(1)}_{\tau_T}\lambda_{(k)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\sigma_k(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \tau_T\lambda_{(k)}).$$

We recall that by formulas (5.1.2) and (5.1.3) we have

$$M_T = \int_0^T \nabla_{L_\omega} (\sigma_k(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v})) \cdot (dW_s^{(1)}, dW_s^{(2)})$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \big(\sigma_k(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \tau_T \lambda_{(k)} \big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \big(u(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v}) + \sigma_k(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v}) \big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} M_{\tau_T}.$$

Since the function $u \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \nu)$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}\Big(\frac{|\sigma_k(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v} - \lambda_{(k)}\tau_T - M_{\tau_T}|^2}{T}\Big) = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\nu}_{\mathbb{P}}}(|u(\rho_{\tau_T}, \boldsymbol{v}) - u(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v}) + \sigma_k(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{v})|^2)$$

converges to 0. Thus the random variable $(\sigma(\rho_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)}T - M_{\tau_T})/\sqrt{T}$ converges to 0 in square mean, hence in distribution. It suffices then to study the asymptotic distribution of

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}W^{(1)}_{\tau_{T}}\lambda_{(k)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}M_{\tau_{T}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\int_{0}^{\tau_{T}} \left(-\lambda_{(k)} + \frac{\partial\sigma_{k}}{\partial t}(\rho_{s}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\rho_{s}, \boldsymbol{v})\right)dW^{(1)}_{s} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\int_{0}^{\tau_{T}}\frac{2}{\sinh(2t(s))}\left(\frac{\partial\sigma_{k}}{\partial\theta}(\rho_{s}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial\theta}(\rho_{s}, \boldsymbol{v})\right)dW^{(2)}_{s}.$$

It follows from Theorem B.1 that the law of the random variable

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}W^{(1)}_{\tau_T}\lambda_{(k)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}M_{\tau_T}$$

converges, as $t \to \infty$, towards the centered Gaussian law with variance

$$V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \left(\left| -\lambda_{(k)} + \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{2}{\sinh(2t)} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right) \right|^2 \right) d\hat{\nu}$$

To conclude the proof of Theorem 3.1, we simplify the expression of the variance. In fact,

$$V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = \lambda_{(k)}^2 - 2\lambda_{(k)} \int_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) d\widehat{\nu} + \int_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})} |\nabla_{L_{\omega}} \sigma_k - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u|^2 d\widehat{\nu}.$$

We recall that by formula (5.1.4) we have

$$V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} |\nabla_{L_{\omega}} \sigma_{k} - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u|^{2} d\widehat{\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} |\Psi_{k} - \nabla_{L_{\omega}} u|^{2} d\widehat{\nu}.$$

In addition, since $u \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu}) \subset L^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu})$ and the measure $\hat{\nu}$ is invariant under the Teichmüller geodesic flow, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, d\widehat{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = 0.$$

since the radial derivative in each Teichmüller disk coincides with the Lie derivative of the Teichmüller flow.

Finally, since the measure $\hat{\nu}$ is supported on the unstable Oseledets subbundle E_k^+ over X, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t} d\hat{\nu} = \lambda_{(k)}.$$

The above identity can be proved as follows. By the ergodic theorem, and by Oseledets theorem, for ν -almost all $\omega \in X$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t} d\hat{\nu} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t} (g_t(\omega, E_k^+(\omega))) dt$$
$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \Big(\sigma_k(g_T(\omega, E_k^+(\omega)) - \sigma_k(\omega, E_k^+(\omega))) \Big) = \lambda_{(k)}.$$

In conclusion we have proved that indeed

(5.2.2)
$$V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} + -2\lambda_{(k)}^2 + \lambda_{(k)}^2 = V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} - \lambda_{(k)}^2.$$

6. Positivity of the variance

6.1. RANDOM COCYCLE. — Recall that (5.2.2) says that $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} - \lambda_{(k)}^2$, and so we also have the following important corollary:

Corollary 6.1. $- If \lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}, then V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} > 0.$

Proof. — Since, by construction, $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} \ge 0$, and we have that $V_{\rho_{\infty}}^{(k)} \ge \lambda_{(k)}^2 > 0$, and it is clear that, since $\lambda_{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i$, we have $\lambda_{(k)}^2 \ge \lambda_1^2 > 0$.

6.2. DETERMINISTIC COCYCLE. — While (5.2.2) ensures convergence of the asymptotic variance for the deterministic cocycle, it is not clear to us how it can be leveraged to deduce its positivity. Instead, we approach the positivity of the variance for the deterministic cocycle directly, in the spirit of the potential theoretic approach in [For02]. We first observe that a direct expression of the converging asymptotic variance for the deterministic cocycle is

(6.2.1)
$$V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H})} \left[\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)} T \right]^2 d\hat{\nu}.$$

J.É.P. – M., 2025, tome 12

The existence and the regularity of the solution u of the Poisson equation (5.1.1) will be again crucial for our approach towards the positivity of $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)}$.

Let $F_k(g_T r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) := \sigma_k(g_T r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda_{(k)}T$. In fact, we will study an auxiliary random variable $F_k - u$, and use it at the end to deduce the positivity of the asymptotic variance $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)}$.

Let Ψ_k the vector valued function defined in formula (4.2.2):

$$\Psi_k(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{tr}(B^{(k)}_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{v})), \quad \text{for all } (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}).$$

We prove below the following condition for the vanishing of the deterministic variance.

LEMMA 6.2. — The variance $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)}$ of the deterministic cocycle (see formula (6.2.1)) vanishes if and only if

$$\Psi_k \circ E_k^+ - (\lambda_{(k)}, 0) - \nabla_{L_\omega} u \circ E_k^+ = 0 \qquad \nu\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Proof. — We first prove that the normalized asymptotic variance of the random variable F_k coincides with that of $F_k - u$.

It follows by an immediate application of [For02, Lem. 3.1] that, for any smooth function F and any function $u \in W^{2,\infty}$ on the Poincaré disk, with respect to hyperbolic geodesic polar coordinates $z = (t, \theta)$, we have the formula

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^{2\pi} (F-u)^2(t,\theta) d\theta &= \frac{1}{2} \tanh(t) \frac{1}{|D_t|} \int_{D_t} \Delta_{L_\omega} ((F-u)^2) \omega_P \\ &= \tanh(t) \frac{1}{|D_t|} \int_{D_t} (F-u) \Delta_{L_\omega} (F-u) \omega_P \\ &+ \tanh(t) \frac{1}{|D_t|} \int_{D_t} |\nabla_{L_\omega} (F-u)|^2 \omega_P, \end{split}$$

where $|D_t|$ is the hyperbolic area element of the disk D_t of geodesic radius t > 0 that is centered at the origin, and ω_P is the hyperbolic area on the Poincaré disk.

By applying the above formula to the function $F(t,\theta) = F_k(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v})$, for every $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ (we recall that $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ denotes the projective Hodge bundle over an $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant sub-orbifold X of the moduli space of Abelian differentials) and $U(t,\theta,\boldsymbol{v}) = u(g_t r_{\theta}(\omega),\boldsymbol{v})$ and by integrating over $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ with respect to the harmonic measure $\hat{\nu}_*$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (F_k - U)^2(t, \theta, \boldsymbol{v}) d\widehat{\nu}_* \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\tanh(t)}{\sinh^2(t)} \int_0^t (F_k - u) \Delta_{L_\omega} (F_k - U)(\tau, \theta, \boldsymbol{v}) d(\sinh^2 \tau) d\widehat{\nu}_* \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\tanh(t)}{\sinh^2(t)} \int_0^t |\nabla_{L_\omega} (F_k - U)|^2(\tau, \theta, \boldsymbol{v}) d(\sinh^2 \tau) d\widehat{\nu}_*. \end{split}$$

By integrating over [0, T] with respect to dt, we have

$$(6.2.2) \quad \frac{1}{T} \left[\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} [(F_k - u)^2 (g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) - (F_k - u)^2 (g_0, \boldsymbol{v})] d\hat{\nu}_* \right] \\ = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\tanh(t)}{\sinh^2(t)} \int_0^t (F_k - U) \Delta_{L_\omega} (F_k - U) d(\sinh^2 \tau) d\hat{\nu}_* dt \\ + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \frac{\tanh(t)}{\sinh^2(t)} \int_0^t |\nabla_{L_\omega} (F_k - U)|^2 d(\sinh^2 \tau) d\hat{\nu}_* dt$$

By Equation (5.1.1), we observe that

 $\Delta_{L_{\omega}}(F_k - U)(t, \theta, \boldsymbol{v}) = \Delta_{L_{\omega}}(\sigma_k(g_t r_{\theta} \omega, \boldsymbol{v})) - \Delta_{L_{\omega}}(\lambda_{(k)} t) = 2\lambda_{(k)}(1 - \coth(2t)) \longrightarrow 0$ exponentially as $t \to \infty$. It follows therefore that (6.2.2) converges to 0 as $t \to \infty$, and we thus have that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} (F_k - u)^2 (g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) d\hat{\nu}_* = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} |\Psi_k(E_k^+(\omega)) - (\lambda_{(k)}, 0) - \nabla_{L_\omega} u(\omega, E_k^+(\omega))|^2 d\nu.$$

REMARK 6.3. — The above steps follow closely the outline of the proof of [FMZ12, Th. 1], which we refer to for more details.

We have therefore shown that the normalized asymptotic variance of $F_k - u$ is strictly positive if the function $\Psi_k \circ E_k^+ - \lambda_{(k)} - \mathcal{D}u$ is not identically zero. The final claim in the argument is that the asymptotic variance of F_k is no smaller than that of $F_k - u$, and this follows by an immediate application of the triangle inequality, as follows

$$\begin{split} \left[\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} (F_k - u)^2 (g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) d\hat{\nu}_*\right]^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant \left[\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} F_k^2 (g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) d\hat{\nu}_*\right]^{1/2} + \left[\frac{1}{T}\int_X u^2 (g_T \omega, \boldsymbol{v}) d\hat{\nu}_*\right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} F_k^2 (g_T, \boldsymbol{v}) d\hat{\nu}_*\right]^{1/2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}), \hat{\nu})}, \end{split}$$

together with the square integrability of u. We have therefore shown that if the asymptotic variance for the deterministic cocycle $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)}$ is equal to zero, then

(6.2.3)
$$\Psi_k \circ E_k^+(\omega) - (\lambda_{(k)}, 0) - \nabla_{L_\omega} u \circ E_k^+(\omega) = 0$$
 ν -almost everywhere.

Now let $\{X, Y, \Theta\}$ be the standard generators of the Lie algebra of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the geodesic flow, the orthogonal geodesic flow and the maximal compact subgroup $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$, given by the formulas:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

J.É.P. – M., 2025, tome 12

In order to prove a crucial regularity result for the unstable Oseledets subspace under the assumption of zero variance, it will be useful to derive the following lemma for the lift of our SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant function u from SO(2, \mathbb{R})\ $\mathbb{P}(H)$ to $\mathbb{P}(H)$ (which we continue to call u by abuse of notation):

LEMMA 6.4. — The hyperbolic gradient (in the radial and tangential directions) and Laplacian is given (in the weak sense) by the following formulas:

$$\nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) = 2 \left(X u, Y u \right) \left(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v} \right),$$

$$\Delta_{L_{\omega}} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) = 4 \left(X^2 + Y^2 \right) u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}).$$

Proof. — By definition we have

$$\nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) = 2(X u)(g_t r_{\theta} \omega, \boldsymbol{v}).$$

The computation of the angular derivative is based on the formula

$$\exp(\theta\Theta) \exp(2tX) = \exp(2tX) \exp(-2tX) \exp(\theta\Theta) \exp(2tX)$$
$$= \exp(2tX) \operatorname{Ad}_{\exp(-2tX)}(\exp(\theta\Theta))$$
$$= \exp(2tX) \exp(e^{\operatorname{ad}_{-2tX}}(\theta\Theta))$$
$$= \exp(2tX) \exp(\theta(\cosh(2t)\Theta + \sinh(2t)Y)).$$

The above formula is computed with respect to standard generators $\{X, Y, \Theta\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\Theta, X] = Y, \quad [\Theta, Y] = -X, \quad [X, Y] = -\Theta.$$

Under the convention in [For02], the curvature of the Poincaré plane is taken to be -4, which corresponds to the choice of generators $\{2X, 2Y, \Theta\}$.

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \sinh(2t) Y u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v})$$

We can now compute the hyperbolic gradient and Laplacian. We have

$$\nabla_{L_{\omega}} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}), \frac{2}{\sinh(2t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v})\right)$$
$$= 2(Xu, Yu)(g_r r_{\theta} \omega, \boldsymbol{v}).$$

By the commutation relation $[\Theta, Y] = -X$, we also have

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{L_{\omega}} u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 2 \coth(2t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{4}{\sinh^2(2t)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\right) u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) \\ &= (4X^2 + 4 \coth(2t)X + \frac{4}{\sinh^2(2t)} (\cosh(2t)\Theta + \sinh(2t)Y)^2) u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) \\ &= (4(X^2 + Y^2 + \coth^2(2t)\Theta^2 + 2 \coth(2t)Y\Theta)) u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}) \\ &= 4(X^2 + Y^2) u(g_t r_{\theta}, \boldsymbol{v}). \end{split}$$

The computation is completed.

J.É.P. – M., 2025, tome 12

Let $h_t^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ denote the stable horocyclic (unipotent) subgroup of the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. In our notation, the generator of the flow h_t^- is the vector field $H := Y + \Theta$. In fact, we have

$$[X, Y + \Theta] = -\Theta - Y = -(Y + \Theta),$$

and we follow the convention that $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on itself and its quotients on the right by multiplication on the left.

LEMMA 6.5. — Assume the variance $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = 0$. Then for ν -almost all $\omega \in X$ the function

$$\Psi_k(E_k^+(h_s^-\omega)), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

is a Lipschitz function.

Proof. — Since by assumption the variance $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} = 0$ the identity (6.2.3) holds, hence in particular we have

$$Xu \circ E_k^+(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{Re}(\Psi_k \circ E_k^+) - \lambda_{(k)} \right).$$

Since the unstable space E_k^+ of the cocycle is invariant under the Teichmüller flow, it follows that the function $\Psi_k \circ E_k^+$ is for almost all $\omega \in X$ smooth along the Teichmüller orbit, and by a similar argument along the orbit of the unstable Teichmüller horocycle flow. It follows then that the function u is infinitely differentiable, with derivatives uniformly bounded almost everywhere, along the geodesic flow orbit for almost all $\omega \in X$.

By the construction of the function u as a solution of the equation

$$(X^2 + Y^2) u \circ E_k^+(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{L_\omega} u \circ E_k^+(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_k \circ E_\omega^+ - \lambda_{(k)}),$$

since $Xu \circ E_k^+$, $X^2u \circ E_k^+$ and $\Delta_{L_\omega}u \circ E_k^+$ are bounded and, by definition $H = Y + \Theta$, it follows that, for ν -almost all $\omega \in X$,

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}u \circ E_k^+(h_s^-\omega) = H^2u \circ E_k^+(h_s^-\omega) = YHu \circ E_k^+(h_s^-\omega) = Y^2u \circ E_k^+(h_s^-\omega)$$

is a bounded function, which in turn implies that

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(\Psi_k \circ E_k^+)(h_s^- \omega) = Hu \circ E_k^+(h_s^- \omega)$$

has a uniformly bounded derivative, hence it is a Lipschitz function. For the real part of the function, we argue that

$$H \operatorname{Re}(\Psi_k \circ E_k^+) = H X u \circ E_k^+ = [H, X] u \circ E_k^+ + X H u \circ E_k^+$$

= $H u \circ E_k^+ + X H u \circ E_k^+ = \frac{1}{2} (I + X) \operatorname{Im}(\Psi_k \circ E_k^+),$

which is again a function uniformly bounded almost everywhere, hence the function $\operatorname{Re}(\Psi_k \circ E_k^+)$ is also Lipschitz along almost all horocycle orbits.

Let H be an SL(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant, symplectic subbundle of the Hodge bundle, symplectically orthogonal to the tautological subbundle.

J.É.P. – M., 2025, tome 12

COROLLARY 6.6. — If the Lyapunov spectrum of the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle on \mathbf{H} is simple, that is, if $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_h$, then the deterministic Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle on $\mathbf{H}^{(1)} = \mathbf{H}$ has strictly positive variance, that is, $V_{q_{\infty}}^{(1)} > 0$.

Proof. — The proof is by contradiction. Let us assume that $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(1)} = 0$. By Lemma 6.5 for ν -almost all $\omega \in X$ the function

$$\Psi_1(E_1^+(h_s^-\omega)), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

is a Lipschitz function.

The strategy of the argument, based on the so-called *freezing argument* from [CF20], consists in deriving from the above Lipschitz property the existence of a proper $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant subbundle of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$, thereby contradicting the strong irreducibility assumption.

Recall that the function Ψ_1 is given by the formula (see formula (4.2.2))

$$\Psi_1(\omega,oldsymbol{v})=\mathrm{tr}(B^{(1)}_\omega(oldsymbol{v}))=B_\omega(oldsymbol{v}), \quad ext{ for } (\omega,oldsymbol{v})\inoldsymbol{H}.$$

Since for every $\omega \in X$ the matrix B_{ω} is a complex symmetric matrix, with entries given by a complex quadratic form, it follows that the function Ψ_1 is a quadratic polynomial function (with respect to projective coordinates) on every fiber H_{ω} . In addition, the function Ψ_1 is non-constant along circle orbits, since

$$\Psi_1(r_{\theta}\omega, \boldsymbol{v}) = e^{-2i\theta} \Psi_1(\omega, \boldsymbol{v}), \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}.$$

We define a measurable subbundle of the bundle H as follows. Since by assumption the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1 > 0$, it follows that

$$|\Psi_1(E_1^+(\omega))| > 0$$
 almost everywhere.

In fact, otherwise the second identity in formula (4.2.1) (for k = 1), since the bundle E_1^+ is invariant under the Teichmüller flow, would imply that $\lambda_1 = 0$. It then follows that there exists c > 0 and a compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset X$ such that

(6.2.4)
$$\min_{\omega \in \mathcal{K}} |\Psi_1(E_1^+(\omega))| \ge c > 0.$$

For every $\omega \in X$ Birkhoff regular for the Teichmüller geodesic flow and Oseledets regular for the Kontsevich–Zorich cocycle, and for every forward return time t > 0 of the Teichmüller geodesic flow to the compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset X$, we let

(6.2.5)
$$\mathcal{W}(g_t\omega) := \Psi_1^{-1} \{ \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_t\omega)) \}.$$

We note that $\mathcal{W}(g_t\omega)$ is a real analytic submanifold of (real) codimension 2 which contains the point $E_1^+(g_t\omega) \subset \mathbb{P}(H_{g_t\omega})$. We then let, for all $\omega \in X$,

$$\mathcal{V}(\omega) = \bigcap_{s \ge 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \ge s}} \{ g_{-t} \left(\mathcal{W}(g_t \omega) \right) : g_t \omega \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

We remark that since $\lambda_1 > 0$, by Oseledets theorem the set $\mathcal{V}(\omega)$ is contained in a finite union of g_t -invariant subspaces.

By definition, for ν -almost all $\omega \in X$, the set $\mathcal{V}(\omega) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_{\omega})$ is closed and nonempty, since it contains $E_1^+(\omega)$. It is straightforward to derive from its definition that \mathcal{V} is a (measurable) $\{g_t\}$ -invariant subset.

The crucial point of the argument is to prove that \mathcal{V} is invariant under the stable Teichmüller horocycle flow $\{h_s^-\}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{v} \in g_{-t}(\mathcal{W}(g_t\omega))$. By definition, $\Psi_1(g_t(\boldsymbol{v})) \in \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_t(\omega)))$. There exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that for any fixed r > 0 the distance

$$d(g_t\omega, g_t(h_r^-\omega)) = d(g_t\omega, h_{e^{-2t}r}^-(g_t\omega)) \leqslant C_{\mathcal{K}} r e^{-2t},$$

hence by the Lipschitz property of the function $\Psi_1 \circ E_1^+$ (which holds by Lemma 6.5) we have that there exists a constant $C'_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that

$$\left\| (\Psi_1 \circ E_1^+)(g_t \omega) - (\Psi_1 \circ E_1^+)(g_t(h_r^- \omega))) \right\| \leqslant C'_{\mathcal{K}} r e^{-2t}.$$

We also have (with respect to the Hodge metric)

$$d\left(g_t(h_r^-(\boldsymbol{v})), g_t(\boldsymbol{v})\right) \leqslant re^{-2t},$$

so that we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Psi_1(g_t(h_r^-\boldsymbol{v})) - \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_th_r^-\omega))\| \\ &\leqslant \|\Psi_1(g_t(h_r^-\boldsymbol{v})) - \Psi_1(g_t(\boldsymbol{v}))\| + \|\Psi_1(g_t(\boldsymbol{v})) - \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_th_r^-\omega))\| \\ &= \|\Psi_1(g_t(h_r^-\boldsymbol{v})) - \Psi_1(g_t(\boldsymbol{v}))\| + \|\Psi_1(E_1^+(g_t(\omega)) - \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_th_r^-\omega))\| \\ &\leqslant \|D\Psi_1\|_{\mathcal{K}} d\Big(g_t(h_r^-\boldsymbol{v}), g_t(\boldsymbol{v})\Big) + \|\Psi_1(E_1^+(g_t(\omega)) - \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_th_r^-\omega))\|, \end{aligned}$$

hence there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime\prime} > 0$ so that we have the inequality

$$\|(\Psi_1(g_t(h_r^- \boldsymbol{v})) - \Psi_1(E_1^+(g_th_r^- \omega)))\| \leq C_{\mathcal{K}}^{''}re^{-2t}.$$

It follows that, by the lower bound in formula (6.2.4) and by Lemma 4.6, for sufficiently large t > 0, there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{K}}^{(3)} > 0$ such that

$$d\left(g_t(h_r^- \boldsymbol{v}), \mathcal{W}(g_t h_r^- \omega)\right) \leqslant C_{\mathcal{K}}^{(3)} r e^{-2t}.$$

Next we claim that there exists $\lambda < 1$ such that the above estimate implies that there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{K}}^{(4)} > 0$ such that

$$d(h_r^- \boldsymbol{v}, g_{-t} \mathcal{W}(g_t h_r^- \omega)) \leqslant C_{\mathcal{K}}^{(4)} r e^{-2(1-\lambda)t}.$$

The above conclusion follows from the fact that there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that, on the symplectic orthogonal of the tautological bundle, the Lyapunov spectrum is contained in the interval $(-\lambda, \lambda)$. It then follows by Oseledets theorem that for every Birkhoff generic and regular $\omega \in X$ and for every $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega})$,

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log d(g_t(\boldsymbol{v}), g_t(\boldsymbol{w})) \leq 2\lambda.$$

We have thus proved that, for every s > 0,

$$h_r^-(\boldsymbol{v}) \in \overline{\bigcup_{t \geqslant s} \{g_{-t} \left(\mathcal{W}(g_t h_r^- \omega) \right) : g_t h_r^- \omega \in \mathcal{K} \}},$$

hence $h_r^-(\boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathcal{V}(h_r^-\omega)$, for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in g_{-t}(\mathcal{W}(g_t\omega)))$. Since $\mathcal{V}(h_r^-\omega)$ is closed, it follows that, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$h_r^-(\mathcal{V}(\omega)) \subset \mathcal{V}(h_r^-\omega),$$

and, since the reverse inclusion can be proved by reversing the time in the horocycle flow, we have proved the invariance of the bundle \mathcal{V} under the unstable Teichmüller horocycle flow.

We claim that by the construction of the bundle \mathcal{V} , the unstable bundle $E_1^+ \subset \mathcal{V}$. In fact, by the definition in formula (6.2.5) we have that, for almost all $\omega \in X$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_1^+(g_t\omega) \subset \mathcal{W}(g_t\omega).$$

and since E_1^+ is a $\{g_t\}$ -invariant bundle, it follows that, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$E_1^+(\omega) \subset g_{-t}\mathcal{W}(g_t\omega),$$

which implies the claim.

We can then define an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant subbundle as follows. Let \mathcal{E} denote the smallest measurable forward $\{h_r^-\}$ -invariant bundle which contains E_1^+ . In other terms, for almost all $\omega \in X$, let

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega) := \sum_{r \geqslant 0} h_r^- E_1^+ (h_{-r}^- \omega)$$

We note that, by the above definition, $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{V}$ since $E_1^+ \subset \mathcal{V}$, and the latter bundle is $\{h_r^-\}$ -invariant (as well as $\{g_t\}$ -invariant).

We then prove that the bundle \mathcal{E} is $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant. It is clearly forward $\{h_r^-\}$ -invariant by definition. Let us prove that it is $\{g_t\}$ -invariant.

By the commutation relation and by the $\{g_t\}$ -invariance of the bundle E_1^+ , for almost all $\omega \in X$ and for all $t, r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$g_t \left(h_r^- E_1^+ (h_{-r}^- \omega) \right) = (h_{e^{-t}r}^- \circ g_t) E_1^+ (h_{-r}^- \omega) = h_{e^{-t}r}^- \left(E_1^+ (g_t \circ h_{-r}^- \omega) \right) = h_{e^{-t}r}^- E_1^+ (h_{-e^{-t}r}^- \circ g_t \omega),$$

which immediately implies that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$g_t \mathcal{E}(\omega) = \mathcal{E}(g_t \omega),$$

hence the bundle \mathcal{E} is (forward and backward) $\{g_t\}$ -invariant.

Let us then prove that the bundle \mathcal{E} is forward $\{h_s^+\}$ -invariant. For the unstable horocycle flow $\{h_s^+\}$ we have the following commutation relations. For every $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, with $rs \neq -1$, let

$$\rho(r,s)=\frac{r}{1+rs},\quad \sigma(r,s)=s(1+rs),\quad \tau(r,s)=\log(1+rs).$$

We then have the commutation relations:

$$h_s^+ \circ h_r^- = h_\rho^- \circ h_\sigma^+ \circ g_\tau.$$

Since E_1^+ is $\{g_t\}$ -invariant and $\{h_s^+\}$ -invariant, it follows that we have

$$h_{s}^{+}\left(h_{r}^{-}E_{1}^{+}(h_{-r}^{-}\omega)\right) = h_{\rho}^{-}\circ h_{\sigma}^{+}\circ g_{\tau}\left(E_{1}^{+}(h_{-r}^{-}\omega)\right)$$
$$= h_{\rho}^{-}\left(E_{1}^{+}(h_{\sigma}^{+}\circ g_{\tau}\circ h_{-r}^{-}\omega)\right) = h_{\rho}^{-}\left(E_{1}^{+}(h_{-\rho}^{-}(h_{s}^{+}\omega))\right),$$

which immediately implies that \mathcal{E} is forward $\{h_s^+\}$ -invariant. Finally, since \mathcal{E} is $\{g_t\}$ -invariant and forward $\{h_s^\pm\}$ -invariant, it follows that it is $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant as claimed.

Finally we remark that by the condition that the Lyapunov spectrum is simple, it follows that $\mathcal{V} \neq \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$, hence $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{V} \neq \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$. In fact, by definition, since for almost all $\omega \in X$ and for all $t \ge 0$, the real analytic sets $\mathcal{W}(g_t\omega)$ have positive codimension equal to 2, by Oseledets theorem, for almost all $\omega \in X$, the subset \mathcal{V} is contained in the union of finitely many proper g_t -invariant sub-bundles of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$, given by the Oseledets decomposition, namely all the codimension 2 sums of the one-dimensional Oseledets subspaces, in contradiction with the hypothesis that \mathbf{H} is strongly irreducible. \Box

7. A central limit theorem for generic sections

Since our main results randomizes *both* the Abelian differential $\omega \in X$ and vector $\boldsymbol{v}_{\omega} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\omega}^{(k)})$ with respect to the measure ν^* , it is natural to ask if our results also hold for (suitably defined) sections of $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$. Following [AHF24], we introduce the following

DEFINITION 7.1. — We say that $\boldsymbol{v} = (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega})$ is *future-Oseledets-generic* for ν a.e. $\omega \in X$ if \boldsymbol{v} is a (measurable) section $\boldsymbol{v} : X \to \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ of $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ such that, for ν -a.e. $\omega \in X$,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i.$$

In particular, for the deterministic cocycle, it is straightforward to derive

COROLLARY 7.2. — Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, there exists a real number $V_{g_{\infty}}^{(k)} \ge 0$ such that for any future-Oseledets-generic section $\boldsymbol{v} = (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega})$ of $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, we have

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \nu \left(\left\{ \omega \in X : a \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}_\omega) - T(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) \right) \leqslant b \right\} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{g_\infty}^{(k)}}} \int_a^b \exp(-x^2 / V_{g_\infty}^{(k)}) dx.$$

Moreover, if the Lyapunov spectrum is simple, then $V_{q_{\infty}}^{(1)} > 0$.

Proof. — By Oseledets' theorem, for ν -a.e. ω , for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, there exist constants C > 0 and $\lambda > 0$ such that, for all t > 0,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{g_t\omega}(\boldsymbol{v}_{g_t\omega}, E_k^+(g_t\omega)) \leqslant Ce^{-\lambda t}$$

Since the logarithm of the Hodge norm is Lipschitz, we observe that

$$\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}) = \sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}) - \sigma_k(g_T, E_k^+(\omega)) + \sigma_k(g_T, E_k^+(\omega))$$
$$= \sigma_k(g_T, E_k^+(\omega)) + O(e^{-\lambda t})$$

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, it follows therefore that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\sigma_k(g_T, E_k^+(\omega)) - T(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i) \right)$$

satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 with respect to the measure ν (instead of $\hat{\nu}$). Now assume that $\boldsymbol{v} := (\omega, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega})$ is future-Oseledets-generic for ν a.e. $\omega \in X$ (in the sense of Definition 7.1), then it follows that $\operatorname{proj}_{E_k^+(\omega)} \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega} \neq 0$ for ν a.e. $\omega \in X$. It follows that

$$\sigma_k(g_T, \boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}) = \sigma_k(g_T, E_k^+(\omega)) + \log(1 + O_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}}(e^{(\lambda' - \lambda)T})) + O_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\omega}}(1)$$

for $0 < \lambda' < \lambda$, and this gives us the conclusion of this corollary.

REMARK 7.3. — See also [AHF24, Th. 3.10, 3.11, 3.21 & 3.22] for an abstract central limit theorem for generic sections that implies our corollary. See also [AHF24, Th. 4.25] for a stronger result that proves a mixing CLT for Oseledets-generic sections of $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ (based on our Theorem 3.1 as a crucial input).

REMARK 7.4. — By applying the results in [CE15, CF20, ASAE⁺21], Arana-Herrera and the second-named author derive in [AHF24, Th. 4.32] that (measurable) SO(2, \mathbb{R})invariant sections of $\mathbb{P}(H)$ are future-Oseledets-generic, and we refer to Section 4 of their paper for a thorough discussion on Oseledets genericity of sections and other related results.

Appendix A. Solving Poisson's equation

For every $k \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$, the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ by parallel transport on the fibers. It is then possible to define a stochastic process on $SO(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ as a lift by parallel transport of the foliated Brownian motion on $X_* := SO(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus X$.

It is possible to find the solution to the Poisson equation for the generator Δ based on the semi-group of the stochastic process. Indeed, if $\{P_t^{(k)}\}$ denotes the semi-group of the stochastic process on the projectivized bundle $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$ over X, given by the formula below.

For every $x \in X$, the leaf SO(2, \mathbb{R})\SL(2, \mathbb{R})x can be parametrized by the Poincaré disk \mathbb{D} with x as the image of the origin. In the following formulas we write integrals on SO(2, \mathbb{R})\SL(2, \mathbb{R})x with respect to the coordinate $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Let dA(z) denote the (Lebesgue) area on \mathbb{D} .

For every SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}))$, define

$$P_t^{(k)}(f)(x,\boldsymbol{v}) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,z) f(z,\boldsymbol{v}) dA(z),$$

where $p_t(x, z)$ denotes the hyperbolic (heat) kernel on the leaf $SO(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus SL(2, \mathbb{R}) x$ written on the Poincaré disk coordinate $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

REMARK A.1. — On the right-hand side of this definition, (z, v) denotes the value at z of the unique parallel section (with respect to the Gauss–Manin connection) passing

575

through (x, v) on the universal cover L_x of the leaf L_x . Since the universal cover is simply connected (and thus every two points are connected by a unique homotopy class of paths), and the connection is flat, parallel transport is path-independent (i.e., has trivial monodromy), and the definition is independent of the choice of a lift of (x, v). Moreover, since the heat kernel $p_t(x, z)$ is invariant under isometries of the Poincaré disk, and f is defined on the quotient surface L_x (under the action of the (discrete) Veech group), the function $P_t^{(k)}(f)$ descends to a well-defined function on the leaf L_x .

Then, formally, the Green operator of Δ is given by the formula

$$(Gf)(x,\boldsymbol{v}) := \int_0^{+\infty} P_t^{(k)}(f)dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,z)f(z,\boldsymbol{v})dA(z)dt$$

In fact, by a formal calculation

$$\Delta(Gf)(x, \boldsymbol{v}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \Delta_{L_{x}} p_{t}(x, z) f(z, \boldsymbol{v}) dt dA(z)$$

(A.0.1)
$$= -\int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{t}(x, z) f(z, \boldsymbol{v}) dy$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_{t}(x, z) f(z, \boldsymbol{v}) dA(z) - \lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_{t}(x, z) f(z, \boldsymbol{v}) dA(z)$$

$$= f(x, \boldsymbol{v}) - \lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_{t}(x, z) f(z, \boldsymbol{v}) dA(z).$$

The formula gives a Green operator under the conditions that

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,z) f(z,\boldsymbol{v}) dA(z) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}),d\widehat{\nu})} dt < +\infty$$
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,z) f(z,\boldsymbol{v}) dA(z) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}),d\widehat{\nu})} = 0.$$

;

Let us now derive the following lemma:

LEMMA A.2. — Suppose the (foliated) Laplacian Δ has a spectral gap, i.e., for any zero-average SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant function $f \in W^{2,2}(X, \nu)$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{X} \overline{f} \Delta f \, d\nu \leqslant -\frac{1}{C} \int_{X} |f|^{2} \, d\nu$$

for some constant C > 0. Let P_t be the solution semi-group, with domain on $W^{2,2}(X,\nu)$, of the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f = \Delta P_t f, \\ P_0 = \text{Id.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for any SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant, zero-average essentially bounded function $f \in W^{2,2}(X,\nu)$, we have

$$||P_t f||_{L^2(X,\nu)} \leq ||f||_{L^2(X,\nu)} e^{-t/C}$$

Hence the Brownian semigroup P_t extends to a bounded operator on the subspace $L_0^2(X,\nu)$ of $SO(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant functions such that the operator norm in the space $\mathcal{L}(L_0^2(X,\nu))$ of bounded operators on $L_0^2(X,\nu)$ satisfies, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the estimate

$$\|P_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_0(X,\nu))} \leqslant e^{-t/C}.$$

Proof. — Let $f \in W^{2,2}(X,\nu)$ be any essentially bounded SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant function of zero average and consider the L^2 -norm:

$$\|P_t f\|_{L^2(X,\nu)}^2 = \int_X |P_t f|^2 \, d\nu.$$

Differentiating with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P_t = \Delta P_t$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|P_t f\|_{L^2(X,\nu)}^2 = 2\operatorname{Re} \int_X \overline{P_t f} \,\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (P_t f) \,d\nu = 2\operatorname{Re} \int_X \overline{P_t f} \,\Delta(P_t f) \,d\nu.$$

By the spectral gap assumption, for all zero-average essentially bounded $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant functions $f \in W^{2,2}(X, \nu)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_X \overline{P_t f} \,\Delta(P_t f) \,d\nu \leqslant -\frac{1}{C} \int_X |P_t f|^2 \,d\nu = -\frac{1}{C} \,\|P_t f\|_{L^2(X,\nu)}^2.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|P_t f\|_{L^2(X,\nu)}^2 \leqslant -\frac{2}{C} \|P_t f\|_{L^2(X,\nu)}^2.$$

Let $g(t) = ||P_t f||_{L^2(X,\nu)}^2$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{dg}{dt} \leqslant -\frac{2}{C} g(t).$$

By Grönwall's inequality, the above inequality implies

$$g(t) \leqslant g(0)e^{-2t/C}.$$

that is, by the definition of the function g,

$$||P_t f||_{L^2(X,\nu)} \leq ||f||_{L^2(X,\nu)} e^{-t/C}.$$

The above estimate can then be extended by density of essentially bounded functions in $W^{2,2}(X,\nu)$ to all functions (of zero average) in $L^2_0(X,\nu)$.

LEMMA A.3. — Let $P_t^{(k)}$ denote the semigroup of the lift of the Brownian motion to $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$. Assume that the Kontsevich-Zorich Lyapunov exponents satisfy the strict inequality $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$. Then there exist constants C > 0 and $\lambda > 0$ such that, for every SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant function $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}))$, Lipschitz with respect to the Hodge metric on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$, and for all t > 0,

$$\left\|P_t^{(k)}(f) - \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} f d\hat{\nu}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}))} \leqslant C \|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} e^{-\lambda t}$$

Proof. — Let f be an SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant bounded Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ and let, by integrating with respect to the Lebesgue area dA(z) on \mathbb{D} ,

$$P_t^{(k)}f(x,\boldsymbol{v}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,\overline{z})f(\overline{z},\boldsymbol{v})dA(z).$$

This function is also $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant since $p_t(x, \overline{z})$ is radial, dA is rotationally invariant and $(\overline{z}, \boldsymbol{v})$ is obtained by parallel transport of \boldsymbol{v} , with respect to the flat (Gauss-Manin) connection, from the point $SO(2, \mathbb{R})x$ to the point in the leaf $SO(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus SL(2, \mathbb{R})x$ of coordinate $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

For ν -almost all $x \in X$ let ρ_x denote the hyperbolic Brownian motion starting at xon the leaf SO(2, \mathbb{R})\SL(2, \mathbb{R})x. Since $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k+1}$, the unstable Oseledets space E_k^+ is well-defined ν -almost everywhere on X. For all t > 0, let then $E_k^+(z)$ denote the unstable space evaluated at the radial outward unit vector in the circle orbit of coordinate $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

By the Oseledets theorem, for all $v \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$, there exist constants C > 0 and $\lambda > 0$ such that, for all t > 0,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\rho_x(t)}(\boldsymbol{v}, E_k^+(\rho_x(t))) \leqslant C e^{-\lambda t}$$

Since the function f is Lipschitz, it follows that the function $P_t^{(k)}f(x, v)$ approaches almost everywhere exponentially fast for diverging t > 0 the function, defined for ν -almost all $x \in X$,

$$F_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x, z) f(z, E_k^+(z)) dA(z),$$

which is SO(2, \mathbb{R}) invariant and L^{∞} . By the spectral gap property of the SL(2, \mathbb{R}) action, or equivalently from the spectral gap property of the foliated Laplacian Δ , which follows from [AGY06] and [AG13], Lemma A.2 implies that there exist constants C' > 0 and $\lambda' > 0$ such that, for any SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant L^2 function F on X (in particular for $F = F_t$), for all s > 0,

$$\left\| P_s^{(k)}(F) - \int_X F d\nu \right\|_{L^2(X, d\nu)} \le C' e^{-\lambda' s} \|F\|_{L^2(X, d\nu)}.$$

Therefore we have that

$$P_{2t}^{(k)}(f) - \int_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})} f d\hat{\nu} = P_{2t}^{(k)}(f) - P_t^{(k)}(F_t) + P_t^{(k)}(F_t) - \int_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})} f d\hat{\nu}$$
$$= P_{2t}^{(k)}(f) - P_t^{(k)}(F_t) + P_t^{(k)}(F_t) - \int_X F_t d\nu$$

converges to zero exponentially in $L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu})$ since, for all t > 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{2t}^{(k)}(f) - P_t^{(k)}(F_t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}),d\widehat{\nu})} &= \|P_t^{(k)}(P_t^{(k)}(f) - F_t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}),d\widehat{\nu})} \\ &\leqslant \|P_t^{(k)}(f) - F_t\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}),d\widehat{\nu})} \leqslant C \|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} e^{-t} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_t^{(k)}(F_t) - \int_X F_t d\nu \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\widehat{\nu})} &= \left\| P_t^{(k)}(F_t) - \int_X F_t d\nu \right\|_{L^2(X, d\nu)} \\ &\leqslant C' \|F_t\|_{L^2(X, d\nu)} e^{-\lambda' t} \\ &\leqslant C' \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\widehat{\nu})} e^{-\lambda' t}. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that by the Fubini theorem and by the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariance of the measure ν , for all t > 0 we have

$$\begin{split} \int_X F_t d\nu &= \int_X \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,z) f(z,E^+(z)) dA(z) d\nu \\ &= \int_X \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p_t(r) f(g_r r_\theta x,E^+(r_\theta x)) d\theta dr d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} p_t(r) \int_X f((x,E^+(r_\theta(x))) d\nu d\theta dr = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} f d\widehat{\nu}. \end{split}$$

The argument is complete as the stated exponential L^2 convergence follows.

QUESTION A.4. — Is the projective Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ above the *Teichmüller geodesic* flow exponentially mixing (under the hypothesis of Lemma A.3 on the Kontsevich–Zorich spectrum)? Our result establishes exponential mixing for the projective Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle above Brownian motion, but our argument breaks down for the deterministic cocycle (above the Teichmüller geodesic flow).

Let Δ the generator of the foliated Brownian motion on $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\backslash X$. The operator Δ extends naturally to an operator on $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ which is the generator of the lift of the Brownian motion by parallel transport. Let $W^{2,2} \subset L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu})$ denote the domain of Δ which consists of all square-integrable functions which are differentiable up to second order along the leaves of the foliation of $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$ by hyperbolic disks.

We can now derive the following corollary (by an argument, given below, analogous to the proof of [Led95, Cor. 1]):

LEMMA A.5. — For any SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant zero-average bounded Lipschitz function $f: (\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu}) \to \mathbb{C}$, the Poisson equation

 $\Delta u = f$

has a unique solution $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \hat{\nu})$.

Proof. — Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu})$ be defined as

$$u(x,\boldsymbol{v}) = \int_0^{+\infty} P_t^{(k)}(f)(x,\boldsymbol{v})dt := \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} p_t(x,z)f(z,\boldsymbol{v})dA(z)dt.$$

The improper integral converges in L^2 by Lemma A.3 and by the assumption that f has zero average. It is a solution of the Poisson equation by the calculation in formula (A.0.1) and since, again by Lemma A.3,

$$P_t^{(k)}(f) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)}), d\widehat{\nu}).$$

The solution of the Poisson equation is unique up to additive constants since, by ergodicity of the Teichmüller geodesic flow and by the Oseledets theorem, the foliation of $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\setminus\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})$, with leaves given by the projections of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ orbits, is ergodic (with respect to the measure $\hat{\nu}$). In fact, by [Gar83, Th. 1(b)], any bounded Borel

579

 \square

function which is harmonic on each leaf must be constant on almost all leaves, relative to any finite harmonic measure. (Note that the theorem is stated for compact manifolds, but proved, as remarked in [Gar83], for any manifold provided that the foliation satisfies a condition of bounded geometry for the leaves, stated in [Gar83, §2], which holds in our case).

Finally, since the operator Δ is elliptic along the leaves of the foliation, which are of the form $\mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})(x,v)$ with $(x,v) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)})$, and since the function fis bounded, hence $f \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \hat{\nu})$, and smooth along the leaves of the foliation, it follows that the solution u belongs to the space $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \hat{\nu})$ and it is smooth along the leaves of the foliation.

Appendix B. A martingale central limit theorem

We prove below for the convenience of the reader a martingale central limit theorem (CLT) adapted to our setting. A version of this result for the Brownian motion on hyperbolic manifolds appears in the work of J. Franchi and Y. Le Jan (see [FLJ12, Lem. VIII.7.4]).

THEOREM B.1. — Let (M_t) be a real-valued continuous martingale of the form

$$M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_1(\rho_s) \, dW_s^{(1)} + \int_0^t \sigma_2(\rho_s) \, dW_s^{(2)},$$

for some SO(2, \mathbb{R})-invariant functions $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), d\hat{\nu})$. Then the law of M_t/\sqrt{t} converges, as $t \to \infty$, towards the centered Gaussian law with variance

$$V := \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} H^2 \, d\widehat{\nu}, \quad \text{where } H^2 := \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2.$$

In addition, if $(\tau_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an increasing, adapted time change with $\tau_t/t \to 1$ almost everywhere, then the law of M_{τ_t}/\sqrt{t} converges in distribution, as $t \to \infty$, to the same centered Gaussian law.

Proof. — Define the two-dimensional process $\boldsymbol{X}_t := (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ such that

$$X_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t \sigma_1(\rho_s) \, dW_s^{(1)}, \quad X_t^{(2)} = \int_0^t \sigma_2(\rho_s) \, dW_s^{(2)}$$

Since $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$ are independent standard Brownian motions, $X_t^{(1)}$ and $X_t^{(2)}$ are uncorrelated local martingales. Their joint quadratic variation matrix is

$$\langle \boldsymbol{X} \rangle_t = \begin{bmatrix} \langle X^{(1)} \rangle_t & \langle X^{(1)}, X^{(2)} \rangle_t \\ \langle X^{(1)}, X^{(2)} \rangle_t & \langle X^{(2)} \rangle_t \end{bmatrix} = \int_0^t \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2(\rho_s) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2(\rho_s) \end{bmatrix} ds.$$

By Knight's theorem [RY05, Th. V.1.9], there exist time changes

$$T_t^{(1)} = \inf\{s : \langle X^{(1)} \rangle_s > t\}, \quad T_t^{(2)} = \inf\{s : \langle X^{(2)} \rangle_s > t\}$$

such that the time-changed processes

$$B_t^{(1)} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{T_t^{(1)}}^{(1)}, \quad B_t^{(2)} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{T_t^{(2)}}^{(2)}$$

are the components of a standard 2-dimensional Brownian motion, hence M_t is distributed as a sum of two time-changed independent Brownian motions $B^{(1)}_{\langle X^{(1)} \rangle_t}$ and $B^{(2)}_{\langle X^{(2)} \rangle_t}$. By the ergodic theorem, for i = 1, 2,

$$V_i := \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\langle X^{(i)} \rangle_t}{t} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma_i^2(\rho_s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \sigma_i d\hat{\nu},$$

hence the limit

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{M_t}{\sqrt{t}} \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left(B^{(1)}_{\langle X^{(1)} \rangle_t/t} + B^{(2)}_{\langle X^{(2)} \rangle_t/t} \right) \stackrel{d}{=} B^{(1)}_{V_1} + B^{(2)}_{V_2},$$

has a normal distribution with zero mean and variance $V = V_1 + V_2$ as the sum of the two normally distributed, independent random variables $B_{V_1}^{(1)}$ and $B_{V_2}^{(2)}$ with zero mean and variance V_1 and V_2 , respectively. Thus M_t/\sqrt{t} converges in distribution to the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, V)$, completing the proof of the first statement.

As for the second statement, since, for i = 1, 2; we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\langle X^{(i)} \rangle_{\tau_t}}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\tau_t}{t} \frac{\langle X^{(i)} \rangle_{\tau_t}}{\tau_t} = \int_{\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{H}^{(k)})} \sigma_i^2 \, d\widehat{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = V_i,$$

we have, as above

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{M_{\tau_t}}{\sqrt{t}} \stackrel{d}{=} B_{V_1}^{(1)} + B_{V_2}^{(2)} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, V),$$

as claimed. The proof is therefore complete.

References

- [AS21] H. AL-SAQBAN "Oscillations and the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle", 2021, arXiv: 2103.15126.
- [ASAE+21] H. AL-SAQBAN, P. APISA, A. ERCHENKO, O. KHALIL, S. MIRZADEH & C. UYANIK "Exceptional directions for the Teichmüller geodesic flow and Hausdorff dimension", J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 23 (2021), no. 5, p. 1423–1476.
- [Anc90] A. ANCONA "Théorie du potentiel sur les graphes et les variétés", in École d'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XVIII—1988, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1427, Springer, Berlin, 1990, p. 1–112.
- [AHCF24] F. ARANA-HERRERA, J. CHAIKA & G. FORNI "Weak mixing in rational billiards", 2024, arXiv:2410.11117v1.
- [AHF24] F. ARANA-HERRERA & G. FORNI "From limit theorems to mixing limit theorems", 2024, arXiv:2405.16825.
- [ABEM12] J. ATHREYA, A. BUFETOV, A. ESKIN & M. MIRZAKHANI "Lattice point asymptotics and volume growth on Teichmüller space", *Duke Math. J.* 161 (2012), no. 6, p. 1055–1111.
 [AF08] J. ATHREYA & G. FORNI "Deviation of ergodic averages for rational polygonal billiards", *Duke Math. J.* 144 (2008), no. 2, p. 285 319.
- [Aul16] D. AULICINO "Affine invariant submanifolds with completely degenerate Kontsevich-Zorich spectrum", Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems (2016), p. 1–24.
- [AN20] D. AULICINO & C. NORTON "Shimura-Teichmüller curves in genus 5", J. Modern Dyn. 16 (2020), p. 255–288.
- [AF07] A. AVILA & G. FORNI "Weak mixing for interval exchange transformations and translation flows", Ann. of Math. (2) 165 (2007), no. 2, p. 637–664.
- [AFS23] A. AVILA, G. FORNI & P. SAFAEE "Quantitative weak mixing for interval exchange transformations", Geom. Funct. Anal. 33 (2023), no. 1, p. 1–56.
- [AG13] A. AVILA & S. GOUËZEL "Small eigenvalues of the Laplacian for algebraic measures in moduli space, and mixing properties of the Teichmüller flow", Ann. of Math. (2) (2013), p. 385–442.

[AGY06] A. AVILA, S. GOUËZEL & J.-C. YOCCOZ - "Exponential mixing for the Teichmüller flow", Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 104 (2006), no. 1, p. 143-211. A. AVILA, C. MATHEUS & J.-C. $Yoccoz - "SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measures on the [AMY13] moduli spaces of translation surfaces are regular", Geom. Funct. Anal. 23 (2013), no. 6, p. 1705–1729. [AV07] A. AVILA & M. VIANA – "Simplicity of Lyapunov spectra: proof of the Zorich-Kontsevich conjecture", Acta Math. 198 (2007), no. 1, p. 1-56. [Bai07] M. BAINBRIDGE - "Euler characteristics of Teichmüller curves in genus two", Geom. Topol. 11 (2007), p. 1887–2073. [BM08] Y. BAKHTIN & M. MARTÍNEZ - "A characterization of harmonic measures on laminations by hyperbolic Riemann surfaces", Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 44 (2008), no. 6, p. 1078-1089. [Bel54] R. BELLMAN - "Limit theorems for non-commutative operations. I", Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), p. 491–500. [BQ16] Y. BENOIST & J.-F. QUINT – "Central limit theorem for linear groups", Ann. Probab. 44 (2016), no. 2, p. 1308–1340. [BEW20] C. BONATTI, A. ESKIN & A. WILKINSON – "Projective cocycles over $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ actions: measures invariant under the upper triangular group", in Quelques aspects de la théorie des systèmes dynamiques: un hommage à Jean-Christophe Yoccoz. I, Astérisque, vol. 415, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2020, p. 157–180. [Bou88] P. BOUGEROL – "Théorèmes limite pour les systèmes linéaires à coefficients markoviens", Probab. Theory Related Fields 78 (1988), no. 2, p. 193-221. [BS21] A. I. BUFETOV & B. SOLOMYAK - "Hölder regularity for the spectrum of translation flows", J. Éc. polytech. Math. 8 (2021), p. 279-310, Corrigendum: Ibid. 9 (2022), p. 1513-1514. J. CHAIKA & A. ESKIN - "Every flat surface is Birkhoff and Oseledets generic in almost [CE15] every direction", J. Modern Dyn. 9 (2015), p. 1-23. [CF20] J. CHAIKA & G. FORNI - "Weakly mixing polygonal billiards", 2020, arXiv: 2003.00890. [CKS25] J. CHAIKA, O. KHALIL & J. SMILLIE – "On the space of ergodic measures for the horocycle flow on strata of abelian differentials", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 58 (2025), no. 1, p. 53–106. [DD19] J. DANIEL & B. DEROIN - "Lyapunov exponents of the Brownian motion on a Kähler manifold", Math. Res. Lett. 26 (2019), no. 2, p. 501-536. [DHL14] V. DELECROIX, P. HUBERT & S. LELIEVRE - "Diffusion for the periodic wind-tree model". Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 47 (2014), no. 6, p. 1085-1110. D. DOLGOPYAT, B. FAYAD & I. VINOGRADOV - "Central limit theorems for simultaneous Dio-[DFV17] phantine approximations", J. Éc. polytech. Math. 4 (2017), p. 1-36. [DKP22] P. DUARTE, S. KLEIN & M. POLETTI - "Hölder continuity of the Lyapunov exponents of linear cocycles over hyperbolic maps", Math. Z. 302 (2022), no. 4, p. 2285-2325. [EFLJ01] N. ENRIQUEZ, J. FRANCHI & Y. LE JAN - "Stable windings on hyperbolic surfaces", Probab. Theory Related Fields 119 (2001), no. 2, p. 213–255. [EKZ14] A. Eskin, M. Kontsevich & A. Zorich - "Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow", Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 120 (2014), no. 1, p. 207-333. [EM18] A. Eskin & M. Mirzakhani – "Invariant and stationary measures for the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ action on moduli space", Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 127 (2018), no. 1, p. 95-324. [EMM15] A. ESKIN, M. MIRZAKHANI & A. MOHAMMADI – "Isolation, equidistribution, and orbit closures for the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ action on moduli space", Ann. of Math. (2) (2015), p. 673–721. [Fil16a] S. FILIP - "Semisimplicity and rigidity of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle", Invent. Math. **205** (2016), no. 3, p. 617–670. [Fil16b] "Splitting mixed Hodge structures over affine invariant manifolds", Ann. of Math. (2) 183 (2016), no. 2, p. 681–713. [Fil17] "Zero Lyapunov exponents and monodromy of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle", Duke Math. J. 166 (2017), no. 4, p. 657 - 706. [For02] G. FORNI - "Deviation of ergodic averages for area-preserving flows on surfaces of higher genus", Ann. of Math. (2) 155 (2002), no. 1, p. 1-103.

582

[For06]	, "On the Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle", in <i>Handbook</i> of dynamical systems, vol. 1B, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006, p. 549–580.
[For11]	, "A geometric criterion for the nonuniform hyperbolicity of the Kontsevich– Zorich cocycle", J. Modern Dyn. 5 (2011), no. 2, p. 355–395.
[For22]	, "Twisted translation flows and effective weak mixing", J. Eur. Math. Soc. (IEMS) 24 (2022) no. 12 p. 4225–4276
[For24]	
[FM14]	G. FORNI & C. MATHEUS – "Introduction to Teichmüller theory and its applications to dynamics of interval exchange transformations, flows on surfaces and billiards", J. Modern Dun. 8 (2014), no. 3-4, p. 271–436.
[FMZ11]	G. FORNI, C. MATHEUS & A. ZORICH – "Square-tiled cyclic covers", J. Modern Dyn. 5 (2011), no. 2, p. 285–318.
[FMZ12]	, "Lyapunov spectrum of invariant subbundles of the Hodge bundle", <i>Ergodic</i> <i>Theory Dynam. Systems</i> 34 (2012), no. 2, p. 353–408.
[Fra05]	J. FRANCHI – "Asymptotic windings over the trefoil knot", <i>Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana</i> 21 (2005), no. 3, p. 729–770.
[FLJ12]	J. FRANCHI & Y. LE JAN – Hyperbolic dynamics and Brownian motion: an introduction, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012.
[FK21]	A. FURMAN & R. T. KOZMA – "Central limit theorem for cocycles over hyperbolic systems", 2021, arXiv:2106.15564.
[Fur63a]	H. FURSTENBERG – "Noncommuting random products", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), p. 377–428.
[Fur63b]	, "A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups", Ann. of Math. (2) 77 (1963), p. 335–386.
[FK60]	H. FURSTENBERG & H. KESTEN – "Products of random matrices", Ann. Math. Statist. 31 (1960), p. 457–469.
[Gar83]	L. GARNETT – "Foliations, the ergodic theorem and Brownian motion", J. Functional Analysis 51 (1983), no. 3, p. 285–311.
[GM89]	I. Y. GOLSHEID & G. A. MARGULIS – "Lyapunov exponents of a product of random matrices", Uspehi Mat. Nauk 44 (1989), no. 5(269), p. 13–60.
[Gru98]	JC. GRUET – "On the length of the homotopic Brownian word in the thrice punctured sphere", <i>Probab. Theory Related Fields</i> 111 (1998), no. 4, p. 489–516.
[GR85]	Y. GUIVARC'H & A. RAUGI – "Frontière de Furstenberg, propriétés de contraction et théorèmes de convergence", Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 69 (1985), no. 2, p. 187–242.
[Hel00]	S. HELGASON – Groups and geometric analysis, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 83, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
[Hen97]	H. HENNION – "Limit theorems for products of positive random matrices", Ann. Probab. 25 (1997), no. 4, p. 1545–1587.
[Jan00]	C. JAN – "Vitesse de convergence dans le TCL pour des chaînes de Markov et certains processus associés à des systèmes dynamiques", C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 331 (2000), no. 5, p. 395–398.
[KMS86]	S. KERCKHOFF, H. MASUR & J. SMILLIE – "Ergodicity of billiard flows and quadratic differ- entials", Ann. of Math. (2) 124 (1986), no. 2, p. 293–311.
[Kon97]	M. KONTSEVICH – "Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory", in <i>The mathematical beauty</i> of physics (Saclay, 1996), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., vol. 24, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997, p. 318–332.
[KZ97]	M. Kontsevich & A. Zorich – "Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory", 1997, arXiv: hep-th/9701164.
[LJ94]	Y. Le JAN – "The central limit theorem for the geodesic flow on noncompact manifolds of constant negative curvature", <i>Duke Math. J.</i> 74 (1994), no. 1, p. 159–175.
[LP82]	É. Le PAGE – "Théorèmes limites pour les produits de matrices aléatoires", in <i>Probability measures on groups (Oberwolfach, 1981)</i> , Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 928, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982, p. 258–303.

[Led 95]	F. LEDRAPPIER – "Central limit theorem in negative curvature", Ann. Probab. 23 (1995),
	no. 3, p. 1219–1233.
[Mas 82]	H. MASUR – "Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations", Ann. of Math. (2) 115 (1982) no. 1 p. 169-200
[Maloe]	M Marrie (1992), no. 1, 100 to 200.
[1010100]	Soc. 19 (2006), no. 2, p. 327–344.
[Möl11]	, "Shimura and Teichmüller curves", J. Modern Dyn. 5 (2011), no. 1, p. 1–32.
[PP22]	K. PARK & M. PIRAINO – "Transfer operators and limit laws for typical cocycles", Comm. Math. Phys. 389 (2022), no. 3, p. 1475–1523.
[RY05]	D REVUZ & M YOB - Continuous martinaales and Brownian motion 3rd ed. Grund-
[10100]	lehren Math. Wissen., vol. 293. Springer, 2005.
[Tut77]	V. N. TUTUBALIN – "A central limit theorem for products of random matrices and some
	of its applications" in Symposia Mathematica Vol XXI (Convegno sulle Misure su
	Crunni e su Snazi Vettoriali Convegno sui Crunni e Anelli Ordinati INDAM Rome
	1075) Academic Proce London New York 1077 p 101 116
[Vee99]	$W \wedge V_{\text{Trans}}$ "Cause measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange
[veeo2]	maps", Ann. of Math. (2) 115 (1982), no. 1, p. 201–242.
[Vee86]	, "The Teichmüller geodesic flow", Ann. of Math. (2) 124 (1986), no. 3, p. 441-
	530.
[Wri15]	A. WRIGHT – "Translation surfaces and their orbit closures: an introduction for a broad
[0]	audience", EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 2 (2015), no. 1, p. 63–108.
[ZK75]	A. N. ZEMLYAKOV & A. B. KATOK – "Topological transitivity of billiards in polygons", Mat.
	Zametki 18 (1975), no. 2, p. 291–300.
[Zor96]	A. ZORICH – "Finite Gauss measure on the space of interval exchange transformations.
	Lyapunov exponents", Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 (1996), no. 2, p. 325-370.
[Zor97]	, "Deviation for interval exchange transformations", Ergodic Theory Dynam.
	Sustems 17 (1997), no. 6, p. 1477–1499.
[Zor06]	, "Flat surfaces", in Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. I,
	Springer, Berlin, 2006, p. 437–583.
	I O / / / I

Manuscript received 30th September 2022 accepted 4th April 2025

HAMID AL-SAQBAN, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany *E-mail*:hqs@umd.edu *Url*:https://hqs424.github.io/

GIOVANNI FORNI, Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, 4176 Campus Drive - William E. Kirwan Hall, College Park, MD 20742-4015, USA & Laboratoire AGM, CY Cergy Paris Université, 2 av. Adolphe Chauvin, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise, France *E-mail*:gforni@umd.edu